

1 JENKS IP LAW PLLC
2 William Jenks (SBN 212,609)
3 1629 K St NW, Suite 300
Washington DC 20016
Telephone: (202) 412-7964

5 Attorney for Amici Curiae

7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

9 INTEL CORPORATION and APPLE INC.,
10 Plaintiffs,

11 v.
12

13 FORTRESS INVESTMENT GROUP LLC,
FORTRESS CREDIT CO. LLC,
UNILOC 2017 LLC,
UNILOC USA, INC.,
UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A.R.L.,
VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC,
INVT SPE LLC,
INVENTERGY GLOBAL, INC.,
DSS TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT,
INC., IXI IP, LLC, and
SEVEN NETWORKS, LLC

18 Defendants.
19

20 Case No. 3:19-cv-07651-EMC
21

22 **AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF OF
UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC,
CABLELABS,
PATREON, AND
BITMOVIN, INC.
IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS'
OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS'
MOTION TO DISMISS**

23 Hon. Edward M. Chen
24

1 **Table of Contents**

2	I. Interests of Amici Curiae	1
3	II. PAEs Tax Innovation and Harm Competition	2
4	A. PAE Litigation Is Ensconced in the Patent System.....	3
5	B. The PAE Business Model.....	4
6	C. The New PAE Business Model: Mass Aggregation.....	6
7	D. Litigation Financing and Hidden Funding Sources Drive Mass Aggregation	8
8	III. Anticompetitive Patent Acquisition Schemes That Include Assertion of Intellectual	
9	Property Are Subject to Antitrust Scrutiny	12
10	IV. Defendants Have Combined the Mass Aggregation of Patents with Serial Enforcement	
11	Campaigns to Harm Competition	14
12	A. Defendants Are Unquestionably Mass Aggregators	14
13	B. Defendants Have Asserted Patents in Nuisance Suits	15
14	C. Defendants Have Asserted Patents in Serial Suits	15
15	V. Conclusion	16
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Table of Authorities

Cases

<i>Alice Corp. Pty. v. CLS Bank Int'l</i> , 573 U.S. 208 (2014).....	7, 8
<i>Automatic Radio Mfg. Co. v. Hazeltine Research</i> , 339 U.S. 827 (1950)	13
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	14
<i>Bonito Boats, Inc. v. Thunder Craft Boats, Inc.</i> , 489 U.S. 141 (1989)	12
<i>ChargePoint, Inc. v. SemaConnect, Inc.</i> , 920 F.3d 759 (Fed. Cir. 2019), cert. denied, No. 19-521, 2020 WL 411896 (Jan. 27, 2020)	7
<i>City of Columbia v. Omni Outdoor Advert., Inc.</i> , 499 U.S. 365 (1991).....	13
<i>Commil USA, LLC v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , 135 S. Ct. 1920 (2015)	4
<i>eBay Inc. v. MercExchange, L.L.C.</i> , 547 U.S. 388 (2006)	7
<i>Eon-Net LP v. Flagstar Bancorp</i> , 653 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	5, 6
<i>F.T.C. v. Actavis, Inc.</i> , 570 U.S. 136 (2013)	3
<i>Iris Connex, LLC v. Dell, Inc.</i> , Civ. No. 15-cv-01915 (E.D. Tex.)	6
<i>Lab. Corp. of Am. Holdings v. Metabolite Labs., Inc.</i> , 548 U.S. 124 (2006).....	3
<i>Motion Picture Patents Co. v. Universal Film Mfg. Co.</i> , 243 U.S. 502 (1917)	2
<i>Pope Mfg. Co. v. Gormully</i> , 144 U.S. 224 (1892)	3
<i>Primetime 24 Joint Venture v. Nat'l Broad., Co.</i> , 219 F.3d 92 (2d Cir. 2000)	14
<i>Prof'l Real Estate Inv'rs, Inc. v. Columbia Pictures Indus., Inc.</i> , 508 U.S. 49 (1993).....	12, 13
<i>Quanta Computer, Inc. v. LG Electronics, Inc.</i> , 553 U.S. 617 (2008)	2
<i>Unified Patents Inc. v. Uniloc 2017 LLC</i> , IPR 2019-01126 (PTAB filed May 28, 2019)	1
<i>Unified Patents, LLC. v. Uniloc USA, Inc. et al.</i> , IPR2018-00199 (PTAB May 31, 2019)	1
<i>Uniloc USA, Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 784 F. App'x 763 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	14
<i>Uniloc USA, Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 632 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	7
<i>Walker Process Equip., Inc. v. Food Mach. & Chem. Corp.</i> , 382 U.S. 172 (1965)	12

