

1 Mark C. Mao (SBN 236165)  
BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP  
2 44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
3 Telephone: (415) 293-6800  
Facsimile: (415) 293-6899  
4 Email: mmao@bsflp.com

5 Menno Goedman (SBN 301271)  
BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP  
6 1401 New York Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20005  
7 Telephone: (202) 237-2727  
Facsimile: (202) 237-6131  
8 Email: mgoedman@bsflp.com

9  
10 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs Ripple Labs Inc.  
and Bradley Garlinghouse*

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

14 RIPPLE LABS INC., a Delaware corporation; and  
15 BRADLEY GARLINGHOUSE, an individual,

16 *Plaintiffs,*

17 v.

18 YOUTUBE, LLC, a Delaware company,

19 *Defendant.*

Case No. 20-cv-2747-LB

**PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO  
DISMISS**

Date: October 22, 2020  
Time: 9:30 a.m.  
Place: Courtroom B, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Judge: Magistrate Judge Laurel Beeler

Complaint filed: April 21, 2020

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1

II. FACTS ..... 2

    A. The Parties ..... 2

    B. A Scam Thrives on YouTube ..... 3

    C. YouTube Materially Contributes to the Scam, Instead of Stopping It ..... 5

    D. The Scam Irreparably Harms Ripple and Mr. Garlinghouse ..... 7

III. ARGUMENT ..... 8

    A. YouTube Knew of Trademark Infringement, But Failed to Stop the Scam ..... 8

    B. YouTube Is an Information Content Provider and Cannot Invoke Section 230  
        Immunity ..... 16

    C. Plaintiffs’ UCL and Right of Publicity Claims Are Well Pleaded ..... 21

IV. CONCLUSION..... 25

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES****Cases**

1		
2	<b>Cases</b>	
3	<i>Barnes v. Yahoo!, Inc.</i> , 570 F.3d 1096 (9th Cir. 2009).....	16
4	<i>Berry v. Webloyalty.com, Inc.</i> , 2010 WL 8416525 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 16, 2010) .....	21
5	<i>Chloe SAS v. Sawabeh Info. Servs. Co.</i> , 2014 WL 4402218 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 5, 2014) .....	12, 13
6	<i>Coach, Inc. v. Celco Customs Servs. Co.</i> , 2012 WL 12883972 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 31, 2012) .....	15, 16
7	<i>Cross v. Facebook</i> , 14 Cal. App. 5th 190 (2017) .....	22, 23
8	<i>Dyroff v. Ultimate Software Grp.</i> , 934 F.3d 1093 (9th Cir. 2019).....	19
9	<i>Emery v. Visa Int’l Serv. Ass’n</i> , 95 Cal. App. 4th 952 (2002) .....	24
10	<i>Erickson Prods., Inc. v. Kast</i> , 921 F.3d 822 (9th Cir. 2019) .....	12
11	<i>Fair Hous. Council of San Fernando Valley v. Roommates.Com, LLC</i> , 521 F.3d 1157 (9th Cir. 2008) .....	<i>passim</i>
12	<i>Fonovisa, Inc. v. Cherry Auction, Inc.</i> , 76 F.3d 259 (9th Cir. 1996).....	9, 15
13	<i>Ford Dealers Ass’n v. Dep’t of Motor Vehicle</i> , 32 Cal.3d 347 (1982).....	23
14	<i>Fraleay v. Facebook</i> , 830 F. Supp. 2d 785 (N.D. Cal. 2011).....	18, 20, 23
15	<i>Free Kick Master LLC v. Apple Inc.</i> , 2016 WL 777916 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 29, 2016).....	15
16	<i>Gibson v. Craigslist, Inc.</i> , 2009 WL 1704355 (S.D.N.Y. June 15, 2009) .....	20
17	<i>Gonzalez v. Google, Inc.</i> , 282 F. Supp. 3d 1150 (N.D. Cal. 2017).....	20
18	<i>In re Bitconnect Securities Litigation</i> , 2019 WL 9104318 (S.D. Fla. Aug. 23, 2019) .....	19
19	<i>In re NCAA Student-Athlete Name &amp; Likeness Licensing Litig.</i> , 724 F.3d 1268 (9th Cir. 2013).....	21
20	<i>In re Tobacco II Cases</i> , 46 Cal. 4th 298 (2009).....	24, 25
21	<i>Jones v. Dirty World Entm’t Recordings LLC</i> , 755 F.3d 398 (6th Cir. 2014).....	19, 20
22	<i>Kimzey v. Yelp! Inc.</i> , 836 F.3d 1263 (9th Cir. 2016) .....	<i>passim</i>
23	<i>Leite v. Crane Co.</i> , 749 F.3d 1117 (9th Cir. 2014).....	1
24	<i>Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Network Solutions, Inc.</i> , 194 F.3d 980 (9th Cir. 1999) .....	12
25	<i>Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. v. Akanoc Sols, Inc.</i> , 658 F.3d 936 (9th Cir. 2011).....	8, 9, 11
26	<i>Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. v. Akanoc Sols., Inc.</i> , 591 F. Supp. 2d 1098 (N.D. Cal. 2008) .....	11-12
27	<i>Luxottica Grp., S.p.A. v. Airport Mini Mall, LLC</i> , 287 F. Supp. 3d 1338 (N.D. Ga. 2017) .....	13
28	<i>McKav v. Hageseth</i> , 2007 WL 1056784 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 6, 2007).....	24

