

1 ROGER I. TEICH
2 California State Bar No. 147076
3 290 Nevada Street
4 San Francisco, CA 94110
5 Telephone: (415) 948-0045
6 E-Mail Address: rteich@juno.com

7 ROBERT F. KENNEDY, JR.
8 MARY HOLLAND
9 Children's Health Defense
10 1227 North Peachtree Parkway, Suite 202
11 Peachtree City, GA 30269
12 Telephone: (917) 743-3868
13 E-Mail Address: mary.holland@childrenshealthdefense.org

14 Attorneys for Plaintiff
15 CHILDREN'S HEALTH DEFENSE

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

19 CHILDREN'S HEALTH DEFENSE,
20 a Georgia non-profit organization,

21 Plaintiff,

22 v.

23 FACEBOOK, INC., a Delaware corporation;
24 MARK ZUCKERBERG, a California resident;
25 SCIENCE FEEDBACK, a French corporation;
26 POYNTER INSTITUTE, a Florida corporation;
27 POLITIFACT, a Florida-corporation; and
28 DOES 1-20,

Defendants.

Case No. _____

VERIFIED COMPLAINT

- 1) FIRST AND FIFTH AMENDMENTS (*BIVENS*);**
- 2) LANHAM ACT (15 U.S.C. § 1125(a));**
- 3) RICO FRAUD (18 U.S.C. § 1962);**
- 4) DECLARATORY RELIEF.**

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page #</u>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	iii
VERIFIED COMPLAINT.....	1
INTRODUCTION	2
JURISDICTION AND VENUE.....	5
PARTIES AND RELATED ENTITIES	6
STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS	8
A. CHD's Interest in Vaccine and 5G and Wireless Network Safety.	8
B. CHD's Facebook Page.	12
C. Defendant's Scheme to Defraud.	14
1. Overview.	14
2. Means and Methods of Defendants' Scheme.....	22
3. Falsely Disparaging Warning Label.....	25
4. Materially Deceptive use of "Fact-Checkers.".....	27
5. Disabling CHD's Fundraising and Ads.....	39
6. Disabling CHD's Right to "Appeal" These Actions.....	40
7. Concealment of the Overall Scheme.....	40
8. Continuing Injuries to CHD.	48
D. Material Questions of Vaccine Safety.	50
E. Material Questions of 5G Network Safety.	55
F. Facebook's Adverse Motives.....	59
1. Zuckerberg's Corporate Biases.	59
2. Vaccine-Maker Ad Revenue.	62
3. Vaccine Development.	63
4. 5G Networks.	65
FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION -	
(FIRST AND FIFTH AMENDMENTS — <i>BIVENS</i> VIOLATIONS).....	67

1	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION -	
2	(LANHAM ACT VIOLATIONS — 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))	73
3	A. No Affirmative Defense of CDA “Immunity.”	86
4	B. The May 28, 2020 Executive Order.....	86
5	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION - (RICO — WIRE FRAUD VIOLATIONS).....	87
6	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION - (DECLARATORY RELIEF)	92
7	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	93
8	PRAYER FOR RELIEF.....	94
9	VERIFICATION	95
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Federal Cases

3	<i>Abrams v. United States</i> , 250 U.S. 616 (1919).....	4, 45
4		
5	<i>Ashcroft v. Free Speech Coalition</i> , 535 U. S. (2002).....	71
6		
7	<i>Bass v. Facebook, Inc.</i> , 394 F. Supp. 3d 1024 (N.D. Cal. 2019)	3
8		
9	<i>Batzel v. Smith</i> , 333 F.3d 1018 (9th Cir. 2003)	86
10		
11	<i>Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Fed. Bureau of Narcotics</i> , 403 U.S. 388 (1971).....	68
12		
13	<i>Blum v. Yaretsky</i> , 457 U.S. 991 (1982).....	71
14		
15	<i>Board of Regents of State Colleges v. Roth</i> , 408 U.S. 564 (1972).....	72
16		
17	<i>Bolger v. Youngs Drug Products Corp.</i> , 463 U.S. 60 (1983).....	75
18		
19	<i>Boston Chamber of Commerce v. Boston</i> , 217 U.S. 189 (1910).....	73
20		
21	<i>Bridge v. Phoenix Bond & Indem. Co.</i> , 553 U.S. 639 (2008).....	45, 50, 90
22		
23	<i>Bridges v. California</i> , 314 U.S. 252 (1941).....	21
24		
25	<i>Bruesewitz v. Wyeth LLC</i> , 562 U.S. 223 (2011).....	50
26		
27	<i>Coastal Abstract Serv., Inc. v. First Am. Title Ins. Co.</i> , 173 F.3d 725 (9th Cir. 1999)	74
28		
29	<i>Continental Airlines, Inc. v. Intra Brokers, Inc.</i> , 24 F.3d 1099 (9th Cir. 1994)	92

1	<i>Cook, Perkiss, and Liehe, Inc. v. N. Cal. Collection Serv.,</i> 911 F.2d 242 (9th Cir. 1990)	75
2		
3	<i>Corr. Servs. Corp. v. Malesko,</i> 534 U.S. 61 (2001).....	68
4		
5	<i>Davis v. Passman,</i> 442 U.S. 228 (1979).....	68
6		
7	<i>Davis v. Wyeth Laboratories,</i> 399 F.2d 121 (9th Cir. 1968)	50
8		
9	<i>Del's Big Saver Foods, Inc. v. Carpenter Cook, Inc.,</i> 795 F.2d 1344 (7th Cir. 1986)	72
10		
11	<i>Dodds v. Am. Broad. Co.,</i> 145 F.3d 1053 (9th Cir. 1998)	82, 84
12		
13	<i>Elrod v. Burns,</i> 427 U.S. 347 (1976).....	92
14		
15	<i>Fair Hous. Council v. Roommates.com, LLC,</i> 521 F.3d 1157 (9th Cir. 2008) (en banc)	22, 86
16		
17	<i>Fed. Agency of News LLC v. Facebook, Inc.</i> 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6159 (N.D. Cal. 2020)	69, 70
18		
19	<i>Fonda v. Gray,</i> 707 F.2d 435 (9th Cir. 1983)	71
20		
21	<i>Fraley v. Facebook,</i> 830 F. Supp. 2d 785 (N.D. Cal. 2011)	62, 86
22		
23	<i>Franklin v. Fox,</i> 312 F.3d 423 (9th Cir. 2002)	69
24		
25	<i>Freedman v. Maryland,</i> 380 U.S. 51 (1965).....	68
26		
27	<i>Garrison v. Louisiana,</i> 379 U.S. 64 (1964).....	4, 84
28		
	<i>Gorenc v. Salt River Project Agric. Improvement & Power Dist.,</i> 869 F.2d 503 (9th Cir. 1989)	69

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.