

1 Ricardo J. Prieto (Admitted *PHV*)  
2 rprieto@eeoc.net  
3 SHELLIST | LAZARZ | SLOBIN LLP  
4 11 Greenway Plaza, Suite 1515  
5 Houston, Texas 77046  
6 Telephone: (713) 621-2277  
7 Facsimile: (713) 621-0993

8 Melinda Arbuckle (Cal. Bar No. 302723)  
9 marbuckle@eeoc.net  
10 SHELLIST | LAZARZ | SLOBIN LLP  
11 402 West Broadway, Suite 400  
12 San Diego, California 92101  
13 Telephone: (713) 621-2277  
14 Facsimile: (713) 621-0993

15 Robert R. Debes, Jr. (Admitted *PHV*)  
16 bdebes@debeslaw.com  
17 DEBES LAW FIRM  
18 5909 West Loop South, Suite 510  
19 Bellaire, Texas 77401  
20 Telephone: (713) 623-0900  
21 Facsimile: (713) 623-0951

22 *Counsel for Plaintiff, Jacob McGrath, and  
23 Proposed Collective Action Members*

24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

1 JACOB McGRATH, on behalf of himself and  
2 all others similarly situated,

3 Plaintiff,

4 vs.

5 DOORDASH, INC.,

6 Defendant.

7 Case No: 3:19-cv-05279-EMC

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
**PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR LEAVE  
TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL EXHIBITS IN  
SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR  
RECONSIDERATION [ECF NO. 200]**

1 Action Filed: August 23, 2019

2 Judge: Edward M. Chen  
3 Date: October 29, 2020  
4 Time: N/A  
5 Place: N/A

Case No. 3:19-cv-05279-EMC

**PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL EXHIBITS IN  
SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION [ECF NO. 200]**

Pursuant to Civil. L.R. 7-3(d), Plaintiff hereby seeks leave of the Court to file supplemental Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration (ECF No. 200) ("the Motion"), which are submitted herewith as Exhibits A and B.

On November 11, 2020, Plaintiff filed a Motion for Leave to file Motion for Reconsideration of Order Compelling Arbitration (ECF No. 200). The Court granted Plaintiff's Motion for leave, and deemed it the Motion for Reconsideration. (ECF No. 201).

As Plaintiff explains in the Motion, and relevant to this motion, the Court should, respectfully, reconsider its Order granting arbitration because “it failed to consider Plaintiff’s timely-lodged Objection (ECF No. 192), which objects to Defendant’s current arbitration agreement roll-out that took place during this litigation.” (see ECF No. 200 at p. 5, citing to *O’Connor v. Uber Technologies, Inc.*, 2013 WL 6407583, at \*7 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 6, 2013) (Chen, J.) (refusing to enforce arbitration agreements which were presented to putative class members during the pendency of an action)). Accordingly, Defendant’s current arbitration agreement administered through CPR should not be enforced in this case. (*Id.*). Furthermore, the Court erred when it failed to consider Plaintiff’s timely-lodged Objection concerning Defendant’s counsel presenting arbitration agreements with class waivers to Opt-In Plaintiffs (which it then sought to enforce against them here), without first conferring with their undersigned counsel. (see ECF No. 200 at p. 3).

Notwithstanding Plaintiff’s request in the Motion to invalidate all arbitration agreements relevant to the Opt-In Plaintiffs administered through CPR which Defendant impermissibly rolled-out during this litigation, Plaintiff seeks leave to submit two Exhibits which: (1) lists the individuals who were presented with arbitration agreements by Defendant, without notifying the undersigned counsel, despite Defendant’s knowledge of their legal representation (Exhibit A); and (2) which lists the individuals who had yet to opt-into this case, but who were represented by

1 the undersigned counsel, and were presented with arbitration agreements by Defendant (Exhibit  
 2 B).

3 It is Plaintiff's position that Defense counsel had an ethical and legal obligation to confer  
 4 with the undersigned counsel before presenting the individuals listed in Exhibit A with an  
 5 arbitration agreement and class waiver. Furthermore, it is Plaintiff's position that had Defense  
 6 counsel timely conferred with the undersigned regarding its planned arbitration agreement roll-  
 7 out, Plaintiff's counsel would have had an opportunity to notify Defense counsel of its  
 8 representation of the individuals listed in Exhibit B.

9 Good cause exists to grant Plaintiff's request. Plaintiff previously notified the Court in his  
 10 Motion (see ECF 200 at p. 11), that "Plaintiff is currently reviewing Defendant's late filed  
 11 declaration (ECF No. 187-1) to determine: (1) which Opt-In Plaintiffs have been affected by the  
 12 arbitration roll out and/or (2) which Opt-In Plaintiffs Defendant had knowledge of their legal  
 13 representation but nonetheless presented them with a class action waiver without consulting with  
 14 their undersigned counsel." The purpose was to compile a list of specific examples, as reflected  
 15 in Exhibits A and B, in support of Plaintiff's Motion so that Plaintiff could present the result of  
 16 such review in a Motion for Reconsideration. (see ECF No. 200 at p. 4 fn. 3). Indeed, the  
 17 information reflected in Exhibits A and B is directly relevant to Plaintiff's Motion because it will  
 18 assist the Court in determining that violations occurred consequent to Defendant's arbitration  
 19 roll-out. Finally, Plaintiff has no other opportunity to provide this information to the Court, in  
 20 light of the Clerk's Notice that "there shall be no reply brief or hearing absent further order of the  
 21 Court." (ECF No. 201).

22 Exhibits A and B are limited to identifying information for the above discussed Opt-In  
 23 Plaintiffs, which shows when they opted into this case, when they were presented with  
 24 Defendant's arbitration agreement, and when they retained Plaintiff's counsel.

25 Defendant's counsel has advised Plaintiff's counsel that it opposes his request.

26 DATED: November 25, 2020

1 Respectfully submitted,

2 By: /s/Ricardo J. Prieto  
3 Ricardo J. Prieto

4 **SHELLIST | LAZARZ | SLOBIN LLP**

5 Ricardo J. Prieto (Admitted *PHV*)  
6 rprieto@eeoc.net  
7 11 Greenway Plaza, Suite 1515  
8 Houston, Texas 77046  
9 Telephone: (713) 621-2277  
10 Facsimile: (713) 621-0993

11 Melinda Arbuckle (Cal. Bar No. 302723)  
12 marbuckle@eeoc.net  
13 402 West Broadway, Suite 400  
14 San Diego, California 92101  
15 Telephone: (713) 621-2277  
16 Facsimile: (713) 621-0993

17 &

18 **DEBES LAW FIRM**

19 Robert R. Debes, Jr. (Admitted *PHV*)  
20 bdebes@debeslaw.com  
21 5909 West Loop South, Suite 510  
22 Bellaire, Texas 77401  
23 Telephone: (713) 623-0900  
24 Facsimile: (713) 623-0951

25 *Counsel for Plaintiff, Jacob McGrath, and*  
26 *Proposed Collective Action Members*