

**ECF No. 315-3, Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Mot. for
Class Certification**

**REDACTED VERSION REFILED PURSUANT TO
DKT. 350**

1 Andrew N. Friedman (*pro hac vice*)
2 Geoffrey Graber (SBN 211547)
3 Julia Horwitz (*pro hac vice*)
4 Karina G. Puttieva (SBN 317702)
5 **COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS &**
6 **TOLL PLLC**
7 1100 New York Ave. NW, Fifth Floor
8 Washington, DC 20005
9 Telephone: (202) 408-4600
10 Facsimile: (202) 408-4699
11 afriedman@cohenmilstein.com
12 ggraber@cohenmilstein.com
13 jhorwitz@cohenmilstein.com
14 kputtieva@cohenmilstein.com

Eric Kafka (*pro hac vice*)
COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS &
TOLL PLLC
88 Pine Street, 14th Floor,
New York, NY 10005
Telephone: (212) 838-7797
Facsimile: (212) 838-7745
ekafka@cohenmilstein.com

10 Charles Reichmann (SBN 206699)
11 **LAW OFFICES OF CHARLES REICHMANN**
12 16 Yale Circle
13 Kensington, CA 94708-1015
14 Telephone: (415) 373-8849
15 Charles.reichmann@gmail.com

16 *Counsel for Plaintiffs and Proposed Class*

17 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
18 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
19 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

20 DZ Reserve and Cain Maxwell (d/b/a Max
21 Martialis), individually and on behalf of
22 others similarly situated,

23 Plaintiffs,

24 vs.

25 FACEBOOK, INC.,

26 Defendant.

Case No.: 3:18-cv-04978-JD

**PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION**

Date: June 10, 2021

Time: 10:00 am

Court: Courtroom 11, 19th Floor
Hon. James Donato

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

INTRODUCTION 1

ARGUMENT 3

I. Plaintiffs Meet the Typicality and Adequacy Requirements of Rule 23(a)(3)-(4) 3

 A. The Record Shows that Plaintiffs Relied on Potential Reach..... 3

 B. Facebook’s Remaining Typicality and Adequacy Arguments Are Meritless 5

II. The Court Should Certify a 23(b)(2) Class for Injunctive Relief 6

III. The Court Should Certify a 23(b)(3) Class for Damages 6

 A. Facebook Does not Dispute All Class Members Are Exposed to Potential Reach 7

 1. Materiality Is a Common Issue Giving Rise to a Presumption of Reliance..... 7

 2. Facebook’s Potential Reach Inflation and “Inaccurate Representation” That It Counts People Are Uniform Misrepresentations 9

 3. Cowan Shows Every Class Member Received Inflated Potential Reach Numbers and Facebook Only Criticizes His “Input Assumptions” 10

 B. Plaintiffs’ Experts Provide Two Methods to Calculate Classwide Damages 12

 1. Facebook’s Attempt to Use Allenby’s Survey Results to Invoke *Olean* Fails as a Matter of Law..... 12

 2. Facebook Does Not Challenge the Admissibility of Roughgarden’s Auction Simulation – Which Shows All Advertisers Paid a Price Premium..... 13

 3. Plaintiffs’ Damages Stem Directly from Their Theories of Liability..... 14

 C. Class Treatment Is Superior as All Claims Will Be Adjudicated in One Trial 15

CONCLUSION..... 15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Adkins v. Facebook, Inc.</i> , 424 F. Supp. 3d 686 (N.D. Cal. 2019)	6
<i>Ambrosio v. Cogent Commc'n's, Inc.</i> , 2016 WL 777775 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 29, 2016)	6
<i>Amgen Inc. v. Conn. Ret. Plans & Tr. Funds</i> , 568 U.S. 455467 (2013).....	4, 7, 8
<i>Astiana v. Kashi Co.</i> , 291 F.R.D. 493 (S.D. Cal. 2013)	6
<i>Avilez v. Pinkerton Government Services, Inc.</i> , 596 F. App'x 597 (9th Cir. 2015)	5, 6
<i>B.K. ex rel. Tinsley v. Snyder</i> , 922 F.3d 957 (9th Cir. 2019)	6
<i>Bally v. State Farm Life Ins. Co.</i> , 335 F.R.D. 288 (N.D. Cal. 2020).....	11
<i>Bradach v. Pharmavite, LLC</i> , 735 F. App'x. 251 (9th Cir. 2018) (unpublished)	9
<i>Brickman v. Fitbit Inc.</i> , 2017 WL 5569827 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 20, 2017)	7
<i>Broomfield v. Craft Brew All.</i> , 2018 WL 4952519 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 25, 2018)	9, 13
<i>C.f. Berger v. Home Depot USA, Inc.</i> , 741 F.3d 1061 (9th Cir. 2014)	10
<i>In re Capacitors Antitrust Litig.</i> , 2020 WL 870927 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 21, 2020)	11
<i>Chowning v. Kohl's Dep't Stores, Inc.</i> , 733 F. App'x 404 (9th Cir. 2018)	15
<i>In re ConAgra Foods, Inc.</i> , 90 F. Supp. 3d 919,967-68 (C.D. Cal. 2015)	4, 13

1 *Dickey v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.*,
 2 2019 WL 251488 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 17, 2019)10

3 *Ewert v. eBay, Inc.*,
 4 2010 WL 4269259 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 25, 2010).....5

5 *In re Facebook, Inc., PPC Advertising Litigation*,
 282 F.R.D. 446 (N.D. Cal. 2012).....5

6 *In re First All. Mortg. Co.*,
 7 471 F.3d 977 (9th Cir. 2006)9

8 *Fitzhenry-Russell v. Dr. Pepper Snapple Grp., Inc.*,
 9 326 F.R.D. 592 (N.D. Cal. 2018).....13

10 *In re Foodservice Inc. Pricing Litig.*,
 729 F.3d 108, 118 (2d Cir. 2013).....9

11 *Hadley v. Kellogg Sales Co.*,
 12 324 F. Supp. 3d 1084 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 17, 2018)3, 8

13 *Hawkins v. Kroger Co.*,
 14 337 F.R.D. 518 (S.D. Cal. 2020)4

15 *Hernandez v. Cty. of Monterey*,
 305 F.R.D. 132 (N.D. Cal. 2015).....5

16 *Hinojos v. Kohl’s Corp.*,
 17 718 F.3d 1098 (9th Cir. 2013)8

18 *Hirsch v. USHealth Advisors, LLC*,
 337 F.R.D. 118 (N.D. Tex. 2020).....3

19 *Jones v. ConAgra Foods, Inc.*,
 20 2014 WL 2702726 (N.D. Cal. June 13, 2014).....10

21 *Just Film, Inc. v. Buono*,
 22 847 F.3d 1108 (9th Cir. 2017)3

23 *Kosta v. Del Monte Foods, Inc.*,
 308 F.R.D. 217 (N.D. Cal. 2015).....8

24 *Krommenhock v. Post Foods, LLC*,
 25 2020 WL 6074107 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 29, 2020)7

26 *Krommenhock v. Post Foods, LLC*,
 334 F.R.D. 552 (N.D. Cal. 2020).....7

27 *Kwikset Corp. v. Super. Ct.*,
 28 51 Cal. 4th 310 (2011)4

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.