1 2

3

5

6

4

7

9 10

1112

1314

1516

17 18

19

2021

2223

24

2526

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: ROUNDUP PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2741 Case No. 16-md-02741-VC

Hon. Vince Chhabria

This document relates to: ALL ACTIONS

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND LITIGATION PLAN

Pursuant to the Court's August 27, 2020 Minute Order, Plaintiffs' leadership and Monsanto's counsel met and conferred about a plan to address the remaining cases pending before this MDL.

As background, there are currently 3,704 plaintiffs with pending cases within this MDL, 1,954 of which are not settled or subject to a term sheet. The parties are actively engaged in ongoing settlement discussions for 1,041 of these 1,954 plaintiffs and Monsanto hopes to engage the remaining 943 plaintiffs as soon as feasible.¹

Since the August 27, 2020 case management conference, the parties have continued to engage in settlement discussions. Significant progress has been made. As disclosed in the pleadings attaching the redacted letters filed by Andrus Wagstaff, Baum Hedlund and Moore Law Group, master settlement agreements have now been reached with each of those firms, removing another approximately 280 cases from the MDL docket and approximately 12,000 cases from the overall Roundup litigation. Additionally, Monsanto continues to finalize settlement agreements with numerous other law firms. Plaintiffs' Co-lead counsel are available to assist counsel for those Plaintiffs in the MDL resolve their individual cases and are discussing with Monsanto's settlement

¹ The numbers of cases provided throughout the pleading are best estimates as of this date. The numbers change on a regular basis and therefore should be considered approximations.



counsel a mechanism to facilitate such discussions. The parties also anticipate that Special Master Feinberg will prioritize cases in Waves 1, 2 and 3 that are not already subject to settlement agreements as part of his overall initiative to resolve the litigation.

For the purpose of allowing the settlement process to continue, the parties respectfully request that the stay remain in place until at least November 2, 2020, at which time the Court can assess the status of settlement discussions throughout the MDL and the need to resume litigation.

Pursuant to the Court's order at the case management conference, the parties nonetheless submit the following litigation plan should the Court decide to resume litigation:

For the purposes of this proposal, the Parties have divided the pending cases into "Settled Cases" and "Active Cases."

I. Settled Cases

As of this date, there are 1,750 plaintiffs in this MDL that are subject to a settlement agreement. Because the various settlement programs will take time to administer, the Parties anticipate being able to start entering dismissals in the next sixty to ninety days. The Parties propose the following process for dealing with settled cases:

- The Court appoints Co-lead Counsel (for the Plaintiffs) and a Monsanto representative to be designated if the Court approves this procedure (from Defendants) to act as the MDL's Settlement Coordinators.
- 2. Once a particular plaintiff is subject to a settlement agreement, that plaintiff (through counsel) shall notify the Plaintiff Settlement Coordinators of the settlement, including the full name of the settling party, the case name, the associated case number, the date of the operative settlement agreement, and a listing of counsel associated with that plaintiff.
- 3. The Settlement Coordinators shall maintain an MDL Settlement List and submit an updated



list every month to the Court in camera.

- 4. Plaintiffs that are placed on the MDL Settlement List will not be subject to any ongoing discovery or litigation obligations in this MDL.
- 5. At any time, a Plaintiff may be removed from the Settlement List by notifying the Settlement Coordinators. Upon notification, the stay will no longer apply to the Plaintiff's case and Plaintiff will be required to meet any applicable court-ordered deadlines by the stated deadline or within sixty-days, whichever is later.
- 6. Any Plaintiff that has remained on the Settlement List for longer than one year will be ordered to show cause why his or her case should not be dismissed or removed from the Settlement List and return to the litigation as an active case. The Settlement Coordinators will be responsible for identifying the cases to which this applies in their monthly reports to the Court.

II. Active Cases

Rule on All Pending Motions Related to Wave One and Remand.

The Wave I cases have been fully worked up. Certain Summary judgment and *Daubert* motions are pending. If litigation resumes, the parties request that Court resolve those motions and remand cases, as appropriate, to their transferor courts, which can address trial scheduling. There are nine remaining cases in Wave I that are not currently subject to settlement agreements.

1. Set New Deadlines for Wave Two Cases.

The Wave II cases remain in the workup process. Fact discovery was completed in many of the Wave II cases at the time the stay was entered, but certain treater and other depositions could not be completed at that time due to the pandemic. On May 19, 2020, the Court granted the Parties request for a 60-day extension on remaining Wave Two deadlines. ECF No. 10751. Then, on July 21, 2020 (seven days before the close of Wave Two discovery), the Court stayed all deadlines. PTO 216, ECF



No. 11293. Should the Court remove the stay, the parties respectfully request that they be allowed to submit a proposed timeline to complete fact and expert discovery and briefing in the Wave II cases so that those cases can be remanded, as appropriate, in 2021. There are sixteen remaining cases in Wave II that are not currently subject to settlement agreements.

2. Set New Deadlines for Wave Three Cases.

On May 26, 2020, the Court entered a scheduling order for the Wave III cases. Because most of the Wave III cases are subject to settlement agreements, the parties request that the Court dissolve Wave III. If substantial settlement progress has not occurred by the November 9th Case Management Conference, the parties will submit a litigation proposal that includes all unresolved cases, including any remaining Wave III cases.

Should the Court remove the stay and decide to proceed with Wave III now, the parties respectfully request that they be allowed to submit a proposed modified timeline to workup the Wave III cases to account for the stay but so that those cases still can be remanded, as appropriate, in 2021. At the time the request was made, plaintiffs selected Hawaii as their state and Monsanto selected Texas. Neither party objects to revisiting those decisions in light of the intervening events since the initial request.

3. Gebeyehou v. Monsanto.

Plaintiffs' Position

As Your Honor noted at the conference on August 27, 2020, one of the three bellwether cases – *Gebeyehou v. Monsanto* -- is not settled, nor has Monsanto responded to counsel's request to discuss resolution. The *Gebeyehou* case should be set for trial as soon as practicable in light of COVID. The legal issues are fully briefed and, following ruling on those motions, the case is ready for trial.



Monsanto's Position

Monsanto has a pending motion for summary judgment in the Gebeyehou case on statute of limitations grounds and believes the case should be dismissed on that basis. Should the Court deny that motion, Monsanto does not object to an in-person trial before this Court as soon as circumstances allow. Monsanto objects to a virtual trial given the many complications of trying a case remotely. Monsanto also has agreed to a mediation in the *Gebeyehou* case, which will be scheduled shortly.

III. November 9, 2020 Case Management Conference.

The parties request the Court maintain the currently set November 9, 2020 Case Management Conference, during which time they will report on further settlement efforts and be prepared to discuss the status of the stay and a more detailed litigation plan.

DATED: September 17, 2020 Respectfully submitted,

> By: /s/ Aimee Wagstaff Aimee Wagstaff Aimee.wagstaff@andruswagstaff.com ANDRUS WAGSTAFF, P.C. 7171 West Alaska Drive Lakewood CO 80226 Telephone: (303) 376-6360 Facsimile: (303) 376-6361

Robin Greenwald rgreenwald@weitzlux.com WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 700 Broadway New York NY 10003 Telephone: (212) 558-5500 Facsimile: (212) 344-5461

Michael Miller mmiller@millerfirmllc.com THE MILLER FIRM, LLC 108 Railroad Ave Orange VA 22960 Telephone: (540) 672 4224

Facsimile: (540) 672-3055

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

25

26

27

28

DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

