

1 Katherine M. Dugdale, Bar No. 168014  
KDugdale@perkinscoie.com  
2 PERKINS COIE LLP  
1888 Century Park East, Suite 1700  
3 Los Angeles, California 90067-1721  
Telephone: 310.788.9900  
4 Facsimile: 310.788.3399

5 Jacob P. Dini, *pro hac vice*  
JDini@perkinscoie.com  
6 PERKINS COIE LLP  
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900  
7 Seattle, Washington 98101-3099  
Telephone: 206.359.8000  
8 Facsimile: 206.359.9000

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Twitch Interactive, Inc.  
10

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
13

14 TWITCH INTERACTIVE, INC., a Delaware  
corporation,,  
15

Plaintiff,

16 v.  
17 CHRIS VOSTERMANS, an individual, also  
known as CRUZZCONTROL; and  
CREATINEOVERDOSE, an individual,  
18

Defendant.

Case No. 4:21-cv-7006-JST

**PLAINTIFF TWITCH INTERACTIVE  
INC.'S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR  
LEAVE TO FILE SECOND AMENDED  
COMPLAINT AND MEMORANDUM IN  
SUPPORT**

**[L. CIV. R. 7-10]**

1     **TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:**

2         **PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that Plaintiff Twitch Interactive, Inc., will and hereby does  
3 apply to the Court *ex parte* for an order granting Twitch leave to file Exhibit A as its Second  
4 Amended Complaint (“SAC”) pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 15(a)(2). The Second  
5 Amended Complaint differs from the original Complaint in that it reflects the voluntary dismissal  
6 of former defendant Chris Vostermans pursuant to a stipulated permanent injunction enjoining  
7 him (Dkt. Nos. 26 and 27), and names Twitch user “Mango” (also known as  
8 “mangoblacksunlegion” and “mangoblacksun”) as an additional defendant who participated in the  
9 hate raids at issue and describes his actions in connection with the hate raids.

10         This application is based on: the memorandum of points and authorities, and exhibits  
11 thereto; the Declaration of Katherine M. Dugdale; all pleadings and evidence on file in this  
12 matter; and on such additional evidence or arguments as the Court may deem proper.

14         Dated: September 1, 2022

PERKINS COIE LLP

16         By:/s/ Katherine M. Dugdale

17                     Katherine M. Dugdale, Bar No. 168014  
18                     KDugdale@perkinscoie.com  
19                     Jacob P. Dini, *pro hac vice*  
20                     JDini@perkinscoie.com

21                     *Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
22                     Twitch Interactive, Inc.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|      | Page  |    |
|------|---|----|
| I.   | INTRODUCTION .....  | 5  |
| A.   | Plaintiff Twitch Interactive, Inc. ....                                   | 5  |
| B.   | Defendant Mango.....  | 5  |
| II.  | LEGAL STANDARD.....   | 6  |
| III. | ARGUMENT .....  | 7  |
| A.   | The Proposed Second Amended Complaint is Filed in Good Faith .....        | 7  |
| B.   | Twitch's Application Will Not Cause Undue Delay.....                      | 8  |
| C.   | The Proposed Second Amended Complaint Will Not Prejudice Defendants ..... | 8  |
| D.   | Filing the Proposed Second Amended Complaint is Not Futile .....          | 9  |
| IV.  | CONCLUSION.....   | 11 |

1                   **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

|  | <b>Page(s)</b> |
|--|----------------|
| <b>CASES</b>   |                |
| <i>Commonwealth Land Title Ins. Co. v. 830 Eddy St., LLC,</i><br>No. 20-CV-07097-JSC, 2021 WL 3271144 (N.D. Cal. July 30, 2021)..... | 7              |
| <i>Cooper Development Co. v. Employers Insurance of Wausau,</i><br>765 F. Supp. 1429 (N.D. Cal. 1991) .....                          | 6              |
| <i>DCD Programs, Ltd. v. Leighton,</i><br>833 F.2d 183 (9th Cir. 1987).....  | 6, 7, 8, 9     |
| <i>Eminence Capital, LLC v. Aspeon, Inc.,</i><br>316 F.3d 1048 (9th Cir. 2003).....  | 7              |
| <i>Foman v. Davis,</i><br>371 U.S. 178 (1962).....   | 6, 7           |
| <i>Griggs v. Pave Am. Grp.,</i><br>170 F.3d 877 (9th Cir. 1999).....   | 6              |
| <i>Howey v. U.S.,</i><br>481 F.2d 1187 (9th Cir. 1973).....  | 8              |
| <i>Klamath-Lake Pharm. Ass'n v. Klamath Med. Serv. Bur.,</i><br>701 F.2d 1276 (9th Cir. 1983).....                                   | 9              |
| <i>Korn v. Royal Caribbean Cruise Line, Inc.,</i><br>724 F.2d 1397 (9th Cir. 1984).....  | 8, 9           |
| <i>Miller v. Yokohama Tire Corp.,</i><br>358 F.3d 616 (9th Cir. 2004).....   | 9              |
| <i>Owens v. Kaiser Found. Health Plan, Inc.,</i><br>244 F.3d 708 (9th Cir. 2001).....  | 8              |
| <i>Parino v. BidRack, Inc.,</i><br>838 F. Supp. 2d 900 (N.D. Cal. 2011) .....  | 10             |
| <i>Sonoma Cty. Ass'n of Retired Emps v. Sonoma County,</i><br>708 F.3d 1109 (9th Cir. 2013).....                                     | 7              |
| <i>Sorosky v. Burroughs Corp.,</i><br>826 F.2d 794 (9th Cir. 1987).....  | 8              |

|    |   |      |
|----|---|------|
| 1  | <i>Tyco Thermal Controls LLC v. Redwood Indus.</i> ,<br>2009 WL 4907512 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 14, 2009) ..... | 8    |
| 2  |   |      |
| 3  | <i>U.S. v. Webb</i> ,<br>655 F.2d 977 (9th Cir. 1981).....  | 8    |
| 4  |   |      |
| 5  | <i>Yelp Inc., v. Catron</i> ,<br>70 F. Supp. 3d 1082 (N.D. Cal. 2014) .....                             | 10   |
| 6  | <b>STATUTES</b>   |      |
| 7  | California Code of Civil Procedure § 337(a) .....   | 9    |
| 8  | California Code of Civil Procedure § 338(d).....  | 9    |
| 9  |   |      |
| 10 | <b>RULES</b>  |      |
| 11 | Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a).....  | 6, 7 |
| 12 |   |      |
| 13 | Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2).....   | 6    |
| 14 |   |      |
| 15 |   |      |
| 16 |   |      |
| 17 |   |      |
| 18 |   |      |
| 19 |   |      |
| 20 |   |      |
| 21 |   |      |
| 22 |   |      |
| 23 |   |      |
| 24 |   |      |
| 25 |   |      |
| 26 |   |      |
| 27 |   |      |
| 28 |   |      |

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.