	Case 3:19-cv-00977-RS Document 28	Filed 05/08/19 Page 1 of 3
1	ERIK J. OLSON (CA SBN 175815) EJOlson@mofo.com	Vernon M. Winters (SBN 130128) Sue Wang (SBN 286247)
2	ERIC C. PAI (CA SBN 247604)	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
3	EPai@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	555 California Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94104-1503
4	755 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304-1018	Telephone: (415) 772-1200 Facsimile: (415) 772-7400
5	Telephone: (650) 813.5600 Facsimile: (650) 494.0792	vwinters@sidley.com
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	Nicholas Groombridge (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Eric A. Stone (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
	SANDOZ INC.	Jennifer H. Wu (pro hac vice)
7		PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP
8		1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019-6064
9		Telephone: (212) 373-3000 Facsimile: (212) 757-3990
10		ngroombridge@paulweiss.com
11		Wendy A. Whiteford (SBN 150283)
12		Lois M. Kwasigroch (SBN 130159) AMGEN INC.
13		One Amgen Center Drive Thousand Oaks, CA 91320-1789
14		Telephone: (805) 447-1000 Facsimile: (805) 447-1010
15		wendy@amgen.com
16		Attorneys for Defendants AMGEN INC. and AMGEN
17		MANUFACTURING, LIMITED
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
20	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
21		Case No. 3:19-cv-00977-RS
22	SANDOZ INC.,	
23	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE
24	V.	FOR AMGEN'S MOTION TO DISMISS
25	AMGEN INC. and AMGEN MANUFACTURING, LIMITED,	
26	Defendants.	
27		
28		

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Case 3:19-cv-00977-RS Document 28 Filed 05/08/19 Page 2 of 3

1Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, Plaintiff Sandoz Inc. ("Sandoz") and2Defendants Amgen Inc. and Amgen Manufacturing, Limited (collectively, "Amgen"), through3their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:4WHEREAS, Amgen filed a Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 22) on April 24, 2019;5WHEREAS, under the existing briefing schedule, Sandoz's opposition to the motion to6dismiss is due today, May 8, 2019, and Amgen's reply is due May 15, 2019;7WHEREAS, today the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit issued its opinion in the8appeal from the related cases Amgen Inc. v. Sandoz Inc., Case No. 3:14-cv-04741-RS, and Amgen9Inc. v. Sandoz Inc., Case No. 3:16-cv-02581-RS;10WHEREAS, a short extension of the briefing schedule on the motion to dismiss would11allow the parties to consider and account for the Federal Circuit opinion before submitting their12opposition and reply briefs;13WHEREAS, the parties believe the proposed extension is sufficiently short that it would14still provide the Court with sufficient time between the completion of briefing and the hearing on15the motion to dismiss;16NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby jointly request that the Court extend the time for17Sandoz to file its opposition to May 13, 2019 and for Amgen to file its reply to May 20, 2019.
 their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate as follows: WHEREAS, Amgen filed a Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 22) on April 24, 2019; WHEREAS, under the existing briefing schedule, Sandoz's opposition to the motion to dismiss is due today, May 8, 2019, and Amgen's reply is due May 15, 2019; WHEREAS, today the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit issued its opinion in the appeal from the related cases <i>Amgen Inc. v. Sandoz Inc.</i>, Case No. 3:14-cv-04741-RS, and <i>Amgen Inc. v. Sandoz Inc.</i>, Case No. 3:16-cv-02581-RS; WHEREAS, a short extension of the briefing schedule on the motion to dismiss would allow the parties to consider and account for the Federal Circuit opinion before submitting their opposition and reply briefs; WHEREAS, the parties believe the proposed extension is sufficiently short that it would still provide the Court with sufficient time between the completion of briefing and the hearing on the motion to dismiss; NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby jointly request that the Court extend the time for Sandoz to file its opposition to May 13, 2019 and for Amgen to file its reply to May 20, 2019.
