

1 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
2 THEODORE J. BOUTROUS JR., SBN 132099
3 tboutrous@gibsondunn.com
4 THEANE EVANGELIS, SBN 243570
5 tevangelis@gibsondunn.com
6 BLAINE H. EVANSON, SBN 254338
7 bevanson@gibsondunn.com
8 HEATHER RICHARDSON, SBN 246517
9 hrichardson@gibsondunn.com
10 333 South Grand Avenue
11 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197
12 Telephone: 213.229.7000
13 Facsimile: 213.229.7520

9 JOSHUA S. LIPSHUTZ, SBN 242557
10 jlipshutz@gibsondunn.com
11 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
12 Washington D.C. 20036-5306
13 Telephone: 202.955.8500
14 Facsimile: 202.467.0539

13 Attorneys for Uber Technologies, Inc.

14
15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

16 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

17 THOMAS COLOPY, CHRISTOPHER
18 JAMES, and SPENCER VERHINES,
19 individually and on behalf of all others
20 similarly situated,

21 Plaintiffs,

22 v.

23 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

24 Defendant.

CASE NO. 3:19-cv-06462-EMC

**DEFENDANT’S PARTIAL MOTION TO
DISMISS OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE,
STRIKE PLAINTIFFS’ CONSOLIDATED
COMPLAINT**

Hearing:

Date: June 18, 2020

Time: 1:30 p.m.

Place: Courtroom 5

Judge: Honorable Edward M. Chen

Action Filed: October 8, 2019

Trial Date: none set

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- I. INTRODUCTION 1
- II. FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND..... 2
- III. LEGAL STANDARD..... 5
- IV. ARGUMENT 6
 - A. Plaintiffs Have Not Alleged a Cognizable Claim for Paid Sick Leave (Count I)..... 7
 - 1. There Is No Private Right of Action to Sue for Violations of California Labor Code §§ 246 or 2750.3 7
 - 2. Plaintiffs Do Not Plead Sufficient Facts to Support Their Paid Sick Leave Claim 10
 - B. Plaintiffs Fail to State a Claim for Unlawful Business Practices (Count VI) 15
 - C. Count I Is Duplicative of Plaintiffs’ Other Causes of Action 17
 - D. Plaintiff Colopy Should Be Dismissed Because Plaintiffs Have Waived His Claims by Failing to Reassert Them in the Consolidated Complaint 18
- V. CONCLUSION 19

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)**Cases**

1		
2		
3	Cases	
4	<i>Aiello v. BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP,</i>	
5	2011 WL 13266352 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 30, 2011)	17
6	<i>Allstate Ins. Co. v. Barnett,</i>	
7	2011 WL 2415383 (N.D. Cal. June 15, 2011)	15
8	<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal,</i>	
9	556 U.S. 662 (2009)	5, 6, 10, 12
10	<i>Backhaut v. Apple Inc.,</i>	
11	2015 WL 4776427 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 13, 2015)	16
12	<i>Barnes v. AT&T Pension Ben. Plan-Nonbargained Program,</i>	
13	718 F. Supp. 2d 1167 (N.D. Cal. 2010)	6
14	<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly,</i>	
15	550 U.S. 544 (2007)	5
16	<i>Biederman v. Nw. Tr. Servs., Inc.,</i>	
17	2015 WL 3889371 (C.D. Cal. June 24, 2015)	18
18	<i>Birdsong v. Apple, Inc.,</i>	
19	590 F.3d 955 (9th Cir. 2009)	16
20	<i>Bowling v. Diamond Resorts Int'l, Inc.,</i>	
21	2018 WL 3244068 (D. Haw. July 3, 2018)	12
22	<i>Brooks v. Agate Res., Inc.,</i>	
23	2019 WL 2635594 (D. Or. Mar. 25, 2019)	12
24	<i>Bryant v. J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A.,</i>	
25	671 F. App'x 985 (9th Cir. 2016)	17
26	<i>Carter v. Rasier-CA, LLC,</i>	
27	2017 WL 4098858 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 15, 2017)	14
28	<i>Chao v. Aurora Loan Servs., LLC,</i>	
	2013 WL 5487420 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 30, 2013)	14
	<i>Chubb Custom Ins. Co. v. Space Sys./Loral, Inc.,</i>	
	710 F.3d 946 (9th Cir. 2013)	19
	<i>Colopy v. Uber Techs. Inc.,</i>	
	2019 WL 6841218 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 16, 2019)	3, 7, 8, 13

1 *Cont'l Cas. Co. v. Nationwide Mut. Ins. Co.*,
 2 2014 WL 12607694 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 3, 2014).....17

3 *Dimmick v. N. Cal. Inst. for Research & Educ.*,
 4 2005 WL 8177404 (N.D. Cal. May 23, 2005)10

5 *Doe v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*,
 6 572 F.3d 677 (9th Cir. 2009).....5

7 *Edejer v. DHI Mortg. Co.*,
 8 2009 WL 1684714 (N.D. Cal. June 12, 2009)18

9 *Fantasy, Inc. v. Fogerty*,
 10 984 F.2d 1524 (9th Cir. 1993).....6

11 *Fiedler v. Clark*,
 12 714 F.2d 77 (9th Cir. 1983).....1, 9

13 *In re Ford Motor Co./Citibank (S. Dakota), N.A.*,
 14 264 F.3d 952 (9th Cir. 2001).....18

15 *Fox-Quamme v. Health Net Health Plan of Or., Inc.*,
 16 2016 WL 1724358 (D. Or. Apr. 29, 2016).....10

17 *Frudden v. Pilling*,
 18 842 F. Supp. 2d 1265 (D. Nev. 2012)9

19 *Gardner v. Safeco Ins. Co. of Am.*,
 20 2014 WL 2568895 (N.D. Cal. June 6, 2014)15

21 *Gazzano v. Stanford Univ.*,
 22 2013 WL 2403646 (N.D. Cal. May 31, 2013)19

23 *Graham v. U.S. Bank, Nat'l Ass'n*,
 24 2015 WL 10322087 (D. Or. Dec. 2, 2015)9

25 *Gutierrez v. Aaron's Inc.*,
 26 2010 WL 4968142 (E.D. Cal. Dec. 1, 2010).....6

27 *Harding v. Time Warner, Inc.*,
 28 2009 WL 2575898 (S.D. Cal. Aug. 18, 2009)5

Harris v. Vector Mktg. Corp.,
 2010 WL 2077015 (N.D. Cal. May 20, 2010)15

Heath v. Google LLC,
 2018 WL 398463 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 12, 2018)1, 18, 19

Iolani Islander, LLC v. Stewart Title Guar. Co.,
 2017 WL 11139924 (D. Haw. Nov. 7, 2017).....9

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.