1
2 Other Authorities

Alan Devlin, <i>Antitrust Limits on Targeted Patent Aggregation</i> , 67 Fla. L. Rev. 775 (2016).....	8, 13
Buford Capital, <i>Patent & IP</i> , available at https://www.burfordcapital.com/how-we-work/expertise/patent-ip	10
D. Dowd Muska, <i>Dark Star: A Hedge Fund Transitions From Subprime Villain to Patent Troll</i> , (Feb. 28, 2020), InsideSources.com	10
Dep't of Justice & Fed. Trade Comm'n, <i>Antitrust Guidelines for the Licensing of Intellectual Property</i> (2017)	3
Fed. Trade Comm'n, <i>Patent Assertion Entity Activity: An FTC Study</i> (Oct. 2016) ("2016 FTC Study")	5, 6
Fed. Trade Comm'n, <i>The Evolving IP Marketplace: Aligning Patent Notice And Remedies With Competition</i> (2011) ("2011 FTC Report").....	4
Fortress Inv. Grp. LLC, Subsidiaries of the Registrant (Exhibit 21.1) available at https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1380393/000119312511051919/dex211.htm	14
H.R. Rep. No. 112-98, Pt. 1 (2011).....	6
Herbert Hovenkamp, <i>Prophylactic Merger Policy</i> , 70 Hastings L. J. 45 (2019).....	12
James Bessen and Michael J. Meurer, <i>The Direct Costs from NPE Disputes</i> , 99 Cornell L. Rev. 387 (2014)	3
John R. Allison, Mark A. Lemley & David L. Schwartz, <i>How Often Do Non-Practicing Entities Win Patent Suits?</i> , 70 Berkeley 235 (2017)	5
Jonathan Stroud, <i>Miles to Go Before We Sleep</i> , 41 Regulation 48 (Spring 2018)	8
Jonathan Stroud, <i>Pulling Back the Curtain on Complex Funding of Patent Assertion Entities</i> , 12.2 Landslide 20 (Nov./Dec. 2019) ("Landslide")	1, 10
Josh Kosman, <i>Softbank unit launches \$400M 'patent troll' fund</i> , N.Y. Post (May 21, 2018).....	10
Kim Eun-jin, <i>Patent Infringement Suit Filed Against Samsung Electronics' Galaxy Fold</i> , Business Korea (Apr. 30, 2019)	15
Letter From James Madison to Congress, April 11, 1816.....	3
Letter from Lisa A. Rickard President U.S. Chamber Institute for Legal Reform to	

1	Rebecca A. Womeldorf, Sec'y, Committee on Rules and Prac. and Proc. of the Admin. Office of the U.S. Courts (Jun. 1, 2017).....	11
2	Marathon Patent Group Inc., Annual Report (Form 10-K) (Mar. 31, 2014).....	9
3		
4	Marathon Patent Group Inc., Annual Report (Form 10-K) (Apr. 16, 2018)	9
5		
6	Marathon Patent Group Inc., Annual Report (Form 10-K) (Mar. 26, 2015).....	9
7		
8	Marathon Patent Group Inc., Annual Report (Form 10-K), (Mar. 25, 2019).....	9
9		
10	Mark Paul, <i>Dublin tech firm Data Scape sues Amazon and Dropbox</i> , The Irish Times (Feb. 15, 2019).....	10
11		
12	N.Y.C. Bar, <i>Report to the President by the N.Y.C. Bar Assoc. Working Group on Litigation Funding</i> (Feb. 28, 2020)	11
13		
14	Patent Security Agreement, U.S. Patent & Trademark Assignment records, Reel: 044839, Frames: 0568–0695	9
15		
16	Richard Lloyd, <i>Theranos back to the fore with Fortress assertion campaign against diagnostics business</i> , IAM (Mar. 10, 2020)	9
17		
18	Standing Order for all Judges of the Northern District of California, Contents of Joint Case Management Statement (Nov. 2018).....	11
19		
20	Steve Brachman, <i>Marathon Patent restructuring will put Fortress subsidiary in charge of patent monetization</i> , IP Watchdog	9
21		
22	Timothy Au, <i>US patent litigation on decline while PTAB breaks records and NPE settlement amounts fall</i> , Lexology.....	8
23		
24	U.S. Congressional Research Service, <i>An Overview of the “Patent Trolls” Debate</i> (Apr. 16, 2013) (“CRS Report”)	4, 5
25		
26	Unified Patents, <i>2019 Litigation Annual Report</i>	1, 4
27		
28	Constitutional Provisions	
29	U.S. Constitution, Art. I, Section 8, clause 8.....	2, 3, 12

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.