1	CLAIRE WOODS (SBN 282348)	
2	Natural Resources Defense Council 1314 Second Street	
3	Santa Monica, CA 90401	
4	Telephone: (310) 434-2335 Fax: (415) 795-4799	
5	E-mail: cwoods@nrdc.org	
6	FRANCIS W. STURGES, JR. (IL No. 6336824)	
7	[Pro Hac Vice Admission Forthcoming] Natural Resources Defense Council	
8	20 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 1600	
9	Chicago, IL 60606 Telephone: (312) 847-6807	
10	Fax: (415) 795-4799	
11	E-mail: fsturges@nrdc.org	
12	Counsel for Plaintiff Natural Resources Defense Council	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA
15	NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE	
16	COUNCIL, INC.,	
17	Plaintiff,	Case No. 21-cv-561
18	v.	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY
19		AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
20	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE	(Endangered Species Act,
21	INTERIOR; UNITED STATES FISH AND	Administrative Procedure Act)
22	WILDLIFE SERVICE,	
23	Defendants.	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		



INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiff Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC or "Plaintiff") challenges the United States Fish and Wildlife Service's (the "Service") decision to remove the gray wolf (*Canis lupus*) from the list of threatened and endangered species. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Removing the Gray Wolf (Canis lupus) From the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife," 85 Fed. Reg. 69,778 (Nov. 3, 2020) (the "Delisting Rule" or the "Rule").
- 2. Gray wolves are an iconic species nearly extirpated in the United States through widespread predator control programs, and habitat and prey loss. Since the 1970s, slowly and with the protection of the Endangered Species Act (ESA), wolves have begun to recover. After repeated failed attempts to reduce or eliminate protections for wolves over the last twenty years, the Service's new Delisting Rule unlawfully removes protections for gray wolves across the United States based on their recovery in one area—the Great Lakes. This nationwide delisting would stop wolf recovery in its tracks, particularly in areas where wolves have only begun to regain their historical footing.
- 3. According to the Service, there are about 4,200 wolves in the Great Lake states of Minnesota, Michigan, and Wisconsin. However, these states have only committed to maintaining half of that number (about 2,150).
- 4. Gray wolves are present, but not yet recovered, in several other geographic areas, including the Pacific Coast, the Central Rockies, and other portions of the Midwest and the Northeast.
- 5. There are only 54 wolves and seven established, breeding wolf pairs in the Pacific Coast region of California and the western portions of Washington and Oregon where wolves have been protected as endangered ("Pacific Coast wolves").
- 6. Gray wolves have recently been identified in the Central Rockies (Utah, Colorado), including six wolves observed in 2020 in an established pack in Colorado ("Central Rockies wolves").



- 7. There have been confirmed wolf sightings in portions of the Midwest (North Dakota, South Dakota), and further sightings in eleven more western, midwestern, and eastern states (Vermont, Massachusetts, New York, Indiana, Illinois, Iowa, Missouri, Nebraska, Kansas, Arizona, and Nevada).
- 8. Gray wolves remain endangered throughout significant portions of their range. Delisting gray wolves prematurely will doom their nationwide recovery. Federal protections for wolves should remain in place until wolves have recovered in areas such as the Central Rockies, along the Pacific Coast, and the Northeast, where there is still significant suitable habitat but where wolf populations remain low.
- 9. In promulgating the Rule, the Service violated the ESA and the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) by improperly relying on one or two core populations to delist gray wolves throughout the country, impermissibly treating the Pacific Coast wolf population as a mere remnant, departing from the Service's prior position without explanation, misapplying the key term "significant," failing to use the best available science in its analysis of a significant portion of wolves' range, failing to account for the impacts and causes of lost historical range, and failing to provide notice of its analysis in the Rule.
- 10. For these reasons, the Rule violates section 4 of the ESA and its implementing regulations, *see* 16 U.S.C. § 1533; 50 C.F.R. pt. 402, and is arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, and contrary to law under the APA, *see* 5 U.S.C. § 551 *et seq*. It must be set aside.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 11. This court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question), 16 U.S.C. § 1540(g) (ESA citizen-suit provision), and 5 U.S.C. § 702 (judicial review of agency action).
- 12. The relief requested may be granted under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202 (declaratory and injunctive relief), 16 U.S.C. § 1540(g) (ESA citizen-suit provision), and 5 U.S.C. §§ 701-706 (APA).



