

27

28

pages to this Short-Form Complaint.

1	I. <u>DESI</u>	<u>DESIGNATED FORUM</u> ²				
2	1.	Identify the Federal District Court in which the Plaintiff would have filed in the absence of direct filing:				
3		Uı	nited States District Court for the Northern District of California			
4		("Tr	ansferee District Court").			
5		·				
6	II. <u>IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES</u>					
7	A.	PLA	INTIFF(S)			
8	2.	Injur	ed Plaintiff(s): Name of the individual injured due to use of JUUL products:			
9		Jan	ine Franklin, on behalf of her daughter, J.F., a minor.			
10		("Pla	aintiff").			
11	3.	At th	e time of the filing of this <i>Short-Form Complaint</i> , Plaintiff resides at:			
12		74	29 East Easter Drive, Centennial, Colorado 80112			
13						
14	4.		ortium Plaintiff: Name of the individual(s) that allege damages for loss of ortium:			
15		N/A				
16			D1 :			
17		("Co	onsortium Plaintiff").			
18	5.	Survi	ival and/or Wrongful Death Claims:			
19		(a)	Name and residence of Decedent Plaintiff when he/or she suffered a JUUL related death:			
20			N/A			
21						
22		(b)	Plaintiff/Decedent died on:			
23			N/A			
24						
25		(c)	Plaintiff is filing this case in a representative capacity as N/A of N/A, having			
26			been duly appointed as such by the Court of N/A.			
27	² See Case Mai	nageme	ent Order No. 3, at II(C) (ECF No. 309).			
28	See Cuse Ivial	goiiiC	11. 51401 1.0. 5, at 11(0) (1101 1.0. 507).			



1	В.	DEFENDANT(S)			
2	6.	Plaintiff(s) name(s) the following Defendants in this action:			
3					
4		☑ JUUL LABS, INC., previously d/b/a as PAX LABS, INC. and PLOOM INC.; ³			
5					
6		⊠ ALTRIA GROUP, INC.; ⁴			
7		PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC.; ⁵			
8		□ ALTRIA CLIENT SERVICES LLC; ⁶			
9		☑ ALTRIA GROUP DISTRIBUTION COMPANY; ⁷			
10		□ ALTRIA ENTERPRISES LLC; ⁸			
11		THE MANGEMENT DEFENDANTS			
12					
13		☑ ADAM BOWEN; ¹⁰			
14 15		⊠ NICHOLAS PRITZKER; ¹¹			
16		⊠ HOYOUNG HUH; ¹²			
17		⊠ RIAZ VALANI;¹³			
18					
19					
20	³ Delaware cor	poration, with its principal place of business in San Francisco, California.			
21	⁴ Virginia corporation, with its principal place of business in Richmond, Virginia.				
22	⁵ Virginia corporation with its principal place of business in Richmond, Virginia.				
	⁶ Virginia limited liability company with its principal place of business in Richmond, Virginia.				
23	⁷ Virginia corporation with its principal place of business in Richmond, Virginia.				
24	8 Virginia limited liability company with its principal place of business in Richmond, Virginia.				
25	9 A resident of California.				
26	10 A resident of California. 11 A resident of California.				
27	¹² A resident of California.				
28	¹³ A resident of				



1	THE E-LIQUID MANUFACTURING DEFENDANTS					
2	☑ MOTHER MURPHY'S LABS, INC.; ¹⁴					
3	☐ ALTERNATIVE INGREDIENTS, INC.;15					
4	☐ TOBACCO TECHNOLOGY, INC.;16					
5	⊠ eLIQUITECH, INC.; ¹⁷					
6						
7	THE DISTRIBUTOR DEFENDANTS					
8	☑ MCLANE COMPANY, INC.; ¹⁸					
9	☑ EBY-BROWN COMPANY, LLC; ¹⁹					
10	◯ CORE-MARK HOLDING COMPANY, INC.; ²⁰					
11	THE RETAILER DEFENDANTS					
12	☐ CHEVRON CORPORATION; ²¹					
13	☐ CIRCLE K STORES INC.; ²²					
14						
15	SPEEDWAY LLC; ²³					
16	☐ 7-ELEVEN, INC.; ²⁴					
17						
18						
19	14 North Carolina comparation with a minainal place of bysiness in North Carolina					
20	14 North Carolina corporation, with a principal place of business in North Carolina.					
21	15 North Carolina corporation, with a principal place of business in North Carolina. 16 Maryland corporation, with a principal place of business in Maryland.					
22	Maryland corporation, with a principal place of business in Maryland. Maryland corporation, with a principal place of business in Maryland.					
	18 Texas corporation with a principal place of business in Texas.					
23	Delaware limited liability company with a principal place of business in Illinois.					
24	Delaware corporation. From 2015-2018, principal place of business California; as of 2019, principal place of business Texas.					
25	of business Texas. 21 Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in California.					
26	²² Texas corporation with a principal place of business in Arizona.					
27	23 Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in Ohio.					
28	²⁴ Texas corporation with a principal place of business in Texas.					



	Case 3:19	-md-02913-WHO Document 1163 Filed 11/23/20 Page 5 of 10						
1		☐ WALMART; ²⁵						
2		☐ WALGREENS BOOTS ALLIANCE, INC. ²⁶						
3	C.	PRODUCT USE						
4								
5	/.	7. Plaintiff used JUUL during the time period including from September 2017 to the present and that use caused and or substantially contributed to his/her injury.						
6	D.	D. PHYSICAL INJURY ²⁷						
7 8	8.	The Plaintiff(s) experienced the following physical condition, injury or illness alleged to have been caused and or contributed to as a substantial factor by JUUL:						
9		□ ADDICTION						
10	☐ NICOTINE POISIONING							
11								
12								
13								
14		MOOD SWINGS						
15		☐ IRRITABILITY						
		SUICIDAL THOUGHTS						
16		SUICIDAL ATTEMPTS						
17		DEATH BY SUICIDE						
18		OTHER (specify):anxiety; hospitalization						
19		COGNITIVE ISSUES (check all that apply):						
20		_						
21		ATTENTION DEFICIT DISORDER LEADNING IMPAIRMENTS						
22		LEARNING IMPAIRMENTS						
23								
24	²⁵ Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in Arkansas.							
25	Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in Illinois.							
26	27 Plaintiff(s) must check-off all physical injuries allegedly caused by Plaintiff's use of JUUL. Plaintiff is not							
27	which will be inquired into as part of the Plaintiff's Fact Sheet ("PFS"). This Short-Form Complaint assumes							
28	mai emotional	and psychological damages are asserted by the Plaintiff.						



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

