

1 JEFFREY BOSSERT CLARK
 Acting Assistant Attorney General
 2 DANIEL J. FEITH
 Deputy Assistant Attorney General
 3 GUSTAV W. EYLER
 Director
 4 HILARY K. PERKINS
 Assistant Director
 5 JONATHAN E. AMGOTT (DCBN 1031947)
 Trial Attorney
 6 Consumer Protection Branch
 U.S. Department of Justice
 7 P.O. Box 386
 Washington, DC 20044-0386
 8 Telephone: 202-532-5025
 Facsimile: 202-514-8742
 9 E-mail: Jonathan.E.Amgott@usdoj.gov
 Attorneys for Federal Defendants
 10 ALEX AZAR, STEPHEN HAHN, and
 11 U.S. FOOD AND DRUG ADMIN.
 12

13 THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 14 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 15 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 16

17 ANIMAL LEGAL DEFENSE FUND,
 FOOD & WATER WATCH, and FOOD
 18 ANIMAL CONCERNS TRUST,

19 Plaintiffs,
 20 v.

21 ALEX AZAR, Secretary of the United States
 Department of Health and Human Services;
 22 STEPHEN HAHN, Commissioner of the
 United States Food and Drug Administration;
 23 and UNITED STATES FOOD AND DRUG
 ADMINISTRATION,

24 Defendants,

25 and

26 ELANCO ANIMAL HEALTH,

27 Intervenor-Defendant.
 28

No. 3:20-CV-03703-RS

**FEDERAL DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF
 MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS FIRST
 AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Hearing Date: Thursday, January 14, 2021
 Hearing Time: 1:30 p.m.
 Courtroom: 3

Hon. Richard Seeborg

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1

2 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS 1

3 RELIEF SOUGHT 1

4 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF FEDERAL

5 DEFENDANTS’ MOTION TO DISMISS THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT 1

6 INTRODUCTION 1

7 STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE DECIDED 3

8 BACKGROUND 3

9 LEGAL STANDARD 4

10 ARGUMENT 4

11 I. Neither Plaintiffs Nor Their Members Have Suffered An Injury In Fact 5

12 A. Plaintiffs Do Not Have Organizational Standing Because The Organizations

13 Have Not Established Their Own Injury In Fact. 5

14 B. Plaintiffs Do Not Have Associational Standing Because Their Members Have

15 Not Suffered Injury In Fact. 7

16 1. Air And Water Contamination 9

17 2. Industrial Farming Operations 11

18 3. Outdoor Recreation And Wildlife Observation 13

19 4. Food Safety 14

20 II. Plaintiffs Fail To Adequately Allege That Any Purported Harms Were Caused By

21 FDA’s Approval Of Experior. 17

22 A. Many Of The Alleged Harms Are Attributable To Existing Third-Party Feedlot

23 Practices. 18

24 B. Many of the Alleged Harms Are Not Attributable to Experior Specifically. 19

25 C. The Alleged Harms Would Be Caused, If At All, By Third Parties, Not Experior. 20

26 D. Self-Inflicted Harms Are Not Fairly Traceable To FDA’s Approval 22

27 III. The Amended Complaint Fails To Allege That A Favorable Decision Would Redress

28 Plaintiffs’ Asserted Harms. 22

CONCLUSION 25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

1

2

3 *Allen v. Wright,*

4 468 U.S. 737 (1984) 24

5 *Ashley Creek Phosphate Co. v. Norton,*

6 420 F.3d 934 (9th Cir. 2005)..... 8, 10

7 *Ass’n of Am. Physicians & Surgeons, Inc. v. FDA,*

8 539 F. Supp. 2d 4 (D.D.C. 2008),

9 *aff’d*, 358 F. App’x 179 (D.C. Cir. 2009) (per curiam) 13

10 *Backus v. Gen. Mills, Inc.,*

11 122 F. Supp. 3d 909 (N.D. Cal. 2015) 15

12 *Boysen v. Walgreen Co.,*

13 No. C 11-06262 SI, 2012 WL 2953069 (N.D. Cal. July 19, 2012)..... 15

14 *Carroll v. Nakatani,*

15 342 F.3d 934 (9th Cir. 2003)..... 7

16 *Citizens for Quality Educ. San Diego v. Barrera,*

17 333 F. Supp. 3d 1003 (S.D. Cal. 2018) 6

18 *City of Los Angeles v. Lyons,*

19 461 U.S. 95 (1983) 12

20 *Clapper v. Amnesty Int’l USA,*

21 568 U.S. 398 (2013) 2, *passim*

22 *Ctr. for Biological Diversity v. U.S. Dep’t of Interior,*

23 563 F.3d 466 (D.C. Cir. 2009) 21

24 *DaimlerChrysler Corp. v. Cuno,*

25 547 U.S. 332 (2006) 4

26 *Friends of Earth, Inc. v. Laidlaw Env’tl. Servs. (TOC), Inc.,*

27 528 U.S. 167 (2000) 4

28 *Frye v. L’Oreal USA, Inc.,*

583 F. Supp. 2d 954 (N.D. Ill. 2008) 15

Gordon v. United States,

739 F. App’x 408 (9th Cir. 2018) 4, 7

Federal Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss – Case No. 3:20-CV-03703-RS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Greenlining Inst. v. Fed. Commc’ns Comm’n,
802 F. App’x 232 (9th Cir. 2020) 6