 WHEREAS, Amgen filed a Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 22) on April 24, 2019; WHEREAS, under the existing briefing schedule, Sandoz's opposition to the motion to dismiss is due today, May 8, 2019, and Amgen's reply is due May 15, 2019; WHEREAS, today the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit issued its opinion in the appeal from the related cases <i>Amgen Inc. v. Sandoz Inc.</i>, Case No. 3:14-cv-04741-RS, and <i>Amgen</i> <i>Inc. v. Sandoz Inc.</i>, Case No. 3:16-cv-02581-RS; WHEREAS, a short extension of the briefing schedule on the motion to dismiss would allow the parties to consider and account for the Federal Circuit opinion before submitting their opposition and reply briefs; WHEREAS, the parties believe the proposed extension is sufficiently short that it would still provide the Court with sufficient time between the completion of briefing and the hearing on the motion to dismiss; NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby jointly request that the Court extend the time for Sandoz to file its opposition to May 13, 2019 and for Amgen to file its reply to May 20, 2019.
 WHEREAS, under the existing briefing schedule, Sandoz's opposition to the motion to dismiss is due today, May 8, 2019, and Amgen's reply is due May 15, 2019; WHEREAS, today the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit issued its opinion in the appeal from the related cases <i>Amgen Inc. v. Sandoz Inc.</i>, Case No. 3:14-cv-04741-RS, and <i>Amgen Inc. v. Sandoz Inc.</i>, Case No. 3:16-cv-02581-RS; WHEREAS, a short extension of the briefing schedule on the motion to dismiss would allow the parties to consider and account for the Federal Circuit opinion before submitting their opposition and reply briefs; WHEREAS, the parties believe the proposed extension is sufficiently short that it would still provide the Court with sufficient time between the completion of briefing and the hearing on the motion to dismiss; NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby jointly request that the Court extend the time for Sandoz to file its opposition to May 13, 2019 and for Amgen to file its reply to May 20, 2019.
 dismiss is due today, May 8, 2019, and Amgen's reply is due May 15, 2019; WHEREAS, today the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit issued its opinion in the appeal from the related cases <i>Amgen Inc. v. Sandoz Inc.</i>, Case No. 3:14-cv-04741-RS, and <i>Amgen</i> <i>Inc. v. Sandoz Inc.</i>, Case No. 3:16-cv-02581-RS; WHEREAS, a short extension of the briefing schedule on the motion to dismiss would allow the parties to consider and account for the Federal Circuit opinion before submitting their opposition and reply briefs; WHEREAS, the parties believe the proposed extension is sufficiently short that it would still provide the Court with sufficient time between the completion of briefing and the hearing on the motion to dismiss; NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby jointly request that the Court extend the time for Sandoz to file its opposition to May 13, 2019 and for Amgen to file its reply to May 20, 2019.
 WHEREAS, today the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit issued its opinion in the appeal from the related cases <i>Amgen Inc. v. Sandoz Inc.</i>, Case No. 3:14-cv-04741-RS, and <i>Amgen</i> <i>Inc. v. Sandoz Inc.</i>, Case No. 3:16-cv-02581-RS; WHEREAS, a short extension of the briefing schedule on the motion to dismiss would allow the parties to consider and account for the Federal Circuit opinion before submitting their opposition and reply briefs; WHEREAS, the parties believe the proposed extension is sufficiently short that it would still provide the Court with sufficient time between the completion of briefing and the hearing on the motion to dismiss; NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby jointly request that the Court extend the time for Sandoz to file its opposition to May 13, 2019 and for Amgen to file its reply to May 20, 2019.
 appeal from the related cases <i>Amgen Inc. v. Sandoz Inc.</i>, Case No. 3:14-cv-04741-RS, and <i>Amgen Inc. v. Sandoz Inc.</i>, Case No. 3:16-cv-02581-RS; WHEREAS, a short extension of the briefing schedule on the motion to dismiss would allow the parties to consider and account for the Federal Circuit opinion before submitting their opposition and reply briefs; WHEREAS, the parties believe the proposed extension is sufficiently short that it would still provide the Court with sufficient time between the completion of briefing and the hearing on the motion to dismiss; NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby jointly request that the Court extend the time for Sandoz to file its opposition to May 13, 2019 and for Amgen to file its reply to May 20, 2019.
