

Robert H. Bunzel (SBN 99395)
BARTKO, ZANKEL, BUNZEL & MILLER
A Professional Corporation
One Embarcadero Center
Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 956-1900
rbunzel@bzbm.com

Joel Kurtzberg (*pro hac vice*)
Elai Katz (*pro hac vice*)
Herbert S. Washer (*pro hac vice*)
Adam S. Mintz (*pro hac vice*)
CAHILL GORDON & REINDEL LLP
32 Old Slip
New York, New York 10005
Telephone: (212) 701-3000
jkurtzberg@cahill.com
ekatz@cahill.com
hwasher@cahill.com
amintz@cahill.com

*Counsel for Defendants Credit Suisse Group
AG and Credit Suisse AG*

**ADDITIONAL PARTIES AND COUNSEL
LISTED ON SIGNATURE PAGE**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

LISA MCCARTHY, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

V.

INTERCONTINENTAL EXCHANGE, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:20-cv-05832-JD

**DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF MOTION
AND MOTION TO DISMISS
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED
COMPLAINT FOR LACK OF PERSONAL
JURISDICTION: MEMORANDUM OF
POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN
SUPPORT THEREOF**

Judge: Hon. James Donato
Date: December 15, 2022
Time: 10:00 a.m.
Courtroom: 11, 19th Floor

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page(s)	
2		
3	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS	1
4	ISSUE TO BE DECIDED.....	1
5	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES	1
6	PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	1
7	FACTUAL BACKGROUND	3
8	A. PLAINTIFFS	3
9	B. FOREIGN DEFENDANTS	3
10	C. THE AMENDED COMPLAINT'S JURISDICTIONAL ALLEGATIONS	4
11	LEGAL STANDARD	5
12	ARGUMENT	6
13	I. NO DEFENDANT IS SUBJECT TO GENERAL JURISDICTION	6
14	II. NO DEFENDANT IS SUBJECT TO SPECIFIC JURISDICTION	7
15	A. All of the Alleged Wrongful Conduct Occurred Outside the United States	8
16	B. Plaintiffs Fail to Connect the Alleged Conspiracy to the United States	8
17	III. PLAINTIFFS' "ENTERPRISE JURISDICTION" THEORY FAILS	11
18	IV. THE EXERCISE OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION WOULD BE 19 UNREASONABLE.....	12
20	V. DISMISSAL SHOULD BE WITHOUT LEAVE TO AMEND.....	13
21	CONCLUSION.....	133

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>AirWair Int'l Ltd. v. Pull & Bear Espana SA,</i> 2020 WL 2113833 (N.D. Cal. May 4, 2020).....	13
<i>AMA Multimedia, LLC v. Wanat,</i> 970 F.3d 1201 (9th Cir. 2020).....	11
<i>AmeriSourceBergen Corp. v. Dialysisist W., Inc.,</i> 465 F.3d 946 (9th Cir. 2006)	13
<i>Axiom Foods, Inc. v. Acerchem Int'l, Inc.,</i> 874 F.3d 1064 (9th Cir. 2017)	8, 8n, 9
<i>Ayla LLC v. Ayla Skin Pty., Ltd.,</i> 11 F.4th 972 (9th Cir. 2021)	8n
<i>Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Superior Court of California,</i> 137 S. Ct. 1773 (2017)	9
<i>Campanelli v. Image First Unif. Rental Serv., Inc.,</i> 2016 WL 4729173 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 12, 2016).....	11
<i>In re Capacitors Antitrust Litig.,</i> 2015 WL 3638551 (N.D. Cal. June 11, 2015) (Donato, J.)	8n
<i>Carpenter v. Republic of Chile,</i> 2011 WL 2490947 (E.D.N.Y. June 20, 2011)	13n
<i>Charles Schwab Corp. v. Bank of Am. Corp.,</i> 883 F.3d 68 (2d Cir. 2018).....	2, 9, 10n, 11
<i>Chase Manhattan Bank v. State of Iran,</i> 484 F. Supp. 832 (S.D.N.Y. 1980)	11
<i>City of Oakland v. BP p.l.c.,</i> 2018 WL 3609055 (N.D. Cal. July 27, 2018)	13
<i>Copperweld Corp. v. Independence Tube Corp.,</i> 467 U.S. 752 (1984)	11–12
<i>Daimler AG v. Bauman,</i> 571 U.S. 117 (2014)	6–7, 13
<i>Dennis v. JPMorgan Chase & Co.,</i> 343 F. Supp. 3d 122 (S.D.N.Y. 2018).....	10n

