I	Case 3:21-cv-01280-LB Dc	ocument 1	Filed 02/23/21	Page 1 of 26	
1	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP				
2	Steven N. Feldman (CA Bar No. 281405)				
3	steve.feldman@lw.com 355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 1	00			
	Los Angeles, CA 90071				
4	Telephone: +1.213.485.1234 Facsimile: +1.213.891.8763				
5					
6	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP David K. Callahan (<i>pro hac vice pending</i>)				
7	david.callahan@lw.com				
8	Matthew W. Walch (<i>pro hac vice pending</i>) matthew.walch@lw.com				
9	Sophia L. Méndez (<i>pro hac vice p</i>	pending)			
10	sophia.mendez@lw.com 330 North Wabash Avenue, Suite 2800				
	Chicago, IL 60611 Telephone: +1.312.876.7700				
11	Facsimile: +1.312.993.9767				
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff				
13	Scilex Pharmaceuticals Inc.				
14	UNIT	ED STATE	S DISTRICT CO	URT	
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
16					
17	SCILEX PHARMACEUTICALS	NC	CASE NO. 21-C	W 1290	
18		INC.,		v-1200	
19	Plaintiff,		COMPLAINT		
	V.		DEMAND FOR	R JURY TRIAL	
20	SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S. LLC an	d			
21	HISAMITSU AMERICA, INC.,				
22	Defendants.				
23					
24					
25	Plaintiff Scilex Pharmaceuticals Inc. ("Scilex"), by and through its attorneys, alleges as				
26	follows for its complaint against defendants Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC ("Sanofi") and Hisamitsu				
27	America, Inc. ("Hisamitsu") (collectively, "Defendants"):				
28					

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. 1

NATURE OF THE ACTION

2 1. Through this action, Scilex seeks an award of damages and the entry of injunctive 3 relief to address Defendants' ongoing false and deceptive advertising of their respective overthe-counter ("OTC") lidocaine patch products, namely, Sanofi's IcyHot[®] Lidocaine Patch, 4 Aspercreme[®] Lidocaine Patch and Aspercreme[®] XL Lidocaine Patch, and Hisamitsu's Salonpas[®] 5 6 Lidocaine Pain Relieving Gel-Patch (collectively, the "OTC Lidocaine Patches"). 7 2. Lidocaine is a topical anesthetic that is used to treat pain by depressing sensory 8 receptors in the nerve endings in the skin, which prevents pain signals from reaching the brain. 9 The United States Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") first approved lidocaine for topical use in the early 1950s. 10 3. 11 In 1983, the FDA published the Tentative Final Monography for External 12 Analgesic Drug Products for Over-the-Counter Human Use, 48 Fed. Reg. 5852-01 (Feb. 8, 1983) 13 ("TFM"), which provides permissible language for the labeling, ingredients, and doses for OTC 14 external analgesic products, including those containing 0.5% to 4% lidocaine. 15 4. In 1999, the FDA approved the first transdermal lidocaine patch, in which 5% topical lidocaine is included in a pressure-sensitive adhesive material that attaches to the skin. 16 17 The patches are indicated by the FDA only for relief of pain associated with post-herpetic 18 neuralgia ("PHN"), a complication of shingles. The FDA has since approved other prescription-19 only lidocaine patch products to treat PHN pain. While the FDA has approved these products to 20 treat the symptoms of PHN and to provide temporary pain relief, many patients have experienced 21 various problems with their adhesion and efficacy. 22 5. These problems led Scilex to develop its ZTlido[®] (lidocaine topical system) 1.8% product, a patch containing 1.8% lidocaine by weight, which is dissolved in Scilex's proprietary 23 24 single-layer nonaqueous polymer matrix system, allowing for a thinner patch that both provides

superior adhesion to the skin over patches containing 5% lidocaine by weight, and efficiently
delivers lidocaine to the area of pain.

Extensive scientific studies have demonstrated both the bioequivalence of the
1.8% lidocaine in Scilex's ZTlido[®] patch to the 5% lidocaine in other companies' FDA-

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Case 3:21-cv-01280-LB Document 1 Filed 02/23/21 Page 3 of 26

approved, prescription-only lidocaine patches, as well as the superiority in adhesion of Scilex's
ZTlido[®] patch over other companies' FDA-approved, prescription-only 5% lidocaine patches.
The results of these studies show that Scilex's ZTlido[®] patch delivers the same amount of
lidocaine to the area of pain as other companies' prescription-only 5% lidocaine patches. In
addition, the superior adhesion of Scilex's ZTlido[®] patch allows it to adhere to the skin for a full
hours and provide pain relief for 24 hours.

7 7. In 2018, the FDA approved Scilex's ZTlido[®] product to treat pain associated with
8 PHN. However, doctors routinely prescribe Scilex's ZTlido[®] product for off-label indications,
9 such as general neuropathic pain, including back and spinal pain. While ZTlido[®] can be used for
10 such off-label indications, Scilex is precluded by FDA regulations from advertising its product
11 for such indications.

12 8. In 2003, the FDA issued a proposed rule to amend the TFM to explicitly exclude 13 patches and to classify OTC patches containing analgesic ingredients as Category III products, 14 for which "more data [is] needed" to determine if the products are "generally recognized as safe and effective." See External Analgesic Drug Products for Over-the-Counter Human Use; 15 16 Reopening of the Administrative Record and Amendment of Tentative Final Monograph, 68 Fed. 17 Reg. 42324-01, 42326 (July 17, 2003). Category III products may only be marketed and sold 18 following FDA review and approval of the product and its labeling through a New Drug 19 Application ("NDA") or Abbreviated New Drug Application ("ANDA").

