

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.**  
L. Timothy Fisher (State Bar No. 191626)  
1990 North California Boulevard, Suite 940  
Walnut Creek, CA 94596  
Telephone: (925) 300-4455  
Facsimile: (925) 407-2700  
E-Mail: ltfisher@bursor.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

AARON CLARKE and MICHELLE DEVERA,  
individually and on behalf of all others similarly  
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

THE KRAFT HEINZ COMPANY,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:21-cv-02437-RS

**PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO  
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO TRANSFER**

Hearing Date: August 26, 2021  
Hearing Time: 1:30 p.m.  
Courtroom: 3

Hon. Richard Seeborg

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE(S)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

- I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1
- II. LEGAL STANDARD ..... 2
- III. DEFENDANT HAS FAILED TO CARRY ITS HEAVY BURDEN TO SUPPORT TRANSFER OF ACTION FROM THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA..... 3
  - A. The Defendant Cannot Claim the Case “Might Have Been Brought” in the Northern District of Illinois Without Acknowledging it “Might Have Been Brought” in the Northern District of California..... 3
  - B. Transfer of Venue is Only Appropriate Where the Defendant Makes a Strong Showing that the Balance of Conveniences Clearly Favors Transfer..... 3
  - C. Transfer from this Court to the Northern District of Illinois Would Not Promote the Convenience of Parties or Witnesses, or Improve Access to Documentary Evidence ..... 5
    - 1. Plaintiffs Reside and Purchased Defendant’s Products Here, and Thus Their Choice of Forum is Entitled to Deference ..... 5
    - 2. Transfer Would Merely Shift, Rather than Eliminate, the Burden on Witnesses Who May Be Required to Travel to Attend Trial ..... 6
    - 3. Given Current Technology, the Location of Documentary Evidence in Illinois Does Not Warrant Transfer ..... 7
  - D. Transfer Would Not Promote the Interests of Justice ..... 8
    - 1. This Court is Better Suited to Adjudicate Plaintiff’s Claims, Which are Brought on Behalf of a California-Only Class ..... 9
    - 2. California Has a Strong Interest in the Enforcement of its Laws to Protect its Residents..... 10
    - 3. Transfer at this Stage in the Proceedings Would Reward Defendant’s Delay Tactics and Cause Substantial Further Delay..... 11
- IV. CONCLUSION ..... 11

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**PAGE(S)**

**CASES**

1

2

3

4 *Allen v. ConAgra Foods, Inc.*,  
2013 WL 4737421 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 3, 2013)..... 6

5 *Allstar Mktg. Grp., Ltd. Liab. Co. v. Your Store Online, Ltd. Liab. Co.*,  
666 F. Supp. 2d 1109 (C.D. Cal. 2009)..... 10, 11

6

7 *Alul v. Am. Honda Motor Co.*,  
2016 WL 7116934 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 7, 2016) ..... 3, 5, 6, 7

8 *America Online, Inc. v. Superior Court of Alameda Count*,  
108 Cal. Rptr. 2d 699 (Cal. Ct. App. 2001)..... 10

9

10 *Axis Reinsurance Co. v. Northrop Grumman Corp.*,  
2018 WL 3326670 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 27, 2018) ..... 3

11 *Barnes & Noble, Inc. v. LSI Corp.*,  
823 F. Supp. 2d 980 (N.D. Cal. 2011)..... 3, 5, 8

12 *Cedars-Sinai Med. Ctr. v. Shalala*,  
125 F.3d 765 (9th Cir. 1997)..... 9

13 *Commodity Futures Trading Comm'n v. Savage*,  
611 F.2d 270 (9th Cir. 1979)..... 4

14

15 *Daie v. Intel Corp.*,  
2016 WL 641646 (N.D. Cal. Feb 18, 2016)..... 5, 8

16 *David v. Alphin*,  
2007 WL 39400 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 4, 2007)..... 8

17 *Decker Coal Co. v. Commonwealth Edison Co.*,  
805 F.2d 834 (9th Cir. 1986)..... 1, 5, 6

18

19 *Doe 1 v. AOL LLC*,  
552 F.3d 1077 (9th Cir. 2009)..... 10

20 *Dubose v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co.*,  
2017 WL 2775034 (N.D. Cal. June 27, 2017)..... 1