1	<i>Fire & Police Pension Ass'n of Colo. v. Bank of Montreal,</i> 368 F. Supp. 3d 681 (S.D.N.Y. 2019).....	10n
2	<i>Ford Motor Co. v. Montana Eighth Judicial District Court,</i> 141 S. Ct. 1017 (2021).....	8n
3		
4	<i>Grigsby v. CMI Corp.,</i> 765 F.2d 1369 (9th Cir. 1985).....	13n
5		
6	<i>In re ICE LIBOR Antitrust Litig.,</i> 2020 WL 1467354 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 26, 2020).....	10n
7		
8	<i>Jonas v. Estate of Leven,</i> 116 F. Supp. 3d 314 (S.D.N.Y. 2015).....	13n
9		
10	<i>Keeton v. Hustler Magazine, Inc.,</i> 465 U.S. 770 (1984).....	6–7, 9
11		
12	<i>Kramer Motors, Inc. v. British Leyland, Ltd.,</i> 628 F.2d 1175 (9th Cir. 1980).....	12
13		
14	<i>Krantz v. Bloomberg L.P.,</i> 2022 WL 2102111 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 19, 2022).....	11
15		
16	<i>Lamont v. Petruccielli,</i> 2018 WL 4378768 (N.D. Cal. July 12, 2018)	13
17		
18	<i>In re LIBOR-Based Fin. Instruments Antitrust Litig.,</i> 2015 WL 6243526 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 20, 2015).....	10
19		
20	<i>Martinez v. Aero Caribbean,</i> 764 F.3d 1062 (9th Cir. 2014)	5–6
21		
22	<i>Omeluk v. Langsten Slip & Batbyggeri A/S,</i> 52 F.3d 267 (9th Cir. 1995)	6
23		
24	<i>Orange Theatre Corp. v. Rayherstz Amusement Corp.,</i> 139 F.2d 871 (3rd Cir.), cert. denied, 322 U.S. 740 (1944)	13n
25		
26	<i>Paccar Int'l, Inc. v. Commercial Bank of Kuwait, S.A.K.,</i> 757 F.2d 1058 (9th Cir. 1985)	12
27		
28	<i>Pebble Beach Co. v. Caddy,</i> 453 F.3d 1151 (9th Cir. 2006)	12
25	<i>Picot v. Weston,</i> 780 F.3d 1206 (9th Cir. 2015).....	6–7
26		
27	<i>Prevail Legal, Inc. v. Gordon,</i> 2021 WL 1947578 (N.D. Cal. May 14, 2021).....	5
28		

1	<i>Ranza v. Nike, Inc.</i> , 793 F.3d 1059 (9th Cir. 2015)	6, 11–12
2	<i>Schwarzenegger v. Fred Martin Motor Co.</i> , 374 F.3d 797 (9th Cir. 2004)	8
3		
4	<i>Sullivan v. Barclays PLC</i> , 2017 WL 685570 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 21, 2017).....	10n
5		
6	<i>Thomas v. Furness (Pacific) Ltd.</i> , 171 F.2d 434 (9th Cir. 1948), <i>cert. denied</i> , 337 U.S. 960 (1949)	13n
7		
8	<i>Walden v. Fiore</i> , 571 U.S. 277 (2014)	6–7, 10
9		
10	<i>West v. Multibanco Comermex, S.A.</i> , 807 F.2d 820 (9th Cir. 1987)	11
11		
12	<i>Yen v. Buchholz</i> , 2010 WL 1758623 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 30, 2010)	9n
13		

Internet Sources

13	IBA, <i>LIBOR</i> , https://www.theice.com/iba/libor	4n, 8
----	---	-------

Rules

15	Fed. Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(2).....	1
----	--	---

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.