 <i>Inc. v. Sandoz Inc.</i>, Case No. 3:16-cv-02581-RS; WHEREAS, a short extension of the briefing schedule on the motion to dismiss would allow the parties to consider and account for the Federal Circuit opinion before submitting their opposition and reply briefs; WHEREAS, the parties believe the proposed extension is sufficiently short that it would still provide the Court with sufficient time between the completion of briefing and the hearing on the motion to dismiss; NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby jointly request that the Court extend the time for Sandoz to file its opposition to May 13, 2019 and for Amgen to file its reply to May 20, 2019.
 WHEREAS, a short extension of the briefing schedule on the motion to dismiss would allow the parties to consider and account for the Federal Circuit opinion before submitting their opposition and reply briefs; WHEREAS, the parties believe the proposed extension is sufficiently short that it would still provide the Court with sufficient time between the completion of briefing and the hearing on the motion to dismiss; NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby jointly request that the Court extend the time for Sandoz to file its opposition to May 13, 2019 and for Amgen to file its reply to May 20, 2019.
 allow the parties to consider and account for the Federal Circuit opinion before submitting their opposition and reply briefs; WHEREAS, the parties believe the proposed extension is sufficiently short that it would still provide the Court with sufficient time between the completion of briefing and the hearing on the motion to dismiss; NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby jointly request that the Court extend the time for Sandoz to file its opposition to May 13, 2019 and for Amgen to file its reply to May 20, 2019.
 opposition and reply briefs; WHEREAS, the parties believe the proposed extension is sufficiently short that it would still provide the Court with sufficient time between the completion of briefing and the hearing on the motion to dismiss; NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby jointly request that the Court extend the time for Sandoz to file its opposition to May 13, 2019 and for Amgen to file its reply to May 20, 2019.
 WHEREAS, the parties believe the proposed extension is sufficiently short that it would still provide the Court with sufficient time between the completion of briefing and the hearing on the motion to dismiss; NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby jointly request that the Court extend the time for Sandoz to file its opposition to May 13, 2019 and for Amgen to file its reply to May 20, 2019.
 still provide the Court with sufficient time between the completion of briefing and the hearing on the motion to dismiss; NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby jointly request that the Court extend the time for Sandoz to file its opposition to May 13, 2019 and for Amgen to file its reply to May 20, 2019.
 the motion to dismiss; NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby jointly request that the Court extend the time for Sandoz to file its opposition to May 13, 2019 and for Amgen to file its reply to May 20, 2019.
 NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby jointly request that the Court extend the time for Sandoz to file its opposition to May 13, 2019 and for Amgen to file its reply to May 20, 2019.
17 Sandoz to file its opposition to May 13, 2019 and for Amgen to file its reply to May 20, 2019.
10
18
19
20 Detail May 8, 2010 MORPHSON & ECEPSTER LLP
21 Dated: May 8, 2019 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
By: <u>/s/ Erik J. Olson</u>
23 Erik J. Olson
24 Attorneys for Plaintiff SANDOZ INC.
25
26
27
28

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

	Case 3:19-cv-00977-R	5 Document 28 Filed 05/08/19 Page 3 of 3		
1				
2	Dated: May 8, 2019	PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP		
3				
4		By: <u>/s/ Nicholas Groombridge</u> Nicholas Groombridge		
5		Attorneys for Defendants AMGEN INC. and AMGEN		
6		AMGEN INC. and AMGEN MANUFACTURING, LIMITED		
7				
	 8 SIGNATURE ATTESTATION 9 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby certify that concurrence in the filing of 			
10	this document has been obtain	ned from each of the other Signatories shown above.		
11	Dated: May 8, 2019	By: /s/ Erik J. Olson		
12 13		Erik J. Olson		
13				
14				
15				
10				
18	PURSUANT TO STIPULA	TION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
10				
20	Dated: <u>May 8</u> , 2019	THE HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG		
21		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
DOCKET				
		ourt documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .		