

1 SONAL N. MEHTA (SBN 222086)

Sonal.Mehta@wilmerhale.com

2 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING

3 HALE AND DORR LLP

950 Page Mill Road

4 Palo Alto, California 94303

Telephone: (650) 858-6000

5 Facsimile: (650) 858-6100

6 DAVID Z. GRINGER (*pro hac vice*)

David.Gringer@wilmerhale.com

7 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING

8 HALE AND DORR LLP

1875 Pennsylvania Ave NW

9 Washington, DC 20006

Telephone: (202) 663-6000

10 Facsimile: (202) 663-6363

11 *Attorneys for Defendant*

12 FACEBOOK, INC.

13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
14 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
15 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

16 REVEAL CHAT HOLDCO, LLC, a Delaware  
17 limited liability company, USA TECHNOLOGY  
18 AND MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC. (d/b/a  
19 Lenddo USA), a Delaware corporation, CIR.CL,  
20 INC., a dissolved Delaware corporation, and  
BEEHIVE BIOMETRIC, INC., a dissolved  
Delaware corporation,

21 Plaintiffs,

22 v.

23 FACEBOOK, INC., a Delaware corporation,

24 Defendant.  
25  
26  
27  
28

Case No. 5:20-CV-00363-BLF

**DEFENDANT FACEBOOK INC.'S  
NOTICE OF MOTION AND  
MOTION TO DISMISS;  
MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND  
AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF  
THEREOF**

Hearing Date: June 11, 2020

Time: 9:00 AM

Judge: Hon. Beth Labson Freeman

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

	Page
INTRODUCTION .....	1
BACKGROUND .....	3
ARGUMENT .....	5
I. Plaintiffs’ Claims Are Time-Barred.....	6
A. The Statute Of Limitations And Laches Bar Plaintiffs’ Claims .....	6
B. No Tolling Theory Applies.....	8
II. Plaintiffs Have Not Plausibly Alleged Antitrust Injury .....	10
A. Plaintiffs Fail To Allege Causal Antitrust Injury.....	11
B. Plaintiffs Have Not Alleged Antitrust Injury In The “Social Advertising” Market.....	13
C. Plaintiffs Lack Antitrust Standing To Bring Their Monopolization And Attempted Monopolization Claims (Counts I and II).....	14
D. Plaintiffs Lack Antitrust Injury To Bring Their Section 1 Claim (Count III) .....	15
E. Plaintiffs Did Not Suffer Antitrust Injury From the Acquisitions And Integration Of Instagram And WhatsApp (Counts IV and V).....	16
III. Plaintiffs Have Failed To State A Claim .....	17
A. The Product Markets Alleged Are Implausible .....	17
1. The “Social Data” Market.....	18
2. The “Social Advertising” Market .....	20
B. Plaintiffs Fail To State Monopolization And Attempted Monopolization Claims .....	21
C. Plaintiffs Fail To State A Section 1 Claim.....	25
D. Plaintiffs’ Claim For Injunctive Relief Must Be Dismissed.....	25
CONCLUSION.....	255

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Alaska Airlines, Inc. v. United Airlines, Inc.</i> , 948 F.2d 536 (9th Cir. 1991) .....	1
<i>America Online, Inc. v. GreatDeals.Net</i> , 49 F. Supp. 2d 851 (E.D. Va. 1999) .....	20
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	5
<i>Aspen Skiing Co. v. Aspen Highlands Skiing Co.</i> , 472 U.S. 585 (1985).....	22, 23
<i>Associated General Contractors of California, Inc. v. California State Council of Carpenters</i> , 459 U.S. 519 (1983).....	10, 15, 17
<i>Atlantic Richfield Co. v. USA Petroleum Corp.</i> , 495 U.S. 328 (1990).....	12
<i>Bay Area Surgical Management LLC v. Aetna Life Insurance Co.</i> , 166 F. Supp. 3d 988 (N.D. Cal. 2015) (Freeman, J.).....	18
<i>Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	5
<i>Beneficial Standard Life Insurance Co. v. Madariaga</i> , 851 F.2d 271 (9th Cir. 1988) .....	10
<i>Big Bear Lodging Ass'n v. Snow Summit, Inc.</i> , 182 F.3d 1096 (9th Cir. 1999) .....	15
<i>Bourns, Inc. v. Raychem Corp.</i> , 331 F.3d 704 (9th Cir. 2003) .....	12, 15
<i>Brantley v. NBC Universal, Inc.</i> , 675 F.3d 1192 (9th Cir. 2012) .....	5
<i>California Computer Products, Inc. v. IBM Corp.</i> , 613 F.2d 727 (9th Cir. 1979) .....	10
<i>Cargill, Inc. v. Monfort of Colorado, Inc.</i> , 479 U.S. 104 (1986).....	1, 15
<i>Conmar Corp. v. Mitsui &amp; Co. (U.S.A.), Inc.</i> , 858 F.2d 499 (9th Cir. 1988) .....	8, 9

