
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DAVID CHIU, State Bar #189542 City Attorney WAYNE K. SNODGRASS, State Bar #148137 JEREMY M. GOLDMAN, State Bar #218888 Deputy City Attorneys City Hall, Room 234 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, California 94102-4682 Telephone: (415) 554-6762 Facsimile: (415) 554-4699 E-Mail: jeremy.goldman@sfcityatty.org Attorneys for Defendant CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP JOSHUA S. LIPSHUTZ, SBN 242557 jlipshutz@gibsondunn.com 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, CA 94105-0921 Telephone: 415.393.8200 Facsimile: 415.393.8306 MICHAEL HOLECEK, SBN 281034 mholecek@gibsondunn.com 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197 Telephone: 213.229.7000 Facsimile: 213.229.7520 Attorneys for Plaintiffs DOORDASH, INC. and GRUBHUB INC.
11		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
14		
15	DOORDASH, INC. and GRUBHUB INC.,	Case No. 3:21-cv-05502 EMC
16	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER
17	vs.	STAYING CASE, VAČATING CASE MANAGEMENT DATES, AND PROVIDING FOR EVENTUAL
18	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	DISMISSAL
19	Defendant.	
20		
21		J
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		



Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, Plaintiffs DoorDash, Inc. and Grubhub Inc., and Defendant City and County of San Francisco (collectively, "the Parties"), hereby stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, on June 28, 2022, San Francisco Supervisor Aaron Peskin introduced the Ordinance attached hereto as Exhibit A ("the Ordinance"), which would amend certain provisions of Article 53 of the San Francisco Police Code at issue in this action;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have decided that they are willing to dismiss the above-captioned action if the Ordinance is enacted; and

WHEREAS, the Parties anticipate that enactment of the Ordinance may take several months in the ordinary legislative process;

NOW, THEREFORE, in the interest of judicial economy and good cause showing, the undersigned parties, by and through their counsel of record, hereby agree and stipulate, and the Court hereby orders, as follows:

- 1. This action is hereby stayed;
- 2. All existing case management dates are vacated;
- 3. If the Ordinance is enacted, Plaintiffs shall dismiss this action, without prejudice to their right to reinstate the above-captioned action (including, without limitation, the claims alleged and remedies sought therein), as a result of any amendment to the Ordinance or future legislation, within seven days of its effective date, each party to bear its own fees and costs;
- 4. If the Ordinance is not enacted, the Parties may stipulate to, or any party may file an administrative motion requesting, a lifting of the stay and the establishment of new case management dates.

The Parties respectfully request that the Court enter an Order approving this Stipulation.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.



1	Dated: July 1, 2022 DAVID CHIU	
2	City Attorney WAYNE SNODGRASS	
3	JEREMY M. GOLDMAN Deputy City Attorneys	
4		
5	By: <u>Jeremy M. Goldman</u> JEREMY M. GOLDMAN	
6	Attorneys for Defendant CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
	CITT AND COUNTT OF SAN FRANCISCO	
7	Dated: July 1, 2022 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP	
8	By: Joshua S. Lipshutz	
9	Joshua S. Lipshutz Michael Holecek	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
11	DOORDASH, INC. and GRUBHUB INC.	
12	A TETELOTE A TELONI, CIL A LICE	
13	ATTESTATION CLAUSE	
14	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby certify that I obtained in the filing of this	
15	document the concurrence from all parties whose electronic signatures appear above.	
16	Dated: July 1, 2022	
17	/s/ Jeremy M. Goldman	
	JEREMÝ M. GOLDMAN	
18		
19		
20	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
21		
22	DATED: July 1, 2022	
23	Hon. Ward M. Chen United States District Judge	
24		
25		
26		

