

1 Emily Johnson Henn (CA Bar No. 269482)
2 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
3 3000 El Camino Real
4 5 Palo Alto Square
5 Palo Alto, California 94306-2112
6 Telephone: (650) 632-4700
7 Fax: (650) 632-4800
8 Email: ehenn@cov.com

9 Bradley K. Ervin (*pro hac vice*)
10 Virginia A. Williamson (*pro hac vice*)
11 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
12 One CityCenter
13 850 10th Street NW
14 Washington, DC 20001-4956
15 Telephone: (202) 662-6000
16 Fax: (202) 662-6291
17 Emails: bervin@cov.com
18 vwilliamson@cov.com

19 Attorneys for Intervenor-Defendant
20 ELANCO ANIMAL HEALTH

21 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
22 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

23 ANIMAL LEGAL DEFENSE FUND, FOOD &
24 WATER WATCH, and FOOD ANIMAL
25 CONCERNS TRUST,

26 *Plaintiffs,*

27 v.

28 ALEX AZAR, Secretary of the United States
Department of Health and Human Services;
STEPHEN HAHN, Commissioner of the United
States Food and Drug Administration; and
UNITED STATES FOOD AND DRUG
ADMINISTRATION,

Defendants,

and

ELANCO ANIMAL HEALTH,

Intervenor-Defendant.

Civil Case No. 3:20-cv-03703-JCS

**ELANCO ANIMAL HEALTH'S
MOTION TO DISMISS FOR
LACK OF ARTICLE III
STANDING AND FAILURE TO
EXHAUST ADMINISTRATIVE
REMEDIES**

Date: January 14, 2021
Time: 1:30 p.m.
Dept: San Francisco, Courtroom 3
Judge: Hon. Richard Seeborg

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

	<u>Page</u>
NOTICE OF MOTION.....	1
POINTS AND AUTHORITIES	1
INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF ISSUES	1
STATEMENT OF FACTS	3
ARGUMENT.....	7
I. THE COURT SHOULD DISMISS PLAINTIFFS’ CLAIMS FOR LACK OF ARTICLE III STANDING.	7
A. Plaintiffs Have Not Plausibly Alleged Cognizable Injuries In Fact.	8
B. Plaintiffs Have Not Shown That Their Alleged Injuries Are Fairly Traceable To FDA’s Alleged NEPA Violations.....	13
C. Plaintiffs Have Not Shown That Their Alleged Injuries Are Likely To Be Redressed By Relief From This Court.....	15
II. THE COURT SHOULD DISMISS, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, STAY THIS SUIT DUE TO PLAINTIFFS’ FAILURE TO EXHAUST MANDATORY ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES.	16
A. FDA Regulations And The APA Require Exhaustion Of The Agency’s Mandatory Citizen Petition Administrative Remedy.	17
B. Plaintiffs’ Claims Should Be Dismissed Because Plaintiffs Have Not Exhausted FDA’s Mandatory Administrative Remedy.....	18
C. In The Alternative, A Stay Of Proceedings Is Appropriate To Allow Plaintiffs To Exhaust The Mandatory Citizen Petition Remedy.	22
III. PLAINTIFFS’ FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF SHOULD BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE PROPERLY TO REQUEST RELIEF PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 8 AND 12(b)(6).....	22
CONCLUSION.....	24

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<u>Cases</u>	<u>Page(s)</u>
<i>Allen v. Wright</i> , 468 U.S. 737 (1984).....	15
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	7, 23
<i>Ass’n of Am. Physicians & Surgeons, Inc. v. FDA</i> , 358 F. App’x 179 (D.C. Cir. 2009).....	20
<i>Ass’n of Flight Attendants-CWA, AFL-CIO v. Chao</i> , 493 F.3d 155 (D.C. Cir. 2007).....	17
<i>Azizi v. United States</i> , No. 15-cv-07456-CAS, 2015 WL 6755193 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 4, 2015).....	23
<i>Banks v. Warner</i> , No. 94-56732, 1995 WL 465773 (9th Cir. Aug. 7, 1995).....	6
<i>Biotics Research Corp. v. Heckler</i> , 710 F.2d 1375 (9th Cir. 1983).....	19
<i>Bowen v. Massachusetts</i> , 487 U.S. 879 (1988).....	17
<i>Buckingham v. Sec’y of USDA</i> , 603 F.3d 1073 (9th Cir. 2010).....	17, 21
<i>Cent. & S.W. Servs., Inc. v. EPA</i> , 220 F.3d 683 (5th Cir. 2000).....	11
<i>Clapper v. Amnesty Int’l USA</i> , 568 U.S. 398 (2013).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Clouser v. Espy</i> , 42 F.3d 1522 (9th Cir. 1994).....	19
<i>Ctr. for Biological Diversity v. U.S. Dep’t of Interior</i> , 563 F.3d 466 (D.C. Cir. 2009).....	13
<i>Ctr. for Biological Diversity v. U.S. Env’t Protection Agency</i> , 937 F.3d 533 (5th Cir. 2019).....	8, 10, 12, 14
<i>Ctr. for Food Safety v. Hamburg</i> , 142 F. Supp. 3d 898 (N.D. Cal. 2015).....	1, 17

