

1 ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
 & DOWD LLP
 2 STUART A. DAVIDSON
 MARK J. DEARMAN
 3 JASON H. ALPERSTEIN
 CHRISTOPHER C. GOLD
 4 DOROTHY P. ANTULLIS
 120 East Palmetto Park Road, Suite 500
 5 Boca Raton, FL 33432
 Telephone: 561/750-3000
 6 561/750-3364 (fax)
 sdavidson@rgrdlaw.com
 7 mdearman@rgrdlaw.com
 jalperstein@rgrdlaw.com
 8 cgold@rgrdlaw.com
 dantullis@rgrdlaw.com

9 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Baltimore County, Maryland*

10 [Additional counsel appear on signature page.]

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

14	BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND,)	Case No.
15	Individually and on Behalf of All Others)	
16	Similarly Situated,)	MDL No. 3:19-md-02913-WHO
)	
17	Plaintiff,)	COMPLAINT
)	
18	vs.)	<u>REDACTED</u>
)	
19	JUUL LABS, INC. F/K/A PAX LABS, INC.,)	<u>DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL</u>
20	ALTRIA GROUP, INC., ALTRIA CLIENT)	
	SERVICES, LLC, ALTRIA GROUP)	
21	DISTRIBUTION COMPANY, PHILIP)	
	MORRIS USA INC., JAMES MONSEES,)	
22	ADAM BOWEN, NICHOLAS PRITZKER,)	
	HOYOUNG HUH, and RIAZ VALANI,)	
23)	
	Defendants.)	
24)	

25
26
27
28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
1		
2		
3	I. INTRODUCTION	1
4	II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE	5
5	III. PARTIES	6
6	IV. GENERAL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS.....	11
7	A. Each Defendant Was Instrumental in Seeking to Develop and Market the	
8	Blockbuster Sequel to Combustible Cigarettes, the “Most Successful	
9	Consumer Product of All Time.”	11
10	B. Defendants’ Strategy Was to Create a Nicotine Product that Would	
11	Maximize Profits Through Addiction.....	20
12	1. Defendants Understood that the “Magic” Behind	
13	Cigarettes’ Stratospheric Commercial Success Was	
14	Nicotine Addiction.....	20
15	2. Following the Cigarette Industry Playbook, Defendants	
16	Sought to Market a Product that Would Create and Sustain	
17	Nicotine Addiction, but Without the Stigma Associated	
18	with Cigarettes	23
19	3. Defendants Sought to Position JLI for Acquisition by a	
20	Major Cigarette Company.....	29
21	C. JLI and Bowen Designed a Nicotine Delivery Device Intended to Create	
22	and Sustain Addiction.....	37
23	1. JLI and Bowen Made Highly Addictive E-Cigarettes Easy	
24	for Young People and Non-Smokers to Inhale.....	37
25	2. JLI’s Initial Experiments Measured Non-Smokers’ “Buzz”	
26	Levels and Perceptions of Throat Harshness	38
27	3. JUULs Rapidly Deliver Substantially Higher Doses of	
28	Nicotine than Cigarettes.....	41
	4. JLI and the Management Defendants Knew that JUUL Was	
	Unnecessarily Addictive Because It Delivered More	
	Nicotine than Smokers Needed or Wanted.....	48
	5. JUUL’s Design Did Not Look Like a Cigarette, Making It	
	Attractive to Non-Smokers and Easy for Young People to	
	Use Without Detection.....	51
	6. JLI Enticed Newcomers to Nicotine with Kid-Friendly	
	Flavors Without Ensuring the Flavoring Additives Were	
	Safe for Inhalation.....	55