1 *Omega SA v. 375 Canal, LLC*, 2013 WL 2156043 (S.D.N.Y. May 20, 2013)..... 14

2 *People v. Toomey*, 157 Cal. App. 3d 1 (1984)..... 24

3 *Perfect 10, Inc. v. Google, Inc.*, 2010 WL 9479060 (C.D. Cal. July 30, 2010)..... 23

4 *Perfect 10, Inc. v. Visa Int’l Serv. Ass’n*, 494 F.3d 788 (9th Cir. 2007)..... 2, 23-24

5 *Perkins v. LinkedIn Corp.*, 53 F. Supp. 3d 1222 (N.D. Cal. 2014)..... 17

6 *Podolsky v. First Healthcare Corp.*, 50 Cal. App. 4th 632 (1996)..... 25

7 *Ray v. BlueHippo Funding, LLC*, 2008 WL 1995113 (N.D. Cal. May 6, 2008)..... 24

8 *Rivas v. Physician Labs., Inc.*, 2018 WL 6133722 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 24, 2018) ..... 24

9 *Sarver v. Chartier*, 813 F.3d 891 (9th Cir. 2016) ..... 22

10 *Spy Optic, Inc. v. Alibaba.com, Inc.*, 163 F. Supp. 3d 755 (C.D. Cal. 2015) ..... 10-11

11 *Spy Phone Labs LLC v. Google, Inc.*, 2016 WL 6025469 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 14, 2016)..... 10, 11, 15

12 *Spy Phone Labs LLC v. Google, Inc.*, 2016 WL 1089267 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 21, 2016)..... 11, 13

13 *Theta Chi Fraternity, Inc. v. Leland Stanford Junior Univ.*, 212 F. Supp. 3d 816 (N.D. Cal. 2016)..... 9

14 *Tiffany (NJ) Inc. v. eBay Inc.*, 600 F.3d 93 (2d Cir. 2010) ..... 11, 13, 14

15 *Y.Y.G.M. SA v. Redbubble, Inc.*, 2020 WL 3984528 (C.D. Cal. July 10, 2020)..... 13

16 **Codes & Statutes**

17 47 U.S.C. § 230(c)(1)..... *passim*

18 47 U.S.C. § 230(f)(3) ..... 21

19 Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 ..... 23, 24

20 Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500 ..... 23, 24

21 California Civil Code § 3344..... 22, 23

22 **Rules**

23 Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 12(b)(6) ..... 1

24 **Other Authorities**

25 Black’s Law Dictionary (10th ed. 2014)..... 12

26 Gaab & Reese, Rutter Cal. Practice Guide: Civil Procedure Before Trial at ¶ 14:117 ..... 25

27 Jibin M. George, *Another XRP Giveaway Scam Goes Live – YouTube, Where Art Thou?*,  
 28 AMBCrypto (August 20, 2020) ..... 16

1 M. Pantalony, *Contributing to Infringement: Intermediary Liability After Tiffany v. eBay and*  
2 Louis Vuitton v. Akanoc, 105 Trademark Rep. 709, 712-13 (2015)..... 14  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.