- 13. Pursuant to section 11(g)(2) of the ESA, 16 U.S.C. § 1540(g)(2), NRDC provided the Department of the Interior, the Secretary of the Interior, the Service, and the Director of the Service with written notice of NRDC's intent to file this suit on November 23, 2020, more than sixty days prior to the commencement of this action. A copy of this notice letter is attached as Exhibit A.
- 14. Defendants have not corrected their violations of law in response to NRDC's written notice.
- 15. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e)(1) and 16 U.S.C. § 1540(g)(3)(A) because a substantial portion of the events giving rise to NRDC's claims occurred in this District. At least one established pack of gray wolves impacted by the Rule resides in California, gray wolves are known to disperse to the state, this District has suitable habitat for gray wolves, and this District is a part of the historical range of gray wolves. The Service analyzed the status of gray wolves in California in the Rule, and the Rule will affect the state of California's management of gray wolves. NRDC also has an office and members in this District.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

16. This case is properly assigned to the San Francisco Division or the Oakland Division under Civil L.R. 3-2(c) because NRDC's office is located in San Francisco County.

PARTIES

17. Plaintiff NRDC is a national, nonprofit environmental membership organization whose purpose is to safeguard the Earth—its people, its plants and animals, and the natural systems on which all life depends. NRDC was founded in 1970 and is organized under the laws of the State of New York. NRDC is headquartered in New York, NY, and maintains offices in other locations within the United States and abroad. NRDC has hundreds of thousands of members nationwide, including many in this judicial district. NRDC has long been active in efforts to protect endangered and threatened species generally and gray wolves specifically.



- 18. NRDC members regularly observe, visit, study, work to protect, and delight in the presence of gray wolves in the wild. NRDC members intend to continue doing so in the future. NRDC members derive scientific, educational, recreational, conservation, aesthetic, and other benefits from the existence of gray wolves in the wild. These interests have been, are, and will be directly, adversely, and irreparably affected by Defendants' violation of the law. These are actual, concrete injuries, traceable to Defendants' conduct that would be redressed by the requested relief. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law. NRDC members will continue to be prejudiced by Defendants' unlawful actions until and unless this Court provides the relief prayed for in this Complaint.
- 19. NRDC member Ellyn Wiens lives in Duluth, Minnesota. She has been keenly interested in gray wolves ever since her grandparents introduced her to the outdoors and wildlife, and she has now passed that same sense of appreciation on to her own grandchildren. Wolves are her favorite wild animal, and it is important for her that they have room to roam and to thrive. Ms. Wiens has always wanted to see a wolf in the wild and hopes to see one from her own wooded home. She feels great excitement and joy about the possibility of seeing a gray wolf on or around her property in the future. Her neighbors in Minnesota have captured images of wolves on trail cameras, which gives her great hope that she will soon experience one on her property. She has seen evidence of wolves and learned more about them on visits to Isle Royale and Denali National Parks. She stays informed on the status of wolves locally through a wildlife biologist friend and has also enjoyed seeing wolves at zoos. Ms. Wiens has concerns about the negative impacts of delisting, including state management of wolves, genetic inbreeding of small wolf populations, and negative effects on wolf social dynamics.
- 20. NRDC member Matt Wilkin is a retired federal employee who worked for the U.S. Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management who has been interested in wolves for decades. Mr. Wilkin lives in Minnesota and has a family farm in Michigan's Upper Peninsula. At his home in Minnesota, Mr. Wilkin has seen wolves and their tracks. This past fall, he even heard and recorded multiple wolves howling to each other. Mr.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

