1 2 3 4 5	Michael K. Friedland (Bar No. 157,217) michael.friedland@knobbe.com Lauren Keller Katzenellenbogen (Bar No. 2 Lauren.katzenellenbogen@knobbe.com KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP 2040 Main Street, Fourteenth Floor Irvine, CA 92614 Phone: (949) 760-0404 Facsimile: (949) 760-9502	23,370)
6 7 8 9	Kimberly A. Kennedy (Bar No. 305,499) kimberly.kennedy@knobbe.com KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP 333 Bush Street, 21st Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Phone: (415) 954-4114 Facsimile: (415) 651-4111	
10 11 12 13 14	Adam B. Powell (Bar No. 272,725) adam.powell@knobbe.com KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP 12790 El Camino Real San Diego, CA 92130 Phone: (858) 707-4000 Facsimile: (858) 707-4001 Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant TESLA, INC.	
16	IN THE UNITED ST	ATES DISTRICT COURT
17	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18	NIKOLA CORPORATION, a Delaware) Civil Action No. 3:18-cy-7460-ID
19	corporation,) Hon. James Donato
20	Plaintiff,/Counter Defendant) TESLA, INC.'S ANSWER TO THIRD
21	v.) AMENDED COMPLAINT AND) COUNTERCLIAMS
22	TESLA, INC., a Delaware corporation,) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
23	Defendant/Counterclaimant.)
24		,
25		
26		
27		



3

8

9

6

11

24 25

26

27 28

Defendant Tesla, Inc. ("Tesla") hereby answers the Third Amended Complaint of Plaintiff Nikola Corporation ("Nikola").

I. RESPONSE TO NIKOLA'S INTRODUCTION¹

- 1. Tesla lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 1, and therefore denies those allegations.
- 2. Tesla lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 2, and therefore denies those allegations.
- 3. Tesla lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 3, and therefore denies those allegations.
- 4. Tesla lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 4, and therefore denies those allegations.
- 5. Tesla admits that as of May 9, 2016, Tesla had not publicly announced that it was considering building a class 8 semi-truck. Tesla denies any remaining allegations in paragraph 5.
 - 6. Denied.
- 7. Tesla lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 7, and therefore denies those allegations.
 - 8. Denied.
- 9. Tesla admits that it filed a 10-Q that included reporting for the three-month period ending June 30, 2016. The document speaks for itself. Tesla denies any remaining allegations in paragraph 9.
- 10. Tesla admits that on July 20, 2016, Elon Musk, Tesla's CEO, posted on Tesla's blog that "heavy-duty trucks" were "in the early stages of development at Tesla and should be ready for unveiling next year." Tesla lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 10, and therefore denies those allegations.

¹ For the Court's convenience, Tesla has incorporated the section titles that appear in the Complaint. Tesla does not necessarily agree with the characterizations of such section titles and does not waive any right to object to those characterizations.



7

5

11 12

10

14 15

13

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

- 11. Tesla lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 11, and therefore denies those allegations.
- 12. Tesla lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 12, and therefore denies those allegations.
- 13. Tesla admits that as of April 28, 2017, it did not have any issued design patents based on its Tesla Semi.² Tesla admits that as of April 28, 2017, it had not publicly announced that it was seeking any design patents based on its Tesla Semi. Tesla lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 13, and therefore denies those allegations.
- 14. Tesla admits that on April 28, 2017, during a TED conference in Vancouver, Elon Musk shared an image showing a darkened silhouette of the Tesla Semi from the front with the headlights on. Tesla denies any remaining allegations in paragraph 14.
- 15. Tesla admits that it received a letter from Nikola dated November 7, 2017 and that paragraph 15 purports to describe certain contents of that letter, which speaks for itself. Tesla admits that it did not respond to Nikola's letter dated November 7, 2017. Tesla denies any remaining allegations in paragraph 15.
- 16. Tesla admits that on November 16, 2017, Tesla held an event in Hawthorne, California and displayed two prototypes of the Tesla Semi. Tesla admits that the event was attended by journalists, industry leaders, potential customers, and Tesla employees. Tesla admits that the event was streamed online. Tesla admits that Tesla received reservations for its Tesla Semi before November 16, 2017. Tesla admits that on November 17, 2017, Tesla's market capitalization was around \$52.95 billion. Tesla lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 16, and therefore denies those allegations.

² In the Third Amended Complaint ("Complaint"), Nikola repeatedly uses the phrase "Tesla Semi." Tesla understands that that the Complaint uses the phrase to refer only to the particular version of a prototype design of Tesla Semi that is specifically identified in the Complaint. Tesla's response to each paragraph that uses this phrase incorporates this understanding of the term.



- 17. Tesla lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 17, and therefore denies those allegations.
- 18. Tesla admits that the PTO issued patents to Nikola. Tesla denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 18.
 - 19. Denied.
- 20. Tesla admits that it has made statements about the view drivers have from the driver's seat of the Tesla Semi. Tesla denies all remaining allegations in paragraph 20.
- 21. Tesla admits that it has made statements regarding the aerodynamic design of the Tesla Semi and that it has made statements that the Tesla Semi has a drag coefficient of around 0.36. Tesla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations regarding the drag coefficient of the Nikola One, and therefore denies them. Tesla denies all remaining allegations in paragraph 21.
- 22. Tesla admits that the Tesla Semi has a door and has made statements regarding a user's ability to access the vehicle. Tesla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations regarding the drag coefficient of the Nikola One, and therefore denies them. Tesla denies all remaining allegations in paragraph 22.
 - 23. Denied.
- 24. Tesla admits that the PTO issued a utility patent to Nikola. Tesla denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 24.
 - 25. Denied.
 - 26. Denied.
- 27. Tesla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies them.
 - 28. Denied.

25 | ///

26 | ///



II. RESPONSE TO ALLEGATIONS REGARDING

PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

- 29. Tesla lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 29, and therefore denies those allegations
 - 30. Admitted.
- 31. Tesla admits that the Third Amended Complaint alleges infringement of United States Patent Nos. D811,944 (the "'D944 Patent"), D811,968 (the "'D968 Patent"), D816,004 (the "'D004 Patent"), and 10,077,084 (the "'084 Patent") arising under 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.* and alleges infringement of Nikola's Nikola One trade dress arising under 15 U.S.C. § 1051 *et seq.*, but denies that Nikola is entitled to any relief. Tesla denies any remaining allegations in paragraph 31.
 - 32. Admitted.
- 33. This paragraph contains legal conclusions to which no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Tesla does not contest personal jurisdiction in the Northern District of California for the purposes of this action only. Tesla specifically denies that it has offered for sale any allegedly infringing product. Tesla denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 33.
- 34. This paragraph contains legal conclusions to which no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Tesla does not contest venue in the Northern District of California for the purposes of this action only. Tesla specifically denies that it has offered for sale any allegedly infringing product. Tesla denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 34.

III. <u>FACTUAL BACKGROUND</u>

A. Response to Allegations That Trevor Milton and Steve Jennes Designed

the Nikola One

- 35. Tesla lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 35, and therefore denies those allegations.
- 36. Tesla lacks sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 36, and therefore denies those allegations.
 - 37. Denied.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