Havens Realty Corp. v. Coleman,
455 U.S. 363 (1982) 5

Haynie v. Harris, No. C,
10-01255 SI, 2014 WL 899189 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 4, 2014),
aff’d, 658 F. App’x 834 (9th Cir. 2016)..... 6

Herrington v. Johnson & Johnson Consumer Cos., No. C,
09-1597 CW, 2010 WL 3448531 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 1, 2010)..... 14, 15

HomeAway Inc. v. City & Cty. of San Francisco,
No. 14-CV-04859-JCS, 2015 WL 367121 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 27, 2015) 25

Hunt v. Wash. State Apple Advert. Comm’n,
432 U.S. 333 (1977) 2, 7, 11

In re Fruit Juice Prods. Mktg. & Sales Practices Litig.,
831 F. Supp. 2d 507 (D. Mass. 2011) 15

Juliana v. United States,
947 F.3d 1159 (9th Cir. 2020)..... 23, 24

Klamath Water Users Ass’n v. Fed. Energy Regulatory Comm’n,
534 F.3d 735 (D.C. Cir. 2008) 6

Koronthaly v. L’Oreal USA, Inc.,
374 F. App’x 257 (3d Cir. 2010),
aff’g, No. 07–CV–5588, 2008 WL 2938045 (D.N.J. July 29, 2008)..... 15

La Asociacion de Trabajadores de Lake Forest v. City of Lake Forest,
624 F.3d 1083 (9th Cir. 2010)..... 5

Levine v. Vilsack,
587 F.3d 986 (9th Cir. 2009)..... 23, 24

Lexmark Int’l Inc. v. Static Control Components, Inc.,
572 U.S. 118 (2014) 24

Lujan v. Defs. of Wildlife,
504 U.S. 555 (1992) 5, *passim*

Federal Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss – Case No. 3:20-CV-03703-RS

1 *M.S. v. Brown*,
 2 902 F.3d 1076 (9th Cir. 2018)..... 23

3 *McCarthy v. United States*,
 4 850 F.2d 558 (9th Cir. 1988)..... 4

5 *Native Vill. of Kivalina v. ExxonMobil Corp.*,
 6 696 F.3d 849 (9th Cir. 2012)..... 18

7 *Nuclear Info. & Res. Serv. v. Nuclear Regulatory Comm’n*,
 8 457 F.3d 941 (9th Cir. 2006)..... 8, *passim*

9 *Or. Prescription Drug Monitoring Program v. U.S. Drug Enf’t Admin.*,
 10 860 F.3d 1228 (9th Cir. 2017)..... 22

11 *Physicians for Integrity in Med. Rsch., Inc. v. Cm’r*,
 12 No. CV1108334GAFFMOX, 2012 WL 12882760 (C.D. Cal. May 23, 2012),
 13 *aff’d sub nom.*, *Physicians for Integrity in Med. Rsch., Inc. v. Hamburg*,
 14 556 F. App’x 621 (9th Cir. 2014) 6

15 *Radich v. Guerrero*,
 16 729 F. App’x 623 (9th Cir. 2018) 22

17 *Rodriguez v. City of San Jose*,
 18 930 F.3d 1123 (9th Cir. 2019),
 19 *cert. denied*, No. 19-1057 (Oct. 13, 2020)..... 5

20 *Safe Air for Everyone v. Meyer*,
 21 373 F.3d 1035 (9th Cir. 2004)..... 4

22 *Scott v. Pasadena Unified Sch. Dist.*,
 23 306 F.3d 646 (9th Cir. 2002)..... 12

24 *Simon v. E. Ky. Welfare Rights Org.*,
 25 426 U.S. 26 (1976) 20, 23

26 *Smith v. Pac. Props. & Dev. Corp.*,
 27 358 F.3d 1097 (9th Cir. 2004)..... 2, 5

28 *Spokeo, Inc. v. Robins*,
 136 S. Ct. 1540 (2016) 4, 7

Summers v. Earth Island Inst.,
 555 U.S. 488 (2009) 7

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.