

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Mark McKane, P.C. (SBN 230552)
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
555 California Street, 29th Floor
San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: (415) 439-1400
Facsimile: (415) 439-1500

Gregg F. LoCascio, P.C. (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Michael A. Glick (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Terence J. McCarrick, Jr. (admitted *pro hac vice*)
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
1301 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
Telephone: (202) 389-5000
Facsimile: (202) 389-5200

*Attorneys for Defendant
Sanderson Farms, Inc.*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

IN DEFENSE OF ANIMALS and FRIENDS OF
THE EARTH,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

SANDERSON FARMS, INC.,

Defendant.

) CASE NO. 3:20-CV-05293 (RS)
)
) **SANDERSON FARMS, INC.’S**
) **REPLY IN SUPPORT OF**
) **MOTION TO DISMISS THE**
) **FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT**
)
) Judge: Honorable Richard Seeborg
) Hearing Date: September 9, 2021
) Time: 1:30 p.m.
) Location: Courtroom 3, 17th Floor
) 450 Golden Gate Avenue
) San Francisco, CA 94102
)
)
)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Page

INTRODUCTION 1

ARGUMENT 2

I. PLAINTIFFS LACK ARTICLE III STANDING TO PURSUE THEIR CLAIMS..... 2

 A. Setbacks to Plaintiffs’ Advocacy Interests Are Not a Concrete Injury. 2

 B. Plaintiffs Fail to Plausibly Allege a Diversion of Resources..... 4

II. PLAINTIFFS’ FAL AND UCL ALLEGATIONS FAIL AS A MATTER OF LAW. 8

 A. Plaintiffs’ Misrepresentation-Based Claims Fail for Lack of Actual Reliance. 8

 B. Plaintiffs’ Claims Based on Sanderson’s Farming Practices Fail..... 10

 C. Plaintiffs’ Challenge to Sanderson’s USDA-Approved Label Is Preempted. 12

III. PLAINTIFFS SHOULD NOT RECEIVE LEAVE TO AMEND..... 15

CONCLUSION..... 15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

1

2

3

4

5 *A White & Yellow Cab, Inc. v. Uber Techs., Inc.*,
2017 WL 1208384 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 31, 2017).....9

6 *Allen v. City of Beverly Hills*,
911 F.2d 367 (9th Cir. 1990)15

7

8 *AlterG, Inc. v. Boost Treadmills LLC*,
388 F. Supp. 3d 1133 (N.D. Cal. 2019)9

9

10 *Am. Diabetes Ass’n v. U.S. Dep’t of the Army*,
938 F.3d 1147 (9th Cir. 2019)5, 6

11 *Animal Legal Def. Fund v. Great Bull Run, LLC*,
2014 WL 2568685 (N.D. Cal. June 6, 2014).....10

12

13 *Animal Legal Def. Fund v. LT Napa Partners LLC*,
184 Cal. Rptr. 3d 759 (Cal. Ct. App. 2015).....10

14

15 *Animal Legal Defense Fund v. HVFG LLC*,
2013 WL 3242244 (N.D. Cal. June 25, 2013).....10

16 *Barnes v. Campbell Soup Co.*,
2013 WL 5530017 (N.D. Cal. July 25, 2013).....12

17

18 *California v. Ross*,
362 F. Supp. 3d 749 (N.D. Cal. 2018)3

19

20 *Cappello v. Walmart Inc.*,
2019 WL 11687705 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 5, 2019)9

21 *Chaset v. Fleer/Skybox Int’l*,
300 F.3d 1083 (9th Cir. 2002)15

22

23 *Cohen v. Conagra Brands, Inc.*,
2020 WL 5628937 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 20, 2020)
24 *appeal filed*, No. 20-55969 (9th Cir. Sept. 18, 2020)13, 14

25 *Ctr. for Biological Diversity v. Bernhardt*,
2020 WL 4188091 (N.D. Cal. May 18, 2020)2, 8

26

27 *Diamond v. Charles*,
476 U.S. 54 (1986).....3

28

1 *Durell v. Sharp Healthcare*,
 108 Cal. Rptr. 3d 682 (Cal. Ct. App. 2010)9

2

3 *E. Bay Sanctuary Covenant v. Biden*,
 993 F.3d 640 (9th Cir. 2021)3

4 *Ebner v. Fresh, Inc.*,
 838 F.3d 958 (9th Cir. 2016)11

5

6 *Equinox Hotel Mgmt., Inc. v. Equinox Holdings, Inc.*,
 2018 WL 659105 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 1, 2018)9

