1	Carol V. Gilden (admitted pro hac vice) COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL	DI I C		
2	190 South LaSalle Street	PLLC		
3	Suite 1705 Chicago, IL 60603			
	Telephone: (312) 357-0370			
4	Facsimile: (312) 357-0369 Email: cgilden@cohenmilstein.com			
5				
6	Nicole Lavallee (SBN 165755) Jeffrey Miles (SBN 293869)			
7	BERMAN TABACCO			
	44 Montgomery Street, Suite 650			
8	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 433-3200			
9	Facsimile: (415) 433-6382			
10	Email: nlavallee@bermantabacco.com jmiles@bermantabacco.com			
11	, and the second			
	Attorneys for Lead Plaintiffs and Additional Named Plaintiff			
12	[Additional Counsel on Signature Page]			
13				
14		ES DISTRICT COURT TRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	_	CISCO DIVISION		
16	SHEET METAL WORKERS' NATIONAL PENSION FUND and INTERNATIONAL	Case No: 3:20-cv-04737-RS		
17	BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS	AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT		
	LOCAL NO. 710 PENSION FUND, individually and as Lead Plaintiffs on behalf	CLASS ACTION		
18	of all others similarly situated, and	Courtroom: 3 – 17th Floor		
19	INTERNATIONAL UNION OF	Judge: Richard Seeborg		
20	OPERATING ENGINEERS PENSION FUND OF EASTERN PENNSYLVANIA	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED		
21	AND DELAWARE, individually and as Named Plaintiff, on behalf of all others			
22	similarly situated,			
	Plaintiffs,			
23	vs.			
24	BAYER AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT,			
25	WERNER BAUMANN, WERNER WENNING, LIAM CONDON,			
26	JOHANNES DIETSCH, and WOLFGANG NICKL,			
27	Defendants.			
- 1				



1			TABLE OF CONTENTS						
2	I.								
3	II.		RISDICTION AND VENUE AND INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT						
4	III.								
5	1111.	A.		tiffs					
6		A. B.		ndants					
		Б. С.		vant Non-Parties					
7									
8	IV.			BACKGROUND AND SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS					
9		A.	•	r and its Business	22				
10		В.	Bayer Foreig	r Hastily Seizes the Opportunity to Acquire Monsanto in Largest gn Acquisition in German History	24				
11		C.	Defer Exten	ndants Recognized that Monsanto's Major Red Flags Required an asive Due Diligence Investigation	27				
12			1.	The Dramatic Due Diligence Red Flag Monsanto's History of Product Liability Litigation	29				
13			2.	Monsanto's Growing Legal Exposure to Roundup Litigation	31				
14		D.	Oppo	ndants Present the Merger to Investors as an Unparalleled rtunity for Shareholder Value and Promise an Extensive Due ence Investigation	33				
15 16		E.	After Reass	Signing the Merger Agreement in September 2016, Defendants sured Investors that the Due Diligence Investigation Would Continue 11th Lengthy Pre-Closing Period					
17 18		F.	In the Mons	Pre-Closing Period Prior to June 2018, Damaging Internal anto Documents Began to Emerge	40				
			1.	March 2017 Damaging Monsanto Papers Emerge	40				
19			2.	After the Release of the Monsanto Papers, Defendants Falsely Reaffirm the Strength of Their Due Diligence and Tout the					
20				Benefits of the Merger	42				
21		G.	Almo Revea	st Immediately After Closing the Merger, Jury Verdicts Begin to al Bayer's Massive Exposure to Roundup Liability	44				
22			1.	During <i>Johnson</i> Trial, Monsanto's Internal Documents Emerge as Central to Plaintiff's Case	44				
23 24			2.	Johnson Verdict Awards Plaintiff \$289 Million After Finding Monsanto Ignored Health Risks of Roundup	46				
25			3.	Defendants Admit They Never Looked at Monsanto's Internal Documents, but Reassure Investors that Reevaluation of Roundup					
26			4.	Johnson Court Rejects Monsanto's Request to Overturn Verdict,					
27 28				Finding "No Legal Basis to Dispute" Jury's Conclusions	52				



