 KESSLER TOPAZ MELTZER & CHECK, LLP JENNIFER L. JOOST (Bar No. 296164) (jjoost@ktmc.com) One Sansome Street, Suite 1850 San Francisco, CA 94104 Tel: (415) 400-3000 Fax: (415) 400-3001 BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMANN LLP 	
JONATHAN D. USLANER (Bar No. 256898) (jonathanu@blbglaw.com) 2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2575 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel: (310) 819-3470	n
[Additional counsel appear on signature page.]	
UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 15	
ELECTRICAL WORKERS PENSION FUND, LOCAL 103, I.B.E.W., on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. HP INC., DION J. WEISLER, CATHERINE A. LESJAK, and STEVEN J. FIELER, Defendants.	<section-header>Case No. 3:20-cv-01260COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWSCLASS ACTIONDEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL</section-header>
	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel: (310) 819-3470 Counsel for Plaintiff Electrical Workers Pensic Fund, Local 103, I.B.E.W. [Additional counsel appear on signature page.] UNITED STATES NORTHERN DISTR ELECTRICAL WORKERS PENSION FUND, LOCAL 103, I.B.E.W., on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. HP INC., DION J. WEISLER, CATHERINE A. LESJAK, and STEVEN J. FIELER,

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. 1 Plaintiff Electrical Workers Pension Fund, Local 103, I.B.E.W. ("Plaintiff"), by and 2 through its counsel, alleges the following upon information and belief, except as to those 3 allegations concerning Plaintiff, which are alleged upon personal knowledge. Plaintiff's 4 information and belief are based upon, *inter alia*, counsel's investigation, which included review 5 and analysis of: (i) regulatory filings made by HP Inc. ("HP" or the "Company") with the United 6 States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"); (ii) press releases, presentations, and media 7 reports issued by and disseminated by the Company; (iii) analyst and media reports concerning 8 HP; and (iv) other public information regarding the Company.

9

I.

INTRODUCTION

10 1. This securities class action is brought on behalf of all persons or entities that
 11 purchased shares of HP's common stock between February 23, 2017 and October 3, 2019,
 12 inclusive (the "Class Period"). The claims asserted herein are alleged against HP and certain of
 13 the Company's current and former senior executives (collectively, "Defendants"), and arise under
 14 Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") and Rule
 10b-5, promulgated thereunder.

2. Based in Palo Alto, California, HP is a global provider of personal computers,
printers and related supplies, solutions, and services. The Company conducts its business
primarily through two segments: Personal Systems and Printing. The Personal Systems segment
offers commercial and consumer computers and related software, support, and services. The
Printing segment provides consumer and commercial printer hardware, supplies, solutions, and
services.

3. The Company's Printing segment includes the Supplies business unit which
comprises consumable products, including ink and laser cartridges, for recurring use in consumer
and commercial printing hardware. The Supplies business has been a significant revenue driver
for the Company. Prior to the Class Period, on June 21, 2016, HP reported that its Supplies
business was facing challenges from price variability among Supplies products available to
customers in an omnichannel world as well as a decreasing impact from the Company's
promotional pricing of its supplies. As a result, the Company announced a one-time investment

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com

Case 3:20-cv-01260 Document 1 Filed 02/19/20 Page 3 of 20

of \$450 million to buy back supplies from its channel partners to better align supplies inventory
 levels with demand, with the goal of stabilizing supplies revenue in constant currency by the end
 of fiscal 2017. HP also announced the fundamental shift in its supplies business from a push
 strategy to a pull strategy, which involves aligning channel supplies inventory levels with current
 demand and marketing efforts to drive print relevancy and strengthen HP's Supplies brand value.

4. Accordingly, at the start of the Class Period, HP assured investors that its new
approach to managing and aligning demand and inventory in its Supplies business would avert the
types of problems that necessitated the \$450 million buy-back. The centerpiece of this new
approach was focused on what the Company called its "four-box model." For several years, the
Company measured its Supplies business through this model, which focuses on the four key drivers
of revenue growth: in-store base, usage, market share, and price.

5. With the shift to the pull strategy to manage its Supplies business, the Company's
four-box model became the primary focus of the Company and its investors because HP assured
investors that its use of the four-box model enabled it to accurately assess demand for products in
its Supplies business and manage the inventory placed in its sales and distribution channels.

