| 1  | KATIE TOWNSEND (SBN 254321)   |                                     |
|----|---|-------------------------------------|
| 2  | Counsel of Record   |                                     |
|    | GABE ROTTMAN*   |                                     |
| 3  | MAILYN FIDLER*  |                                     |
| 4  | ktownsend@rcfp.org REPORTERS COMMITTEE FOR                            |                                     |
| 5  | FREEDOM OF THE PRESS  |                                     |
| 6  | 1156 15 <sup>th</sup> Street NW, Suite 1020                           |                                     |
| 7  | Washington, D.C. 20005<br>Telephone: (202) 795-9300                   |                                     |
|    | Facsimile: (202) 795-9310   |                                     |
| 8  | * Of counsel  |                                     |
| 9  |   |                                     |
| 10 | Counsel for Amici Curiae  Additional counsel listed on signature page |                                     |
| 11 | Additional counsel tisted on signature page<br> -                     |                                     |
| 12 |   |                                     |
| 13 | AD WEED OF A TELE   |                                     |
|    | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |                                     |
| 14 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA                                       |                                     |
| 15 |   |                                     |
| 16 | TWITTER, INC.   | Case No. 3:21-CV-01644              |
| 17 | Plaintiff,  |                                     |
| 18 | i iamum,  | MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE            |
|    | v.  | BRIEF OF THE REPORTERS              |
| 19 |   | COMMITTEE FOR FREEDOM OF            |
| 20 | KEN PAXTON, in his official capacity as Attorney                      | THE PRESS, CENTER FOR DEMOCRACY AND |
| 21 | General of Texas,   | TECHNOLOGY, ELECTRONIC              |
| 22 | ,   | FRONTIER FOUNDATION, MEDIA          |
| 23 | Defendant.  | LAW RESOURCE CENTER, INC.,          |
|    |   | AND PEN AMERICA AS AMICI            |
| 24 |   | CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF      |
| 25 |   |                                     |
| 26 |   |                                     |
| 27 |   | Judge Maying Chagney                |
| 28 |   | Judge: Maxine Chesney               |



Proposed amici curiae, the Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press ("Reporters Committee"), Center for Democracy and Technology, Electronic Frontier Foundation, Media Law Resource Center, Inc., and PEN America, respectfully move this Court for leave to submit the attached amici curiae brief in support of Plaintiff's Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause Why a Preliminary Injunction Should Not Issue ("Plaintiff's Motion"). The proposed brief is attached to this Motion.

The Reporters Committee is an unincorporated nonprofit founded by leading journalists and media lawyers in 1970 when the nation's news media faced an unprecedented wave of government subpoenas forcing reporters to name confidential sources. Today, its attorneys provide pro bono legal representation, amicus curiae support, and other legal resources to protect First Amendment freedoms and the newsgathering rights of journalists.

Center for Democracy & Technology ("CDT") is a non-profit public interest organization. For more than 25 years, CDT has represented the public's interest in an open, decentralized internet and worked to ensure that the constitutional and democratic values of free expression and privacy are protected in the digital age.

CDT regularly advocates in support of the First Amendment and protections for online speech before legislatures, regulatory agencies, and courts.

The Electronic Frontier Foundation ("EFF") is a non-profit, member-supported civil liberties organization working to protect digital rights. Founded in 1990 and



1

5

7

9

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

2526

27

based in San Francisco, California, EFF has more than 37,000 active donors and dues-paying members. EFF represents the interests of technology users in both court cases and broader policy debates surrounding the application of law in the digital age.

The Media Law Resource Center, Inc. ("MLRC") is a non-profit professional association for content providers in all media, and for their defense lawyers, providing a wide range of resources on media and content law, as well as policy issues. These include news and analysis of legal, legislative, and regulatory developments; litigation resources and practice guides; and national and international media law conferences and meetings. The MLRC also works with its membership to respond to legislative and policy proposals, and speaks to the press and public on media law and First Amendment issues. It counts as members over 125 media companies, including newspaper, magazine and book publishers, TV and radio broadcasters, and digital platforms, and over 200 law firms working in the media law field. The MLRC was founded in 1980 by leading American publishers and broadcasters to assist in defending and protecting free press rights under the First Amendment.

PEN American Center, Inc. (PEN America or PEN) is a nonprofit organization that represents and advocates for the freedom to write and freedom of expression, both in the United States and abroad. PEN America is affiliated with more than 100 centers worldwide that comprise the PEN International network. Its Membership includes more than 7,500 journalists, novelists, poets, essayists, and other



professionals. PEN America stands at the intersection of journalism, literature, and human rights to protect free expression. PEN champions the freedom of people everywhere to write, create literature, convey information and ideas, and express their views, recognizing the power of the word to transform the world. PEN America supports the First Amendment and freedom of expression in the United States.

Plaintiff consents to the filing of this brief. Defendant does not oppose this Motion for Leave to file an amicus brief.

Federal district courts have inherent authority to consider submissions from amicus curiae in connection with proceedings pending before them. Indeed, "[d]istrict courts frequently welcome amicus briefs from non-parties concerning legal issues that have potential ramifications beyond the parties directly involved or if the amicus has unique information or perspective that can help the court beyond the help that the lawyers for the parties are able to provide." NGV Gaming, Ltd. v. Upstream Point Molate, LLC, 355 F. Supp. 2d 1061, 1067 (N.D. Cal. 2005) (quotation and citation omitted). This discretion is liberal, requiring only that an applicant demonstrate that its "participation is useful or otherwise desirable to the court." See Woodfin Suite Hotels, LLC v. City of Emeryville, No. C 06-1254 SBA, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 4467, at \*7 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 9, 2007) (quoting In re Roxford Foods Litig., 790 F.Supp. 987 (E.D. Cal. 1991)).

Amici have a strong interest in protecting the free flow of information to the public, of which private speakers, including, but not limited to, news organizations,



are a key part. Amici are concerned with the potential chilling effect this investigation may have on public discourse and how permitting an inquiry into political content curation by online platforms would contravene the rule articulated by the U.S. Supreme Court in Miami Herald Pub. Co. v. Tornillo—that 'governmental regulation" of "editorial control and judgment" cannot be "exercised consistent with First Amendment guarantees of a free press[.]" 418 U.S. 241, 258 (1974). The proposed amici curiae brief also offers additional information on how the particular constitutional right at issue here—the discretion of a private speaker to disseminate or not disseminate lawful speech without government interference—is vulnerable to regulatory pressure, including through government investigations pursuant to deceptive practices statutes such as the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices-Consumer Protection Act ("DTPA"). While laws such as the DTPA serve laudable goals, using them to enforce viewpoint neutrality by private speakers presents the temptation to compel platforms to carry speech perceived as favorable to the government, or, at the very least, that platforms would not otherwise carry. When deployed in this way, such laws may threaten First Amendment guarantees. For the foregoing reasons, proposed amici curiae respectfully request leave to

For the foregoing reasons, proposed amici curiae respectfully request leave to file the attached brief.



25

26

27

28

# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