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Page

a.	JLI Develops Flavored JUUL Products that Would Appeal to Youth.....	55
b.	Defendants Developed and Promoted the Mint Flavor and Sought to Preserve Its Market.....	60
c.	JLI’s Youth Surveillance Programs Confirmed that Mint JUUL Pods are Preferred by Teens.....	62
D.	Defendants Developed and Implemented a Marketing Scheme to Mislead Users into Believing that JUUL Products Contained Less Nicotine than They Actually Do and Were Healthy and Safe.....	65
1.	The Defendants Knowingly Made False and Misleading Statements and Omissions Concerning JUUL’s Nicotine Content.....	66
2.	JLI, the Management Defendants, and Altria Transmitted, Promoted and Utilized Statements Concerning JUUL’s Nicotine Content that They Knew Was False and Misleading.....	73
3.	Defendants Used Food and Coffee Themes to Give False Impression that JUUL Products Were Safe and Healthy.....	78
4.	JLI’s “Make the Switch” Campaign Intentionally Misled and Deceived Users to Believe that JUUL Is a Cessation Device.....	80
5.	JLI, Altria, and Others in the E-Cigarette Industry Coordinated with Third-Party Groups to Mislead the Public About the Harms and Benefits of E-Cigarettes.....	93
a.	The American Vaping Association.....	94
b.	Vaping360.....	96
c.	Foundation for a Smoke-Free World.....	98
d.	Vapor Technology Association.....	100
e.	Retailer Lobbying.....	100
6.	Altria Falsely Stated that It Intended to Use Its Expertise in “Underage Prevention” Issues to JLI.....	101
E.	Defendants Targeted the Youth Market.....	103
1.	JLI Emulated the Marketing of Cigarette Companies.....	103

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Page

2. The Management Defendants Intentionally Marketed JUUL to Young People.....106

3. JLI Advertising Exploited Young People’s Psychological Vulnerabilities.....109

4. JLI Pushed the Vaporized Campaign into Youth Targeted Channels.....115

 a. JLI Placed Its Vaporized Ads on Youth Oriented Websites and Media.....115

 b. JLI Used Influencers and Affiliates to Amplify Its Message to a Teenage Audience.....117

 c. JLI Used Viral Marketing Techniques Known to Reach Young People.....121

5. JLI Targeted Youth Retail Locations.....124

6. JLI Hosted Parties to Create a Youthful Brand and Gave Away Free Products to Get New Users Hooked.....126

7. The Management Defendants’ Direction of and Participation in JLI and in the Youth Marketing Schemes130

 a. The Management Defendants, and in Particular Pritzker, Valani, and Huh, controlled JLI’s Board at relevant times130

 b. Pritzker, Huh, and Valani Were Active, Involved Board Members.....132

 c. The Management Defendants, and in Particular Bowen, Monsees, Pritzker, Valani, and Huh, Oversaw and Directed the Youth Marketing Scheme.....134

 d. Pritzker, Huh, and Valani Were Able to Direct and Participate in the Youth Marketing Because They Seized Control of the JLI Board of Directors141

8. Pritzker, Valani, and Huh Continued to Exercise Control over and Direct the Affairs of JLI Even After a New CEO Was Appointed.....147

9. Pritzker and Valani Directed and Controlled JLI’s Negotiations with Altria.....150

10. JLI and the Management Defendants Knew Their Efforts Were Wildly Successful in Building a Youth Market and

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Page

Took Coordinated Action to Ensure that Youth Could Purchase JUUL Products152

 a. JLI’s Strategy Worked152

 b. JLI Closely Tracked Its Progress in Reaching Young Customers Through Social Media and Online Marketing153

 11. JLI Worked with Veratad Technologies to Expand Youth Access to JUUL Products158

 12. JLI Engaged in a Sham “Youth Prevention” Campaign168

 13. The FDA Warned JUUL and Others that Their Conduct Is Unlawful171

 14. In Response to Regulatory Scrutiny, Defendants Misled the Public, Regulators, and Congress that JLI Did Not Target Youth.....173

F. Altria Provided Services to JLI to Expand JUUL Sales and Maintain JUUL’s Position as the Dominant E-Cigarette180

 1. Before Altria’s Investment in JLI, Altria Knew JLI Was Targeting Youth180

 2. Altria Worked with Pritzker and Valani to Secure Control of JLI and to Exploit JLI for Their Mutual Benefit182

 3. Altria Participated in and Directed the Fraudulent Acts of JLI Designed to Protect the Youth Market for JUUL.....193

 a. Altria Participated in and Directed JLI’s Make the Switch Campaign193

 b. Altria Participated in and Directed JLI’s Fraudulent Scheme to Keep Mint on the Market194

 4. JLI, the Management Defendants and Altria Coordinated to Market JUUL in Highly-Visible Retail Locations.....196

 5. Altria Works with the Management Defendants to Direct JLI’s Affairs and Commit Fraud.....197

 a. Altria Installs Its Own Executives into Leadership Positions to Direct the Affairs of JLI.....199

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.