

1 Curtis G. Hoke (SBN 282465)
2 **THE MILLER FIRM, LLC**
3 108 Railroad Avenue
4 Orange, Virginia 22960
5 Tel: (540) 672-4224
6 Fax: (540) 672-3055
7 Email: choke@millerfirmllc.com

8 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
10 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11 TIMOTHY O’CONNOR and CAROLYN
12 O’CONNOR,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 SYNGENTA AG; SYNGENTA CROP
16 PROTECTION, LLC; CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.;
17 and DOES 1 through 60 inclusive,

18 Defendants.

Civil Action No.: 3:21-cv-02495

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

19
20 Plaintiffs TIMOTHY AND CAROLYN O’CONNOR (hereinafter, collectively referred to
21 as “Plaintiffs”), by and through counsel Curtis G. Hoke of The Miller Firm, LLC allege upon
22 information and belief and complains of Defendants Syngenta AG (“SAG”) and Syngenta Crop
23 Protection, LLC (“SCPLLC”) (together with their predecessors-in-interest, referred to collectively
24 as the “Syngenta Defendants”); Chevron U.S.A. Inc. (together with its predecessors-in-interest,
25 referred to collectively as the “Chevron Defendants”); and Does One through Sixty, states:

26 **STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

27 1. Plaintiff TIMOTHY O’CONNOR suffers from Parkinson’s disease caused by his
28 exposure to the herbicide Paraquat;

1 laws of the State of Pennsylvania, with its headquarters and principal place of business in San
2 Ramon in Contra Costa County, California.

3 9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over each of the Defendants in this diversity
4 case because a state court of California would have such jurisdiction, in that:

5 a. Over a period of two (Chevron) to six (Syngenta) decades, each Defendant and/or its
6 predecessor(s), together with those with whom they were acting in concert, manufactured
7 Paraquat for use as an active ingredient in Paraquat products, distributed Paraquat to
8 formulators of Paraquat products, formulated Paraquat products, marketed Paraquat
9 products to the California agricultural community, and/or distributed Paraquat products,
10 intending that such products regularly would be, and knowing they regularly were, sold
11 and used in the State of California;

12 b. Plaintiffs' claims against each Defendant arise out of these contacts between the
13 Defendant and/or its predecessor(s), together with those with whom they were acting in concert,
14 with the State of California; and

15 c. These contacts between each Defendant and/or its predecessors, together with those with
16 whom they were acting in concert, and the State of California, were so regular, frequent, and
17 sustained as to provide fair warning that it might be hauled into court there, such that requiring it
18 to defend this action in the State of California does not offend traditional notions of fair play and
19 substantial justice.

20 **INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT**

21 10. This action arises from the actions of Defendants – and, in particular, the actions of
22 Defendant Chevron U.S.A., Inc. Defendant Chevron U.S.A., Inc. is a Pennsylvania corporation
23 with its principal place of business in San Ramon in Contra Costa County, California. Pursuant to
24 Local Rule 3-2(c), this claim may be assigned to either the San Francisco Division or the Oakland
25 Division.

26 **PARTIES**

27 11. The true names or capacities whether individual, corporate, governmental or
28 associate, of the defendants named herein as Doe are unknown to Plaintiffs who therefore sues

1 said defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs pray leave to amend this Complaint to show
2 their true names and capacities and/or bases for liability when the same have been finally
3 determined.

4 12. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and upon such information and belief allege,
5 that each of the defendants designated herein as Doe is strictly, negligently, or otherwise legally
6 responsible in some manner for the events and happenings herein referred to, and negligently or
7 otherwise caused injury and damages proximately thereby to Plaintiffs as is hereinafter alleged.

8 13. At all times herein mentioned each and every of the Defendants was the agent,
9 servant, employee, joint venturer, alter ego, successor-in-interest, and predecessor-in-interest of
10 each of the other, and each was acting within the course and scope of their agency, service, joint
11 venture, alter ego relationship, employment, and corporate interrelationship.

12 14. U.K. manufacturer Imperial Chemical Industries Ltd. a/k/a Imperial Chemical
13 Industries PLC (“ICI”) first introduced Paraquat to world markets in or about 1962 under the
14 brand name GRAMOXONE®.

15 15. In or about 1971, ICI created or acquired a wholly owned U.S. subsidiary
16 organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, which was ultimately known as ICI Americas
17 Inc. (“ICI Americas”).

18 16. Chevron Chemical Company was a corporation organized under the laws of the
19 State of Delaware.

20 17. Pursuant to distribution and licensing agreements with ICI and ICI Americas,
21 Chevron Chemical Company had exclusive rights to distribute and sell Paraquat in the United
22 States and did in fact manufacture, formulate, distribute, and sell Paraquat in the United States,
23 including in California for use in California, from approximately 1964 until approximately 1986.

24 18. Chevron U.S.A. Inc. is the successor-in-interest to Chevron Chemical Company.

25 19. At all relevant times, Chevron Chemical Company acted as the agent of Chevron
26 U.S.A. Inc. in selling and distributing Paraquat in the U.S. At all relevant times, Chevron
27 Chemical Company was acting within the scope of its agency in selling and distributing Paraquat.
28 Chevron U.S.A. Inc. is liable for the acts of its agent.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.