Naomi A. Igra (SBN 269095)				
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP				
555 California Street				
* '				
, ,				
* *				
1 1				
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP				
One South Dearborn Street				
Telephone: (312) 853-7000 Facsimile: (312) 853-7036				
. ,				
Attorneys for The Kraft Heinz Company				
UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT			
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
OAKLAND DIVISION				
Sylvia Koh and David Green, on behalf of	Case No. 4:20-cv-04425-JSW			
Sylvia Koh and David Green, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,				
themselves and all others similarly situated,	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION			
	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS CLASS ACTION			
themselves and all others similarly situated,	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO FED. R.			
themselves and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 8, 9(b), 12(b)(1), AND 12(b)(6); MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND			
themselves and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 8, 9(b), 12(b)(1), AND 12(b)(6);			
themselves and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 8, 9(b), 12(b)(1), AND 12(b)(6); MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND			
themselves and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  The Kraft Heinz Company,	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 8, 9(b), 12(b)(1), AND 12(b)(6); MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES  Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White			
themselves and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  The Kraft Heinz Company,	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 8, 9(b), 12(b)(1), AND 12(b)(6); MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES  Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White  Date: December 18, 2020 Time: 9:00 am			
themselves and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  The Kraft Heinz Company,	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 8, 9(b), 12(b)(1), AND 12(b)(6); MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES  Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White  Date: December 18, 2020 Time: 9:00 am Place: Courtroom 5			
themselves and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  The Kraft Heinz Company,	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 8, 9(b), 12(b)(1), AND 12(b)(6); MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES  Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White  Date: December 18, 2020 Time: 9:00 am			
themselves and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  The Kraft Heinz Company,	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 8, 9(b), 12(b)(1), AND 12(b)(6); MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES  Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White  Date: December 18, 2020 Time: 9:00 am Place: Courtroom 5			
themselves and all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  The Kraft Heinz Company,	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 8, 9(b), 12(b)(1), AND 12(b)(6); MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES  Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White  Date: December 18, 2020 Time: 9:00 am Place: Courtroom 5 *Oral Argument Requested			
	naomi.igra@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 California Street Suite 200 San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 772-1200 Facsimile: (415) 772-7400  Kara L. McCall (admitted pro hac vice) kmccall@sidley.com Daniel A. Spira (admitted pro hac vice) dspira@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP One South Dearborn Street Chicago, IL 60603 Telephone: (312) 853-7000 Facsimile: (312) 853-7036  Attorneys for The Kraft Heinz Company  UNITED STATES NORTHERN DISTR			



#### NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS COMPLAINT

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on December 18, 2020, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard, before the Honorable Jeffrey S. White, in Courtroom 5 of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, located at 1301 Clay Street, Oakland, California 94612, Defendant The Kraft Heinz Company will and hereby does move to dismiss in full Plaintiffs' Class Action Complaint [Dkt. 1].

This Motion is brought pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 8(a), 9(b), 12(b)(1),

and 12(b)(6) on the following grounds: (1) Plaintiffs' claims are implausible and fail as a matter of law, because a reasonable consumer would not be deceived by the "natural cheese" representation contained on the product labeling at issue; (2) the doctrine of primary jurisdiction counsels this Court to leave the issue presented by the Complaint to the discretion of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration; (3) Plaintiffs lack standing to seek injunctive relief because they are under no imminent threat of future harm; and (4) Plaintiffs do not have standing to represent non-California putative class members or assert non-California consumer fraud claims.

This Motion is based on this Notice of Motion, the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the concurrently filed Request for Judicial Notice, the pleadings and filings in this action, and such further evidence or argument properly before the Court.

Dated: September 28, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

By: /s/ Naomi A. Igra
Naomi A. Igra (SBN 269095)
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
555 California Street
San Francisco, CA 94104
Tel: (415) 772-1200
Email: Naomi.Igra@sidley.com

Kara L. McCall (*admitted pro hac vice*) <a href="mailto:kmccall@sidley.com">kmccall@sidley.com</a>

Daniel A. Spira (admitted pro hac vice)



### Case 4:20-cv-04425-JSW Document 22 Filed 09/28/20 Page 3 of 26

dspira@sidley.com

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP One South Dearborn Street

Chicago, IL 60603

Telephone: (312) 853-7000 Facsimile: (312) 853-7036

Attorneys for Defendant The Kraft Heinz

Company



1		TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2		P	Page(s)
3	MEMOI	RANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES	1
4	INTROI	DUCTION	1
5	BACKO	GROUND	3
6	LEGAL	STANDARD	
7	ARGUMENT		6
8	I.	THE CHALLENGED STATEMENT IS NOT FALSE OR DECEPTIVE TO	,
9	II.	A REASONABLE CONSUMER  PLAINTIFFS' CLAIMS SHOULD BE DISMISSED OR STAYED BECAUSE	
10	11.	FDA IS ACTIVELY REVIEWING THE USE OF THE TERM "NATURAL"	10
11 12	III.	PLAINTIFFS LACK STANDING TO PURSUE INJUNCTIVE RELIEF BECAUSE THEY FACE NO THREAT OF IMMINENT HARM	12
13	IV.	THIS COURT SHOULD DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' NATIONWIDE CLASS ALLEGATIONS	1
14 15	CONCL	_USION	15
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			



## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page(s)
Algarin v. Maybelline, LLC, 300 F.R.D. 444 (S.D. Cal. 2014)	13
Allee v. Medrano, 416 U.S. 802 (1974)	14
Amchem Prods., Inc. v. Windsor, 521 U.S. 591 (1997)	14
Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662 (2009)	6
Astiana v. Hain Celestial Grp., Inc., 783 F.3d 753 (9th Cir. 2015)	12
Astiana v. Hain Celestial Grp., Inc., 905 F. Supp. 2d 1013 (N.D. Cal. 2012)	
Balser v. Hain Celestial Grp., Inc., No. 13-5604, 2013 WL 6673617 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 18, 2013)	9
Bates v. United Parcel Serv., Inc., 511 F.3d 974 (9th Cir. 2007)	
Becerra v. Dr Pepper/Seven Up, Inc., 945 F.3d 1225 (9th Cir. 2019)	
Becerra v. Dr Pepper/Seven Up, Inc., No. 17-cv-05921, 2018 WL 3995832 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 21, 2018)	
Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544 (2007)	5
Bohac v. Gen. Mills, Inc., No. 12-cv-05280, 2014 WL 1266848 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 26, 2014)	6
Carpenter v. PetSmart, Inc., 441 F. Supp. 3d 1028 (S.D. Cal. 2020)	
Castagnola v. Hewlett-Packard Co., No. 11-cv-05772, 2012 WL 2159385 (N.D. Cal. June 13, 2012)	
Chapman v. Pier 1 Imports (U.S.) Inc., 631 F.3d 939 (9th Cir. 2011)	,
0311.3d 737 (7til Cit. 2011)	13



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