16 6. Throughout the Class Period, the Company emphasized the four-box model as an 17 accurate, reliable tool to determine demand and revenue in the Supplies business, and reassured 18 investors that, based on the four-box model, HP had a "clear line of sight to supply stabilization." 19 Defendants repeatedly highlighted the reliability of the Company's four-box model and the 20 revenue growth of the Supplies business, touting their "continued confidence in the predictive 21 value of the four box model" and stating that the Company's "Supplies revenue is in line with the 22 expectations that we set, and that our 4-box model continues to drive predictability." These 23 statements were false. In truth, Defendants knew HP lacked reliable, automated data for the four-24 box model and, as a result, the four-box model was not a reliable tool and provided HP with only 25 a partial, outdated indicator of the demand for Supplies products. As a result of Defendants' 26 misrepresentations, shares of HP's common stock traded at artificially inflated prices during the 27 Class Period.

The truth began to emerge on February 27, 2019, after the market closed, when the

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com

28

7.

1 Company reported disappointing total Supplies revenue for the first quarter of fiscal 2019 due to 2 weaker than predicted demand from commercial customers in HP's Europe, the Middle East, and 3 Africa ("EMEA") market. The Company blamed these results on an increase in online sales, where 4 the Company had a lower market share and faced more competition from cheaper third-party 5 alternatives than with traditional commercial resellers and in-store retailers, in addition to price 6 sensitivity due to increased macro uncertainty. Significantly, in reporting these results, the 7 Company admitted that its four-box model had been based upon incorrect data concerning 8 inventory, market share, and pricing assumptions. Accordingly, due to its limited "visibility into 9 the downstream channel ecosystem," the Company "did not see clearly enough that we had an 10 issue." The Company also revealed that it lacked telemetry data to determine reliable market share 11 assumptions for its Supplies business.¹ The Company revised its market share and pricing 12 assumptions and announced a plan to lower channel inventory levels once again-as it had done 13 in the second half of 2016—which created a \$100 million headwind to the Company's Supplies 14 revenue for the remainder of fiscal 2019. As a result, the Company revised its previous estimate 15 of Supplies revenue for fiscal 2019 to a decline of 3%, versus prior guidance of flat to slightly up 16 revenue year over year. These disclosures caused the Company's stock price to decline from 17 \$23.85 per share to \$19.73 per share, or over 17%, on high trading volume.

18 8. On May 30, 2019, at the Sanford C. Bernstein Strategic Decisions Conference, 19 Weisler disclosed additional detail on HP's lack of telemetry data, admitting that the consumer 20 segment of the Supplies business had had telemetry data for years, meaning that management had 21 known all along the importance of telemetry data for an accurate model and that the commercial 22 Supplies business lacked this key input. This was because the Company "started that effort much 23 later" for its commercial customers and faced "problems . . . getting through commercial firewalls" 24 to obtain telemetry data. The lack of sufficient telemetry data for the commercial Supplies 25 business, in contrast to its availability in the consumer segment, meant that management knew or 26

27

28

¹ Telemetry data is data provided automatically by remote units, such as printers that have been sold to sustain a which apprice HP shout the level of usage and need for new toner

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com

Case 3:20-cv-01260 Document 1 Filed 02/19/20 Page 5 of 20

recklessly disregarded that the use of the four-box model was critically impaired. As a result of
 these disclosures, by the end of the following day, the Company's stock price dropped over 2%,
 from \$19.14 per share to \$18.68 per share, on high trading volume, wiping out \$690 million in
 shareholder value.

9. On August 22, 2019, after the market closed, HP announced that Defendant Weisler
would step down at the end of October 2019 due to a family health matter. HP also announced
mixed earnings results for the third quarter of fiscal 2019, with Supplies revenue down 7% yearover-year. On this news, the price of HP stock dropped nearly 6%, from \$18.93 per share to \$17.81
per share, on high trading volume.

10 10. Then, on October 3, 2019, after the market closed, HP announced that it was 11 "departing from the purely transactional Supplies-centric business model" and moving away from 12 using the four-box model, transitioning instead to a hardware-driven business model. The major 13 change to the Company's business model would give customers the choice between a discounted 14 HP printer that can only function with HP supplies or a higher-priced HP printer with the option 15 to choose third-party supplies. Under the new business model, the Company would de-emphasize 16 Supplies revenue as "the singular metric to determine our progress" and instead focus on "the key 17 metrics [of] service growth and operating profit dollars, which better reflect[] the system 18 profitability." The Company also announced mass layoffs as part of a major restructuring, in 19 which it expects to cut between 7,000 to 9,000 positions, or up to 16% of its global workforce, 20 over three years. As a result of these disclosures, the price of HP's stock dropped from \$18.40 per 21 share to \$16.64 per share, or nearly 10%, on unusually high trading volume.

22

II.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

11. The claims asserted herein arise under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange
Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78t(a)), and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder (17 C.F.R. §
240.10b-5). This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
§§ 1331 and 1337, and Section 27 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. § 78aa).

27 12. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to Section 27 of the Exchange Act (15
28 U.S.C. § 78aa) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). HP maintains its headquarters in Palo Alto, California,

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.