1 2		5.	In the <i>Hardeman</i> Trial, Plaintiff's Case Turns on Monsanto's Internal Documents Showing Roundup is More Toxic than Glyphosate Alone	53	
3		6.	The <i>Hardeman</i> Jury Awards \$80 Million to Plaintiff, Finding Monsanto Consciously Disregarded Public Safety by Concealing Roundup's Health Risks	55	
5	H.		Unbeknownst to the Market, Defendants Internally Acknowledged that the Monsanto Due Diligence Investigation Was Potentially Inadequate		
6	I.		d to Prevent Shareholder Revolt, Defendants Present Expert Reports areholders as Validating the Failed Due Diligence Investigation	58	
7 8	J.	Prese	Pilliod Jury Renders Massive \$2 Billion Verdict After Plaintiffs nt Dozens of Additional Internal Documents Showing Monsanto's onduct	61	
9	K.	Audit	Effort to Regain Shareholder Support, Defendants Agree to An of Bayer's Due Diligence Practices and to Release Linklaters and reack Reports as Confirmation of the Adequacy of its Due Diligence	63	
11	L.		ndants Admit that they Misled Investors About the Scientific ence on Roundup's Cancer Risks	65	
12	M.	Merg	cinklaters and Habersack Reports Establish that Prior to Signing the er Agreement, Defendants Failed to Examine Any Internal		
13	N.		ments Related to Roundup Liability Risks		
14	О.		's Proposed Settlement Quickly Falls Apart		
15	P.	Bayer	Bayer Fraudulently Understated Liabilities, Overstated Profits, and Failed to Disclose Material Contingencies		
16		1.	Accounting and Reporting Standards Applicable to Bayer		
17		2.	Specific Accounting Standards Relevant to Bayer	74	
18		3.	Bayer Had Actual Knowledge from August 13, 2018 to July 6, 2020 that its Financial Statements Were Materially False and	70	
19		Dogt (MisleadingClass Period Events		
20	Q.	1.	California Appeals Court Confirms that Defendants Misled	80	
21		1.	Investors About the Roundup Liability Risks	80	
22	V. FAL	SE AND	MISLEADING STATEMENTS AND OMISSIONS	82	
23	A.	A. Defendants' False and Misleading Statements About the Due Diligence Investigation of Monsanto			
24		1.	Defendants' False and Misleading Statements and Omissions Before the Signing of the Merger Agreement	82	
25		2.	Defendants' False and Misleading Statements About the Results of the Pre-Signing Due Diligence Investigation	83	
26		3.	Defendants' False and Misleading Statements About the Due Diligence Investigation Prior to the Closing the Merger	87	
27 28		4.	Defendants' False and Misleading Statements After the Closing of		



1				the Merger About the Adequacy of the Due Diligence Investigation	91	
2 3	В	•	Defendants' False and Misleading Statements After Closing the Merger About Bayer's Access to Monsanto's Internal Documents			
4			1.	Defendants' False and Misleading Statements About Bayer's Access to Monsanto's Internal Documents Under the Hold Separate Order		
5	C	•	Defend Cancer	lants' False and Misleading Statements About the Safety and Risks of Roundup and Glyphosate		
7			1.	Defendants' False and Misleading Statements about the Relative Safety of Roundup Compared to Glyphosate		
8			2.	Defendants' False and Misleading Statements About the Weight of the Scientific Evidence of Roundup's Safety	101	
9	D).		lants Made False Statements and Omissions About Bayer's nting and its Financial Results and Condition	108	
10 11			1.	Defendants' False and Misleading Statements in Bayer's Periodic Reports Through June 2020	108	
			2.	Interim Financial Statements for Q2 2018	109	
12			3.	Interim Financial Statements for Q3 2018	111	
13			4.	2018 Annual Financial Statements	112	
14			5.	Quarterly Statement for Q1 2019	116	
			6.	Half-Year Financial Report for 2019	117	
15			7.	Quarterly Statement for Q3 2019	118	
16			8.	2019 Annual Financial Statements	120	
17			9.	Quarterly Statement for Q1 2020	123	
18			10.	The Truth Comes Out in Bayer's Half-Year Financial Report for 2020	125	
19			11.	Defendants' Other False and Misleading Statements	128	
20	VI. A	.DDIT	TIONA	L ALLEGATIONS SUPPORTING SCIENTER	130	
21	A		Defendants Knowledge or Reckless Disregard of Legal and Reputational Risks Prior to September 14, 2016 Support a Strong Inference of Scienter			
22	В		Defendants Knowledge or Reckless Disregard of Roundup Liability Risks from September 14, 2016 through June 7, 2018			
23	C	•	Defendants Knowledge or Reckless Disregard of Roundup Liability Risks from June 8, 2018 to June 24, 2020			
24 25	D).		lants' Public Statements Regarding the Scientific Evidence on the of Glyphosate Support a Strong Inference of Scienter	133	
26	Е	•	Defendants' Admission that They Never Reviewed Monsanto's Internal Documents Supports a Strong Inference of Scienter			
27	F	•		agnitude of the Glyphosate-Related Costs Supports a Strong ace of Scienter	134	
28						



Case 3:20-cv-04737-RS Document 47 Filed 01/19/21 Page 5 of 169

- 1							
1		G. Defendant Baumann Acted with Scienter	35				
$_{2}$		H. Defendant Wenning Acted with Scienter	35				
3		I. Defendant Condon Acted with Scienter					
		J. Defendant Dietsch Acted with Scienter					
4		K. Defendant Nickl Acted with Scienter	.36				
5	VII.	LOSS CAUSATION AND ECONOMIC LOSS	37				
6	VIII.	THE PRESUMPTION OF RELIANCE	41				
7	IX.	THE INAPPLICABILITY OF STATUTORY SAFE HARBOR 1	43				
8	X.	CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS					
9	XI.	CLAIMS FOR RELIEF	47				
10	COUN	OUNT I					
11	COUN	UNT II					
12	XII.	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	50				
13	XIII.	JURY TRIAL DEMAND	51				
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							



28

DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

