

1 Annie Y.S. Chuang (SBN: 196307)  
2 [achuang@shb.com](mailto:achuang@shb.com)  
3 Joan R. Camagong (SBN: 288217)  
4 [jcamagong@shb.com](mailto:jcamagong@shb.com)  
5 SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.  
6 One Montgomery, Suite 2600  
7 San Francisco, California 94104  
8 Telephone: 415-544-1900  
9 Facsimile: 415-391-0281

10 Attorneys for Defendant  
11 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
14 OAKLAND FRANCISCO DIVISION

15 ERIN NORMAN,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., NEUTRON  
19 HOLDINGS, INC. SEGWAY, INC. WHICH  
20 WILL DO BUSINESS IN CALIFORNIA AS  
21 SEGWAY INC. OF DELAWARE, NINEBOT,  
22 INC., A DELAWARE CORPORATION,  
23 ZHUHAI XIAOMI COMMUNICATIONS CO.  
24 LTD., and XIOAMI CORPORATION,

25 Defendants.

Case No. 4:20-cv-06700-JSW

**DEFENDANT UBER TECHNOLOGIES,  
INC.'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND  
MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION**

Date: April 16, 2021  
Time: 9:00 a.m.  
Dept.: Courtroom 5 – 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White

1 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on April 16, 2021 at 9:00 a.m., before the Honorable  
2 Jeffrey S. White in Courtroom 5, 2nd Floor of the United States District Court for the Northern  
3 District of California, 1301 Clay Street, Oakland, CA 94612, Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc.  
4 (“Uber”) will and hereby does seek an order compelling Plaintiff Erin Norman to submit her claims  
5 against Uber to arbitration and staying this action under the FAA and the Court’s inherent authority  
6 pending completion of individual arbitration.

7 This motion is based on this notice of motion and motion, the accompanying memorandum  
8 of points and authorities, the Declarations of Todd Gaddis, Tin Dinh, and Joan R. Camagong and  
9 exhibits attached thereto, filed concurrently herewith, all pleadings and papers on file in this action,  
10 and such further evidence and argument as may be presented at or before the hearing on this matter.

11 Dated: March 9, 2021

Respectfully Submitted,

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.

12  
13  
14 By: /s/ Joan R. Camagong

15 ANNIE Y.S. CHUANG  
16 JOAN R. CAMAGONG

17 Attorney for Defendant  
18 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Annie Y.S. Chuang (SBN: 196307)  
2 [achuang@shb.com](mailto:achuang@shb.com)  
3 Joan R. Camagong (SBN: 288217)  
4 [jcamagong@shb.com](mailto:jcamagong@shb.com)  
5 SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.  
6 One Montgomery, Suite 2600  
7 San Francisco, California 94104-4505  
8 Telephone: 415-544-1900  
9 Facsimile: 415-391-0281

10 Attorneys for Defendant  
11 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

14 ERIN NORMAN,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., NEUTRON  
18 HOLDINGS, INC. SEGWAY, INC. WHICH  
19 WILL DO BUSINESS IN CALIFORNIA AS  
20 SEGWAY INC. OF DELAWARE, NINEBOT,  
21 INC., A DELAWARE CORPORATION,  
22 ZHUHAI XIAOMI COMMUNICATIONS CO.  
23 LTD., and XIOAMI CORPORATION,

24 Defendants.

Case No. 4:20-cv-06700-JSW

**DEFENDANT UBER TECHNOLOGIES,  
INC.'S MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF MOTION TO COMPEL  
ARBITRATION**

Date: April 16, 2021  
Time: 9:00 a.m.  
Dept.: Courtroom 5 – 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

INTRODUCTION ..... 1

FACTUAL BACKGROUND..... 1

LEGAL STANDARD..... 4

ARGUMENT ..... 5

    I. The Federal Arbitration Act governs the arbitration agreement..... 5

    II. The arbitration agreement is valid and must be enforced pursuant to the FAA including the parties’ agreement to arbitrate “gateway” issues of arbitrability..... 6

        A. Plaintiff agreed to arbitrate threshold questions of arbitrability. .... 7

        B. Even if the Court finds that it, rather than the arbitrator, should decide arbitrability, both gateway issues are satisfied. .... 9

    III. *McGill* does not apply and is otherwise inapposite. .... 12

        A. Whether *McGill* applies and what impact it may have is properly delegated to the arbitrator..... 12

        B. Plaintiff’s injunctive relief claim is improperly alleged against Uber and in any event seeks only private injunctive relief..... 13

        C. The arbitration agreement does not waive public injunctive relief..... 15

    IV. The Court should stay this action..... 15

CONCLUSION..... 15

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**Cases..... Page(s)**

*Aanderud v. Superior Court*,  
13 Cal. App. 5th 880 (2017) .....13

*American Exp. Co. v. Italian Colors Restaurant*,  
570 U.S. 228 (2013).....5

*Ashbey v. Archstone Prop. Mgmt., Inc.*,  
785 F.3d 1320 (9th Cir. 2015) .....6

*AT&T Mobility, LLC v. Concepcion*,  
563 U.S. 333 (2011).....4, 6

*AT&T Techs., Inc. v. Communications Workers of America*,  
475 U.S. 643 (1986).....7

*Babcock v. Neutron Holdings, Inc.*,  
454 F. Supp. 3d 1222 (S.D. Fla. Apr. 13, 2020) .....10

*Biller v. Toyota Motor Corp.*,  
668 F.3d 655 (9th Cir. 2012) .....5

*Blair v. Rent-A-Center, Inc.*  
No. C 17-02335 WHA, 2017 WL 4805577 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 25, 2017) .....14, 15

*Brennan v. Opus Bank*,  
796 F.3d 1125 (9th Cir. 2015) .....7, 8, 9

*Buckeye Check Cashing, Inc. v. Cardegna*,  
546 U.S. 440 (2006).....5, 8

*Chico v. Hilton Worldwide, Inc.*,  
No. CV 14-5740-JFW, 2014 WL 5088240 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 7, 2014) .....11

*Chiron v. Ortho Diagnostic Sys., Inc.*,  
207 F.3d 1126 (9th Cir. 2000) .....6

*Citizens Bank v. Alafabco, Inc.*,  
539 U.S. 52 (2003).....6

*Cooper v. Adobe Sys. Inc.*,  
No. 18-cv-06742-BLF, 2019 WL 5102609 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 11, 2019).....13

*Cordas v. Uber Techs., Inc.*,  
228 F. Supp. 3d 985 (N.D. Cal. 2017) .....6, 10

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.