9. In 2016, despite neither complying with the TFM labeling requirements for
 products containing Category I ingredients nor undergoing the FDA review and approval process
 for products containing Category III ingredients, Defendants began marketing, distributing and
 selling their OTC Lidocaine Patches containing 4% lidocaine by weight under the guise of being
 compliant with FDA regulations. However, Defendants' labeling and marketing of their OTC
 Lidocaine Patches not only fail to comply with the FDA regulations for OTC lidocaine containing drug products, but are independently false and deceptive.

27 10. Using glossy advertisements featuring Shaquille O'Neil and "Dr. Bob" Arnot,
28 among others, along with other highly deceptive packaging and online marketing, Defendants

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Case 3:21-cv-01280-LB Document 1 Filed 02/23/21 Page 4 of 26

have embarked on an advertising blitz propagating claims that their OTC products: (a) contain
and/or deliver to the area of pain the maximum amount of lidocaine available in patch form; (b)
block and/or numb pain; (c) target and/or desensitize aggravated nerves; (d) target more pain
receptors than other lidocaine patch products; (e) adhere to the skin and provide pain relief for
periods of 8 or 12 hours, depending on the product; (f) are indicated by the FDA for treatment of
nerve and neuropathic pain, including back and spinal pain; and/or (g) are FDA-approved,
prescription products.

8 11. In addition to lacking any FDA review or approval for their products' marketing 9 and labeling, Defendants' advertising claims are inaccurate and misleading. Defendants' false 10 and deceptive claims have duped consumers and others into purchasing Defendants' OTC 11 Lidocaine Patches, rather than prescription lidocaine patches produced by Scilex and other FDA-12 approved, prescription-only lidocaine patch producers. Indeed, the FDA has already recognized 13 that several of the claims Defendants are making with respect to their OTC Lidocaine Patches 14 are misleading to consumers. And cumulatively, Defendants' claims give the false impression that Defendants' OTC Lidocaine Patches are superior, or equivalent, in efficacy to FDA-15 16 approved, prescription-only lidocaine patches, such as those offered by Scilex.

17 12. By making such false and misleading advertising claims through various 18 channels-including their product packaging, online content, social media, and television 19 advertisements-Defendants have achieved their intended goal of increasing their sales and 20 profits by deceiving consumers regarding the nature, characteristics and efficacy of Defendants' OTC Lidocaine Patches, which directly compete with Scilex's ZTlido[®] patch products. 21 22 Defendants' unfair and deceptive practices have also caused Scilex to experience significant damages, including an adverse impact on the sales of its ZTlido® products and a diminution of 23 goodwill in its ZTlido[®] mark, as Defendants have deceived consumers into buying Defendants' 24 25 patches instead of prescription patches, such as ZTlido[®].

13. Based on the foregoing conduct, as alleged in more detail below, Scilex seeks an
award of damages against Defendants and the entry of injunctive relief enjoining further
dissemination of Defendants' false and deceptive advertising. Such relief is appropriate because

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Defendants have violated Sections 43(a)(1)(A) and 43(a)(1)(B) of the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. §§
 1125(a)(1)(A) & 1125(a)(1)(B)), California's False Advertising Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code
 §17500) ("FAL"), and California's Unfair Competition Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200)
 ("UCL").
 <u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u>

_				
6	14. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter presented by this Complaint			
7	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because it includes a claim of false and deceptive advertising under			
8	the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 1051, et seq.), including 15 U.S.C. § 1121, which expressly			
9	provides that claims arising thereunder are subject to federal subject matter jurisdiction.			
10	15. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1338(b), this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over			
11	Scilex's state law claims, in that those claims are joined with substantial and related claims under			
12	the Lanham Act. This Court also has supplemental jurisdiction over Scilex's state law claims			
13	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a), in that all of Scilex's claims arise out of a common nucleus of			
14	operative facts.			
15	16. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) because a			
16	substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Scilex's claim occurred in this district,			
17	as Defendants conduct substantial business within California, including the marketing,			
18	distribution, and sale of their respective products in California, and because Scilex's claims arise			
19	from the marketing, distribution and sale of Defendants' respective products in California.			
20	PARTIES			
21	17. Plaintiff Scilex is a Delaware corporation, with its principal place of business at			
22	960 San Antonio Road, Palo Alto, CA 94303. Plaintiff Scilex markets, distributes, and sells the			
23	FDA-approved, prescription-strength topical analgesic self-adhesive patch under the brand name			
24	ZTlido [®] . ZTlido [®] is manufactured by Oishi Koseido Co., Ltd.			
25	18. Defendant Sanofi is a Delaware corporation, with its principal place of business at			
26	55 Corporate Drive Bridgewater, New Jersey 08807. Defendant Sanofi markets, distributes, and			
27	sells the IcyHot [®] Lidocaine Patch, the Aspercreme [®] Lidocaine Patch, and the Aspercreme [®]			
28	Lidocaine Patch XL, which are manufactured by Chattem, Inc. Defendant Sanofi markets,			

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Δ

R

Μ

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.