1	<i>Ctr. for Food Safety v. Hamburg,</i>	
2	696 F. App'x 302 (9th Cir. 2017).....	1, 3, 20, 22
3	<i>DaimlerChrysler Corp. v. Cuno,</i>	
4	547 U.S. 332 (2006).....	7
5	<i>Daniel v. Nat'l Park Serv.,</i>	
6	891 F.3d 762 (9th Cir. 2018)	13
7	<i>Darby v. Cisneros,</i>	
8	509 U.S. 137 (1993).....	17, 18
9	<i>In re Epogen & Aranesp Off-Label Mktg. & Sales Practices Litig.,</i>	
10	590 F. Supp. 2d 1282 (C.D. Cal. 2008).....	16
11	<i>Fed. Power Comm'n v. Colo. Interstate Gas Co.,</i>	
12	348 U.S. 492 (1955).....	17, 19
13	<i>Finn v. City of Boulder City,</i>	
14	No. 14-cv-1834-JAD, 2015 WL 2186497 (D. Nev. May 6, 2015).....	23
15	<i>Fla. Audubon Soc'y v. Bentsen,</i>	
16	94 F.3d 658 (D.C. Cir. 1996).....	12
17	<i>Gulf Restoration Network v. Bernhardt,</i>	
18	No. 18-cv-1674-RBW, 2020 WL 1930470 (D.D.C. April 21, 2020).....	15
19	<i>Havens Realty Corp. v. Coleman,</i>	
20	455 U.S. 363 (1982).....	7
21	<i>Hicks v. PGA Tour, Inc.,</i>	
22	897 F.3d 1109 (9th Cir. 2018)	5
23	<i>Hong v. Read,</i>	
24	No. 19-cv-00086-RGK, 2020 WL 4342539 (C.D. Cal. April 3, 2020).....	23
25	<i>Hunt v. Wash. State Apple Adver. Comm'n,</i>	
26	432 U.S. 333 (1977).....	7
27	<i>Levine v. Vilsack,</i>	
28	587 F.3d 986 (9th Cir. 2009)	16
	<i>Lewis v. Casey,</i>	
	518 U.S. 343 (1996).....	7
	<i>Lojas v. Washington,</i>	
	347 F. App'x 288 (9th Cir. 2009).....	23
	<i>Los Angeles v. Lyons,</i>	
	461 U.S. 95 (1983).....	11

1	<i>Lujan v. Defs. of Wildlife</i> ,	
2	504 U.S. 555 (1992).....	<i>passim</i>
3	<i>Myers v. Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corp.</i> ,	
4	303 U.S. 41 (1938).....	17
5	<i>N. Air Cargo v. U.S. Postal Serv.</i> ,	
6	674 F.3d 852 (D.C. Cir. 2012).....	23
7	<i>N.Y. Regional Interconnect, Inc. v. FERC</i> ,	
8	634 F.3d 581 (D.C. Cir. 2011).....	9
9	<i>Nat'l Res. Def. Council v. EPA</i> ,	
10	735 F.3d 873 (9th Cir. 2013)	9
11	<i>Nuclear Info. & Res. Serv. v. Nuclear Reg. Comm'n</i> ,	
12	457 F.3d 941 (9th Cir. 2006)	16
13	<i>Okanogan Highlands All. v. Williams</i> ,	
14	No. 97-cv-806-JE, 1999 WL 1029106 (D. Or. Jan. 12, 1999)	11, 14, 15
15	<i>Ries v. Hornell Brewing Co.</i> ,	
16	No. 10-cv-1139-JF, 2010 WL 2943860 (N.D. Cal. July 23, 2010)	6
17	<i>Salmon River Concerned Citizens v. Robertson</i> ,	
18	32 F.3d 1346 (9th Cir. 1994)	16
19	<i>Salmon Spawning v. Gutierrez</i> ,	
20	545 F.3d (9th Cir. 2008)	15
21	<i>Shawnee Trail Conservancy v. USDA</i> ,	
22	222 F.3d 383 (7th Cir. 2000)	17
23	<i>Smith v. Pac. Props. and Dev. Corp.</i> ,	
24	358 F.3d 1097 (9th Cir. 2004)	7
25	<i>Steel Co. v. Citizens for a Better Env't</i> ,	
26	523 U.S. 83 (1998).....	2, 7, 8, 15
27	<i>Summers v. Earth Island Inst.</i> ,	
28	555 U.S. 488 (2009).....	10, 12
	<i>Susan B. Anthony List v. Driehaus</i> ,	
	573 U.S. 149 (2014).....	9, 11
	<i>ThermoLife Int'l, LLC v. Gaspari Nutrition, Inc.</i> ,	
	648 F. App'x 609 (9th Cir. 2016)	19
	<i>Tri-Bio Labs, Inc. v. United States</i> ,	
	836 F.2d 135 (3d Cir. 1987)	19

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.