

1 GEORGE A. KIMBRELL (*Pro Hac Vice*)
2 AMY VAN SAUN (*Pro Hac Vice*)
3 MEREDITH STEVENSON (CA Bar No. 328712)
4 Center for Food Safety
5 2009 NE Alberta St., Suite 207
6 Portland, Oregon 97211
7 T: (971) 271-7372
8 Emails: gkimbrell@centerforfoodsafety.org
9 avansaun@centerforfoodsafety.org
10 mstevenson@centerforfoodsafety.org

11 *Counsel for Plaintiffs*

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

15 NATURAL GROCERS, CITIZENS FOR
16 GMO LABELING, LABEL GMOS, RURAL
17 VERMONT, GOOD EARTH NATURAL
18 FOODS, PUGET CONSUMERS CO-OP,
19 NATIONAL ORGANIC COALITION, AND
20 CENTER FOR FOOD SAFETY,

21 *Plaintiffs,*

22 v.

23 TOM VILSACK, Secretary of the United States
24 Department of Agriculture; BRUCE
25 SUMMERS, Administrator of the Agricultural
26 Marketing Service; and the UNITED STATES
27 DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,

28 *Defendants.*

Case No. 20-5151-JD

**PLAINTIFFS' MOTION AND
MEMORANDUM FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT**

Date: April 21, 2022

Time: 10 a.m.

Courtroom: 11, 19th Floor

Hon. James Donato

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on April 21, 2022, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, Plaintiffs Natural Grocers, Citizens for GMO Labeling, Label GMOs, Rural Vermont, Good Earth Natural Foods, Puget Consumers Co-op, National Organic Coalition, and Center for Food Safety, will move this Court for summary judgment on all issues raised in their October 2, 2020 Amended Complaint, Dkt. 19.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

INTRODUCTION 1

RELEVANT STATUTORY BACKGROUND..... 2

 I. THE NATIONAL BIOENGINEERED FOOD DISCLOSURE ACT..... 2

FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND 5

 I. THE PUBLIC’S CALL FOR GE FOOD LABELING. 5

 II. USDA’S FINAL RULE. 8

STANDARD OF REVIEW..... 11

ARGUMENT 12

 I. THE DISCLOSURE STANDARD RESTRICTS ACCESS TO GE FOOD
 DISCLOSURES IN VIOLATION OF THE DISCLOSURE ACT AND THE
 ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT. 12

 A. The Rule’s QR Code Disclosure Option Violates the Plain Language
 of the Disclosure Act and Is Arbitrary and Capricious. 13

 B. USDA’s Prohibition of Terms Other Than “Bioengineering” Ignores
 Disclosure Act Commands. 15

 C. The Rule’s Exemption for Highly Refined Foods Is Contrary to the
 Disclosure Act Because the Act Requires Disclosure of “Any
 Bioengineered Food.” 19

 II. THE DISCLOSURE ACT AND DISCLOSURE STANDARD ARE
 CONSTITUTIONALLY INFIRM. 23

 A. Under the First Amendment, USDA May Not Prohibit Plaintiffs’
 Truthful, Non-Misleading Commercial Speech. 23

 1. The Disclosure Standard Restricts Commercial Speech and Is
 Subject to Review Under *Central Hudson*. 24

 2. The Disclosure Standard Fails *Central Hudson* Scrutiny. 25

 3. The Disclosure Standard Is Unconstitutionally Vague. 27

 B. The GE Seed Labeling Prohibition Violates the Tenth Amendment. 28

 III. THIS COURT SHOULD VACATE THE DISCLOSURE STANDARD
 AND SEVER AND DECLARE INVALID THE ACT’S
 UNCONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS..... 29

CONCLUSION 30

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Federal Cases

All. for the Wild Rockies v. U.S. Forest Serv.,
907 F.3d 1105 (9th Cir. 2018) 29

Bates v. State Bar of Ariz.,
433 U.S. 350 (1977)..... 27

Bond v. U.S.,
564 U.S. 211 (2011)..... 28

Cal. Cmtys. Against Toxics v. U.S. EPA,
688 F.3d 989 (9th Cir. 2012) 30

Cal. Pro-Life Council, Inc. v. Getman,
328 F.3d 1088 (9th Cir. 2003) 23

Celotex Corp. v. Catrett,
477 U.S. 317 (1986)..... 11

Central Hudson Gas & Elec. Corp. v. Pub. Serv. Comm’n of N.Y.,
447 U.S. 557 (1980)..... *passim*

Ctr. for Env’t Health v. Vilsack,
No. 15-cv-01690-JSC, 2016 WL 3383954 (N.D. Cal. June 20, 2016) 30

Culinary Workers Union, Local 226 v. Del Papa,
200 F.3d 614 (9th Cir. 1999) 23

F.C.C. v. Fox Television Stations, Inc.,
556 U.S. 502 (2009)..... 17

Grayned v. City of Rockford,
408 U.S. 104 (1972)..... 27

Grocery Mfrs. Ass’n v. Sorrell,
102 F. Supp. 3d 583..... 26

Holder v. Humanitarian Law Project,
561 U.S. 1 (2010)..... 28

Humane Soc’y of U.S. v. Locke,
626 F.3d 1040 (9th Cir. 2010) 29

	Page(s)
Federal Cases (Cont'd)	
1 <i>Hunt v. Wash. State Apple Advert. Comm'n</i> ,	
2 432 U.S. 333 (1977).....	13
3 <i>Legal Servs. Corp. v. Velazquez</i> ,	
4 531 U.S. 533 (2001).....	30
5 <i>LSO, Ltd. v. Stroh</i> ,	
6 205 F.3d1146 (9th Circ. 2000).....	23
7 <i>Motor Vehicle Mfrs. Ass'n v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.</i> ,	
8 463 U.S. 29 (1983).....	12, 14, 19
9 <i>Murphy v. Nat'l Collegiate Athletic Ass'n</i> ,	
10 138 S. Ct. 1461 (2018).....	28, 29, 30
11 <i>New State Ice Co. v. Liebmann</i> ,	
12 285 U.S. 262 (1932).....	7
13 <i>New York v. U.S.</i> ,	
14 505 U.S. 144 (1992).....	28
15 <i>Organized Vill. of Kake v. USDA</i> ,	
16 795 F.3d 956 (9th Cir. 2015)	17, 18
17 <i>Phila. Newspapers, Inc. v. Hepps</i> ,	
18 475 U.S. 767 (1986).....	12
19 <i>Pollinator Stewardship Council v. U.S. EPA</i> ,	
20 806 F.3d 520 (9th Cir. 2015)	30
21 <i>Printz v. U.S.</i> ,	
22 521 U.S. 898 (1997).....	28
23 <i>Retail Digit. Network, LLC, v. Appelsmith</i> ,	
24 810 F.3d 638 (9th Cir. 2016)	26
25 <i>Rubin v. Coors Brewing Co.</i> ,	
26 514 U.S. 476 (1995).....	25
27 <i>Thunder Studios, Inc. v. Kazal</i> ,	
28 13 F.4th 736 (9th Cir. 2021)	23
29 <i>U.S. v. Playboy Ent. Grp., Inc.</i> ,	
30 529 U.S. 803 (2000).....	12

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.