21

22 *Gherebi v. Bush*,  
352 F.3d 1278 (9th Cir. 2003)..... 4

23 *Heartland Payment Sys., Inc. v. Mercury Payment Sys., LLC*,  
2014 WL 5695051 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 4, 2014)..... 8

24

25 *Heller Financial, Inc. v. Midway Powder Co., Inc.*,  
883 F.2d 1286 (7th Cir. 1989)..... 10

26

27

28

1	<i>Hendricks v. StarKist Co.</i> ,	
2	2014 WL 1245880 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 25, 2014) .....	7
3	<i>Hoffman v. Blaski</i> ,	
4	363 U.S. 335 (1960) .....	2
5	<i>Holliday v. Lifestyle Lift, Inc.</i> ,	
6	2010 WL 3910143 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 5, 2010) .....	7
7	<i>In re Ferrero Litig.</i> ,	
8	768 F. Supp. 2d 1074 (S.D. Cal. 2011) .....	6
9	<i>Jones v. GNC Franchising, Inc.</i> ,	
10	211 F.3d 495 (9th Cir. 2000).....	passim
11	<i>Lax v. Toyota Motor Corp.</i> ,	
12	65 F. Supp. 3d 772 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 14, 2014).....	4, 7
13	<i>Loan Payment Admin. LLC v. Hubanks</i> ,	
14	2015 WL 1245895 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 17, 2015) .....	10
15	<i>MacDonald v. Ford Motor Co.</i> ,	
16	142 F. Supp. 3d 884 (N.D. Cal. 2015).....	10
17	<i>Nat'l Union Fire Ins. Co. of Pittsburgh v. Payless Shoesource, Inc.</i> ,	
18	2012 WL 3277222 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 9, 2012).....	9
19	<i>Phillip Morris USA Inc. v. Shalabi</i> ,	
20	352 F. Supp. 2d 1067 (C.D. Cal. 2004).....	10
21	<i>Qurio Holdings, Inc. v. DISH Network Corp.</i> ,	
22	2015 WL 4148962 (N.D. Cal. July 9, 2015) .....	8
23	<i>R.R. St. &amp; Co. Inc. v. Transp. Ins. Co.</i> ,	
24	656 F.3d 966 (9th Cir. 2011).....	9
25	<i>Ready Transp., Inc. v. AAR Mfg., Inc.</i> ,	
26	2006 WL 2131308 (E.D. Cal. July 27, 2006).....	10
27	<i>Reyes v. Bakery &amp; Confectionery Union &amp; Indus. Int'l Pension Fund</i> ,	
28	2015 WL 1738269 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 9, 2015).....	8, 9
	<i>Rolling v. E*Trade Secs., LLC</i> ,	
	756 F. Supp. 2d 1179 (N.D. Cal. 2010).....	5, 8
	<i>Secs. Inv. Protector Corp. v. Vigman</i> ,	
	764 F.2d 1309 (9th Cir. 1985).....	5
	<i>Stewart Org., Inc. v. Ricoh Corp.</i> ,	
	487 U.S. 22 (1988) .....	2
	<i>Strigliabotti v. Franklin Res., Inc.</i> ,	
	2004 WL 2254556 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 5, 2004) .....	4, 5

1 *True Health Chiropractic, Inc. v. McKesson Corp.*,  
 2013 WL 6000539 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 12, 2013)..... 4

2

3 *Turnage v. Old Dominion Freight Line, Inc.*,  
 2013 WL 2950836 (N.D. Cal. June 14, 2013)..... 11

4 *Van Dusen v. Barrack*,  
 376 U.S. 612 (1964) ..... 2, 4

5

6 *Van Slyke v. Capital One Bank*,  
 503 F. Supp. 2d 1353 (N.D. Cal. 2007)..... 6, 7, 10

7 *Vu v. Ortho-McNeil Pharm., Inc.*,  
 602 F. Supp. 2d 1151 (N.D. Cal. 2009)..... 4

8

9 *Wade v. Indus. Finding Corp.*,  
 1992 WL 207926 (N.D. Cal. May 28, 1992)..... 5

10 *Williams v. Bowman*,  
 157 F. Supp. 2d 1103 (N.D. Cal. 2001)..... 2

11

12 **STATUTES**

13 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) ..... 3

14 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) ..... 2, 4

15 28 U.S.C. § 1404(b) ..... 3

16 **OTHER AUTHORITIES**

17 Charles Alan Wright, et al., *Fed Prac. & Proc.* (4th ed. 2013)..... 4, 11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.