

1 KATIE TOWNSEND (SBN 254321)

*Counsel of Record*

2 GABE ROTTMAN\*

3 MAILYN FIDLER\*

4 ktownsend@rcfp.org

REPORTERS COMMITTEE FOR

5 FREEDOM OF THE PRESS

6 1156 15<sup>th</sup> Street NW, Suite 1020

Washington, D.C. 20005

7 Telephone: (202) 795-9300

8 Facsimile: (202) 795-9310

*\* Of counsel*

9  
10 *Counsel for Amici Curiae*

*Additional counsel listed on signature page*

11  
12  
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

15  
16 **TWITTER, INC.**

17 **Plaintiff,**

18 **v.**

19  
20 **KEN PAXTON,**  
**in his official capacity as Attorney**  
21 **General of Texas,**

22 **Defendant.**

Case No. 3:21-CV-01644

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE  
BRIEF OF THE REPORTERS  
COMMITTEE FOR FREEDOM OF  
THE PRESS, CENTER FOR  
DEMOCRACY AND  
TECHNOLOGY, ELECTRONIC  
FRONTIER FOUNDATION, MEDIA  
LAW RESOURCE CENTER, INC.,  
AND PEN AMERICA AS AMICI  
CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF  
PLAINTIFF**

Judge: Maxine Chesney

23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Proposed amici curiae, the Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press  
2 (“Reporters Committee”), Center for Democracy and Technology, Electronic Frontier  
3 Foundation, Media Law Resource Center, Inc., and PEN America, respectfully move  
4 this Court for leave to submit the attached amici curiae brief in support of Plaintiff’s  
5 Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause Why a  
6 Preliminary Injunction Should Not Issue (“Plaintiff’s Motion”). The proposed brief  
7 is attached to this Motion.  
8  
9

10 The Reporters Committee is an unincorporated nonprofit founded by leading  
11 journalists and media lawyers in 1970 when the nation’s news media faced an  
12 unprecedented wave of government subpoenas forcing reporters to name confidential  
13 sources. Today, its attorneys provide pro bono legal representation, amicus curiae  
14 support, and other legal resources to protect First Amendment freedoms and the  
15 newsgathering rights of journalists.  
16  
17

18 Center for Democracy & Technology (“CDT”) is a non-profit public interest  
19 organization. For more than 25 years, CDT has represented the public’s interest in an  
20 open, decentralized internet and worked to ensure that the constitutional and  
21 democratic values of free expression and privacy are protected in the digital age.  
22 CDT regularly advocates in support of the First Amendment and protections for  
23 online speech before legislatures, regulatory agencies, and courts.  
24  
25

26 The Electronic Frontier Foundation (“EFF”) is a non-profit, member-supported  
27 civil liberties organization working to protect digital rights. Founded in 1990 and  
28

1 based in San Francisco, California, EFF has more than 37,000 active donors and  
2 dues-paying members. EFF represents the interests of technology users in both court  
3 cases and broader policy debates surrounding the application of law in the digital age.  
4

5 The Media Law Resource Center, Inc. (“MLRC”) is a non-profit professional  
6 association for content providers in all media, and for their defense lawyers,  
7 providing a wide range of resources on media and content law, as well as policy  
8 issues. These include news and analysis of legal, legislative, and regulatory  
9 developments; litigation resources and practice guides; and national and international  
10 media law conferences and meetings. The MLRC also works with its membership to  
11 respond to legislative and policy proposals, and speaks to the press and public on  
12 media law and First Amendment issues. It counts as members over 125 media  
13 companies, including newspaper, magazine and book publishers, TV and radio  
14 broadcasters, and digital platforms, and over 200 law firms working in the media law  
15 field. The MLRC was founded in 1980 by leading American publishers and  
16 broadcasters to assist in defending and protecting free press rights under the First  
17 Amendment.  
18  
19  
20  
21

22 PEN American Center, Inc. (PEN America or PEN) is a nonprofit organization  
23 that represents and advocates for the freedom to write and freedom of expression,  
24 both in the United States and abroad. PEN America is affiliated with more than 100  
25 centers worldwide that comprise the PEN International network. Its Membership  
26 includes more than 7,500 journalists, novelists, poets, essayists, and other  
27  
28

1 professionals. PEN America stands at the intersection of journalism, literature, and  
2 human rights to protect free expression. PEN champions the freedom of people  
3 everywhere to write, create literature, convey information and ideas, and express their  
4 views, recognizing the power of the word to transform the world. PEN America  
5 supports the First Amendment and freedom of expression in the United States.  
6

7  
8 Plaintiff consents to the filing of this brief. Defendant does not oppose this  
9 Motion for Leave to file an amicus brief.

10 Federal district courts have inherent authority to consider submissions from  
11 amicus curiae in connection with proceedings pending before them. Indeed,  
12 “[d]istrict courts frequently welcome amicus briefs from non-parties concerning legal  
13 issues that have potential ramifications beyond the parties directly involved or if the  
14 amicus has unique information or perspective that can help the court beyond the help  
15 that the lawyers for the parties are able to provide.” *NGV Gaming, Ltd. v. Upstream*  
16 *Point Molate, LLC*, 355 F. Supp. 2d 1061, 1067 (N.D. Cal. 2005) (quotation and  
17 citation omitted). This discretion is liberal, requiring only that an applicant  
18 demonstrate that its “participation is useful or otherwise desirable to the court.” *See*  
19 *Woodfin Suite Hotels, LLC v. City of Emeryville*, No. C 06-1254 SBA, 2007 U.S.  
20 Dist. LEXIS 4467, at \*7 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 9, 2007) (quoting *In re Roxford Foods Litig.*,  
21 790 F.Supp. 987 (E.D. Cal. 1991)).  
22  
23

24 Amici have a strong interest in protecting the free flow of information to the  
25 public, of which private speakers, including, but not limited to, news organizations,  
26  
27  
28

1 are a key part. Amici are concerned with the potential chilling effect this  
2 investigation may have on public discourse and how permitting an inquiry into  
3 political content curation by online platforms would contravene the rule articulated  
4 by the U.S. Supreme Court in *Miami Herald Pub. Co. v. Tornillo*—that  
5 “governmental regulation” of “editorial control and judgment” cannot be “exercised  
6 consistent with First Amendment guarantees of a free press[.]” 418 U.S. 241, 258  
7 (1974). The proposed amici curiae brief also offers additional information on how  
8 the particular constitutional right at issue here—the discretion of a private speaker to  
9 disseminate or not disseminate lawful speech without government interference—is  
10 vulnerable to regulatory pressure, including through government investigations  
11 pursuant to deceptive practices statutes such as the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices-  
12 Consumer Protection Act (“DTPA”). While laws such as the DTPA serve laudable  
13 goals, using them to enforce viewpoint neutrality by private speakers presents the  
14 temptation to compel platforms to carry speech perceived as favorable to the  
15 government, or, at the very least, that platforms would not otherwise carry. When  
16 deployed in this way, such laws may threaten First Amendment guarantees.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

22 For the foregoing reasons, proposed amici curiae respectfully request leave to  
23 file the attached brief.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.