| 1 2 3 4 | QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & Sean S. Pak (Bar No. 219032) seanpak@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700 | & SULLIVAN, LLP |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| 5 6 7 8 9 | QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP Robert M. Schwartz (Bar No. 117166) robertschwartz@quinnemanuel.com Lance L. Yang (Bar No. 260705) lanceyang@quinnemanuel.com 865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100 | |
| 10111213 | QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP Ron Hagiz (pro hac vice forthcoming) ronhagiz@quinnemanuel.com 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor New York, NY 10010 Telephone: (212) 849-7000 Facsimile: (212) 849-7100 | |
| 14151617 | Attorneys for Plaintiff Skillz Platform Inc. IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 18192021 | SKILLZ PLATFORM INC., a Delaware corporation, Plaintiff, v. | Case No.: COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT |
| 22 23 | AVIAGAMES INC., a Delaware corporation, | JURY TRIAL DEMANDED |
| 2425 | Defendant. | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |



Plaintiff Skillz Platform Inc. ("Skillz" or "Plaintiff") hereby asserts the following claims for patent infringement against Defendant AviaGames Inc. ("AviaGames" or "Defendant"), and alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Most patent infringement cases involve someone who inadvertently trespassed on someone else's invention, followed by a dispute about who got there first. This is not one of those cases. Instead, it is a case where Defendant AviaGames set out, seemingly from the get-go, to steal Skillz's highly valuable intellectual property in the form of copyrights and patents. This lawsuit focuses on two of Skillz's foundational patents that AviaGames has indisputably infringed.
- 2. Skillz is a pioneer in competitive mobile gaming, founded on the simple belief that people love to compete. In 2012, Skillz developed innovative technologies to invent a new kind of mobile gaming platform (the "Skillz Platform"). The Skillz Platform facilitates two important things. First, it connects millions of players across the country in meaningful, fun, and—most importantly—fair competition. Second, it enables thousands of independent mobile game developers to transform new and existing games into skill-based competitions that have proven extremely profitable for game developers and players alike. Leveraging its patented technology and collaborative business model, Skillz now hosts *billions* of casual eSports tournaments for *millions* of mobile players worldwide, offering *\$100 million* in prizes each month.
- 3. To help game developers enable social competition in their games and take advantage of the Skillz Platform, Skillz provides a free Software Development Kit ("SDK") through a portal on its website (the "Developer Portal"). The Skillz SDK includes, among other things, built-in, patented functionality that provides pseudo-random number generation that developers are required to use when they need a random number seed for their game. This feature ensures that players in



4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

19

18

20 21

23 24

22

25 26

27

head-to-head competition are playing under identical, yet random, conditions and is critical to the fairness of every game played on the Skillz Platform.

- In 2016, AviaGames met with Skillz and said it was interested in 4. building a game for the Skillz Platform. It signed up to become a Skillz customer and began receiving additional tools, creative support, market data, and technical know-how beyond what Skillz offers free of charge through its Developer Portal. Through this supposed "collaboration," AviaGames gained intimate knowledge of the Skillz Platform.
- 5. AviaGames then created a copycat platform called Pocket7Games and used it to launch knockoff versions of some of the most popular games on the Skillz Platform. AviaGames even began promoting the Pocket7Games app using marketing assets that Skillz provided after AviaGames asked for help promoting the only game it did create for the Skillz Platform. That game, which flopped, appears to have been a mere decoy designed to facilitate AviaGames's access to valuable information about Skillz's technology and business.
- 6. AviaGames then went on to create and release standalone games independent of its Pocket7Games app, including games titled "Bingo Clash", "Solitaire Clash", "21 Gold", "Explodocube", and "Tile Blitz".
- Through these standalone games and the Pocket7Games platform, 7. AviaGames now competes directly with Skillz, as well as the independent developers whose games AviaGames has slavishly copied.
- It may turn out that AviaGames's infringement was less deliberate than 8. it appears—that AviaGames simply absorbed the keys to Skillz's success and then used those keys to its advantage without even knowing it.
- But something more sinister may have happened. Discovery in this 9. case may establish that AviaGames planned from the get-go to merely "pose" as a mobile developer interested in launching a game on the Skillz platform, bait Skillz 28 | into divulging the ins and outs of its technology and business, and use this valuable



7 8

9

6

10 11

12

13

14 15 16

18 19

17

21 22 23

20

26 27

24

25

information to deliberately infringe Skillz's intellectual property and profit from Skillz's innovation and drive.

- 10. AviaGames's internal documents and the depositions of its key personnel will tell whether its infringement was innocent or not. AviaGames may have intended to deceive Skillz from the moment the parties first met in 2016. Under the patent laws of the United States, however, it makes no difference. What matters is that AviaGames is profiting from Skillz's patented technology. And that it must stop.
- Skillz welcomes fair competition. But Skillz cannot—and will not— 11. tolerate theft. Skillz brings this action to protect its innovative technology, and to hold AviaGames accountable for its infringement of the patents that teach it.

THE PARTIES

- 12. Skillz is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 321 NW Glisan Street, Suite 510, Portland, Oregon 97209. Skillz is the owner of the intellectual property rights at issue in this action. Skillz's innovative technology has enabled thousands of independent video game developers to create thriving businesses developing skill-based video games that provide millions of gamers with access to fair, fun, and meaningful competition. Because of Skillz, video gamers can now compete and profit from their skill and dedication.
- On information and belief, AviaGames is a Delaware corporation with 13. a principal place of business at 2586 Wyandotte Street, Unit 2B, Mountain View, California 94043. On information and belief, AviaGames markets, offers, and distributes applications and services such as the Pocket7Games application and standalone game applications throughout the United States, including in this District.
- Upon information and belief, AviaGames directly and/or indirectly 14. develops, designs, manufactures, distributes, markets, offers to sell and/or sells 28 | infringing products and services in the United States, including in this District, and



6 7

9

8

11

10

13

12

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

otherwise purposefully directs infringing activities to this District in connection with the Pocket7Games application and standalone game applications.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- This is a civil action for patent infringement arising under the patent 15. laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 et seq.
- 16. This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over the matters asserted herein under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) and 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 et seq.
- 17. This Court has personal jurisdiction over AviaGames, in part because AviaGames does continuous and systematic business in this District, including by providing infringing products and services to the residents of this District that it knew would be used within this District, and by soliciting business from the residents of this District. For example, AviaGames is subject to personal jurisdiction in this Court because, among other reasons, upon information and belief, it has a regular and established place of business at its offices in this District, including its office in Mountain View, and directly and through agents regularly does, solicits and transacts business in the Northern District of California and elsewhere in the State of California, including through its website at <u>www.pocket7games.com</u>, as well as its Pocket7Games application and standalone game applications, all of which are marketed, offered, distributed to, and utilized by users of mobile devices in this District and throughout the State of California.
- 18. In particular, AviaGames has committed and continues to commit acts of infringement in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, and has made, used, marketed, distributed, offered for sale, sold, and/or imported infringing products and services in the State of California, including in this District, and engaged in infringing conduct within and directed at or from this District. For example, AviaGames has purposefully and voluntarily placed the Pocket7Games application and standalone game applications into the stream of commerce with the expectation that its 28 | infringing products and services will be used in this District. The infringing



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