7

8 *Food & Water Watch, Inc. v. Vilsack*,
 808 F.3d 905 (D.C. Cir. 2015)4

9 *Friends of the Earth v. Sanderson Farms, Inc.*,
 2019 WL 3457787 (N.D. Cal. July 31, 2019),
 10 *aff'd*, 992 F.3d 939 (9th Cir. 2021) *passim*

11 *Gentges v. Trend Micro Inc.*,
 12 2012 WL 2792442 (N.D. Cal. July 9, 2012).....9

13 *Great Pac. Sec. v. Barclays Cap., Inc.*,
 14 743 F. App'x 780 (9th Cir. 2018)9

15 *Hall v. Time Inc.*,
 70 Cal. Rptr. 3d 466 (Cal. Ct. App. 2008)9

16 *Havens Realty Corp. v. Coleman*,
 17 455 U.S. 363 (1982).....3

18 *Innovation Law Lab v. Nielsen*,
 19 366 F. Supp. 3d 1110 (N.D. Cal. 2019)3

20 *Jerome's Furniture Warehouse v. Ashley Furniture Indus., Inc.*,
 2021 WL 148063 (S.D. Cal. Jan. 15, 2021).....10

21 *Junod v. NWP Servs. Corp.*,
 22 2015 WL 12712310 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 13, 2015)12

23 *Kroessler v. CVS Health Corp.*,
 977 F.3d 803 (9th Cir. 2020)14

24 *Kwikset Corp. v. Superior Court*,
 25 246 P.3d 877 (Cal. 2011)9

26 *L.A. Taxi Coop., Inc. v. Uber Techs., Inc.*,
 27 114 F. Supp. 3d 852 (N.D. Cal. 2015)10

28

1 *La Asociacion de Trabajadores de Lake Forest v. City of Lake Forest,*
 624 F.3d 1083 (9th Cir. 2010)2, 7, 8

2

3 *Lee v. Conagra Brands, Inc.,*
 958 F.3d 70 (1st Cir. 2020).....14

4 *LegalForce RAPC Worldwide P.C. v. DeMassa,*
 2020 WL 4747909 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 17, 2020)9, 10

5

6 *Leining v. Foster Poultry Farms, Inc.,*
 275 Cal. Rptr. 3d 682 (Cal. Ct. App. 2021).....14

7

8 *McGill v. Citibank, N.A.,*
 393 P.3d 85 (Cal. 2017)10

9 *Meaunrit v. The Pinnacle Foods Grp., LLC,*
 2010 WL 1838715 (N.D. Cal. May 5, 2010)13

10

11 *Nat’l Council of La Raza v. Cegavske,*
 800 F.3d 1032 (9th Cir. 2015)3

12

13 *Organic Consumers Ass’n v. Sanderson Farms, Inc.,*
 284 F. Supp. 3d 1005 (N.D. Cal. 2018)13

14 *Ortiz v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.,*
 2011 WL 4952979 (N.D. Cal. May 27, 2011)12

15

16 *Patricia A. Murray Dental Corp. v. Dentsply Int’l, Inc.,*
 227 Cal. Rptr. 3d 862 (Cal. Ct. App. 2018)10

17

18 *Perkins v. LinkedIn Corp.,*
 53 F. Supp. 3d 1190 (N.D. Cal. 2014)9

19 *Pierce v. Ducey,*
 965 F.3d 1085 (9th Cir. 2020) (per curiam).....3

20

21 *Prata v. Superior Court,*
 111 Cal. Rptr. 2d 296 (Cal. Ct. App. 2001)10

22

23 *In re Quaker Oats Labeling Litig.,*
 2012 WL 1034532 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 28, 2012).....12, 13

24 *Quesada v. Herb Thyme Farms, Inc.,*
 361 P.3d 868 (Cal. 2015)14

25

26 *Rodriguez v. City of San Jose,*
 930 F.3d 1123 (9th Cir. 2019)3

27 *Sabra v. Maricopa Cnty. Cmty. Coll. Dist.,*
 479 F. Supp. 3d 808 (D. Ariz. 2020)4

28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.