1 *Copperweld Corp. v. Independence Tube Corp.*,  
 2 467 U.S. 752 (1984).....15

3 *Danjaq LLC v. Sony Corp.*,  
 4 263 F.3d 942 (9th Cir. 2001) .....7, 8

5 *Federal Home Loan Bank Board v. Elliott*,  
 6 386 F.2d 42 (9th Cir. 1967) .....7

7 *Feitelson v. Google Inc.*,  
 8 80 F. Supp. 3d 1019 (N.D. Cal. 2015).....5, 10, 13, 14, 15, 17

9 *FTC v. PepsiCo, Inc.*,  
 10 477 F.2d 24 (2d Cir. 1973).....10

11 *Geneva Pharmaceuticals Technology Corp. v. Barr Laboratories Inc.*,  
 12 386 F.3d 485 (2d Cir. 2004).....10

13 *Glen Holly Entertainment, Inc. v. Tektronix, Inc.*,  
 14 343 F.3d 1000 (9th Cir. 2003) .....13

15 *Hicks v. PGA Tour, Inc.*,  
 16 897 F.3d 1109 (9th Cir. 2018) .....17, 18, 19, 20, 21

17 *Huynh v. Chase Manhattan Bank*,  
 18 465 F.3d 992 (9th Cir. 2006) .....7

19 *In re Musical Instruments & Equipment Antitrust Litigation*,  
 20 798 F.3d 1186 (9th Cir. 2015) .....25

21 *In re Super Premium Ice Cream Distribution Antitrust Litigation*,  
 22 691 F. Supp. 1262 (N.D. Cal. 1988) .....21

23 *International Telephone and Telegraph Corp. v. General Telephone and*  
 24 *Electronics Corp.*, 518 F.2d 913 (9th Cir. 1975).....6, 8

25 *Kendall v. Visa U.S.A., Inc.*,  
 26 518 F.3d 1042 (9th Cir. 2008) .....25

27 *Kinderstart.com, LLC v. Google, Inc.*,  
 28 2007 WL 831806 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 16, 2007).....20

*Kloth v. Microsoft Corp.*,  
 444 F.3d 312 (4th Cir. 2006) .....7, 14, 17

*Kourtis v. Cameron*,  
 419 F.3d 989 (9th Cir. 2005) .....7

*Lucas Automotive Engineering, Inc. v. Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc.*,  
 140 F.3d 1228 (9th Cir. 1998) .....12, 15, 16, 17

1	<i>Mangindin v. Washington Mutual Bank,</i>	
2	637 F. Supp. 2d 700 (N.D. Cal. 2009) .....	25
3	<i>Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd. v. Zenith Radio Corp.,</i>	
4	475 U.S. 574 (1986).....	13
5	<i>MetroNet Services Corp. v. Qwest Corp.,</i>	
6	383 F.3d 1124 (9th Cir. 2004) .....	21, 25
7	<i>Midwestern Machinery Co. v. Northwest Airlines, Inc.,</i>	
8	392 F.3d 265 (8th Cir. 2004) .....	7
9	<i>Newcal Industries, Inc. v. Ikon Office Solution,</i>	
10	513 F.3d 1038 (9th Cir. 2008) .....	17, 19
11	<i>NicSand, Inc. v. 3M Co.,</i>	
12	507 F.3d 442 (6th Cir. 2007) .....	12
13	<i>Novell, Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.,</i>	
14	731 F.3d 1064 (10th Cir. 2013) .....	23, 24
15	<i>NSS Labs, Inc. v. Symantec Corp.,</i>	
16	2019 WL 3804679 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 13, 2019) .....	17
17	<i>Olin Corp. v. Federal Trade Commission,</i>	
18	986 F.2d 1295 (9th Cir. 1993) .....	19
19	<i>Oliver v. SD-3C LLC,</i>	
20	751 F.3d 1081 (9th Cir. 2014) .....	6, 7
21	<i>Oltz v. St. Peter's Community Hospital,</i>	
22	861 F.2d 1440 (9th Cir. 1988) .....	17
23	<i>Olympia Equipment Leasing Co. v. Western Union Telegraph Co.,</i>	
24	797 F.2d 370 (7th Cir. 1986) .....	23
25	<i>Omega Environmental, Inc. v. Gilbarco, Inc.,</i>	
26	127 F.3d 1157 (9th Cir. 1997) .....	16
27	<i>Pace Industries, Inc. v. Three Phoenix Co.,</i>	
28	813 F.2d 234 (9th Cir. 1987) .....	6
	<i>Pacific Bell Telephone Co. v. Linkline Communications, Inc.,</i>	
	555 U.S. 438 (2009).....	22
	<i>Planet Drum Foundations v. Hart,</i>	
	2017 WL 4236932 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 24, 2017) .....	7
	<i>Pool Water Products v. Olin Corp.,</i>	
	258 F.3d 1024 (9th Cir. 2001) .....	16

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.