1	Todd M. Schneider (SBN 158253)				
	Jason H. Kim (SBN 220279)				
2	Matthew S. Weiler (SBN 236052) SCHNEIDER WALLACE				
3	COTTRELL KONECKY LLP				
4	2000 Powell Street, Suite 1400				
5	Emeryville, CA 94608 Telephone: (415) 421-7100				
	TSchneider@schneiderwallace.com				
6	JKim@schneiderwallace.com MWeiler@schneiderwallace.com				
7					
8	Peter D. St. Phillip (<i>Pro hac vice</i> to be filed) LOWEY DANNENBERG, P.C.				
9	44 South Broadway, Suite 1100				
10	White Plains, NY 10601 Telephone: (914) 997-0500				
	PStPhillip@lowey.com				
11	[Additional counsel on signature page]				
12	[Additional Counsel on signature page]				
13					
1 1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
14	FOR THE NORTHERN	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
	FOR THE NORTHERN	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
14 15	FOR THE NORTHERN MOLINA HEALTHCARE INC.,				
15	MOLINA HEALTHCARE INC.,	Case No.			
15 16	MOLINA HEALTHCARE INC., Plaintiff,				
15 16 17	MOLINA HEALTHCARE INC., Plaintiff, vs.				
15 16 17 18	MOLINA HEALTHCARE INC., Plaintiff,	Case No.			
15 16 17 18	MOLINA HEALTHCARE INC., Plaintiff, vs. JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS IRELAND LIMITED;	Case No. COMPLAINT			
115 116 117 118 119 220	MOLINA HEALTHCARE INC., Plaintiff, vs. JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS IRELAND LIMITED; JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS PUBLIC LIMITED COMPANY;	Case No. COMPLAINT			
115 116 117 118 119 220	MOLINA HEALTHCARE INC., Plaintiff, vs. JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS IRELAND LIMITED; JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS PUBLIC LIMITED COMPANY; HIKMA PHARMACEUTICALS PLC;	Case No. COMPLAINT			
115 116 117 118 119 220 221	MOLINA HEALTHCARE INC., Plaintiff, vs. JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS IRELAND LIMITED; JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS PUBLIC LIMITED COMPANY; HIKMA PHARMACEUTICALS PLC; HIKMA PHARMACEUTICALS USA INC.; HIKMA LABS, INC.;	Case No. COMPLAINT			
115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222	MOLINA HEALTHCARE INC., Plaintiff, vs. JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS IRELAND LIMITED; JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS PUBLIC LIMITED COMPANY; HIKMA PHARMACEUTICALS PLC; HIKMA PHARMACEUTICALS USA INC.; HIKMA LABS, INC.; EUROHEALTH (USA), INC.; AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC;	Case No. COMPLAINT			
	MOLINA HEALTHCARE INC., Plaintiff, vs. JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS IRELAND LIMITED; JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS PUBLIC LIMITED COMPANY; HIKMA PHARMACEUTICALS PLC; HIKMA PHARMACEUTICALS USA INC.; HIKMA LABS, INC.; EUROHEALTH (USA), INC.;	Case No. COMPLAINT			
115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224	MOLINA HEALTHCARE INC., Plaintiff, vs. JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS IRELAND LIMITED; JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS PUBLIC LIMITED COMPANY; HIKMA PHARMACEUTICALS PLC; HIKMA PHARMACEUTICALS USA INC.; HIKMA LABS, INC.; EUROHEALTH (USA), INC.; AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC; PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.; LUPIN LTD.; LUPIN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.;	Case No. COMPLAINT			
115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224 225	MOLINA HEALTHCARE INC., Plaintiff, vs. JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS IRELAND LIMITED; JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS PUBLIC LIMITED COMPANY; HIKMA PHARMACEUTICALS PLC; HIKMA PHARMACEUTICALS USA INC.; HIKMA LABS, INC.; EUROHEALTH (USA), INC.; AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC; PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.; LUPIN LTD.; LUPIN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.; LUPIN INC.,	Case No. COMPLAINT			
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MOLINA HEALTHCARE INC., Plaintiff, vs. JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS IRELAND LIMITED; JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS PUBLIC LIMITED COMPANY; HIKMA PHARMACEUTICALS PLC; HIKMA PHARMACEUTICALS USA INC.; HIKMA LABS, INC.; EUROHEALTH (USA), INC.; AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC; PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.; LUPIN LTD.; LUPIN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.;	Case No. COMPLAINT			



1	TABLE OF CONTENTS			
2	I.	INT	RODUCTION	1
3	II.	JURISDICTION AND VENUE4		
4	III.	THE	E PARTIES	4
5	IV.	REC	GULATORY BACKGROUND	8
6		Α.	Regulatory Structure for Approval and Substitution of Generic Drugs.	8
7			1. The Prescription Drug Market Place	8
8			2. The Hatch-Waxman Act and FDA Approval Process	9
9			3. Use of Authorized Generics to Maximize Profits Following Generic Entry.	14
10			4. Acceleration Clauses As a "Poison Pill" to Further Generic Entry.	16
11	V.	DEF	FENDANTS' ANTICOMPETITIVE CONDUCT	17
12		Α.	The Development of Sodium Oxybate	. 17
13		В.	Sodium Oxybate as a Treatment for Narcolepsy	. 18
14		C.	Jazz Obtains All Rights To Xyrem Through Orphan Medical Acquisition	. 20
15		D.	The Xyrem Patents	. 20
16			1. The '431 Family Of Patents (Formulations And Methods Of Treatment)	. 21
17			2. The '730 Family Of Patents (Drug Distribution System And Method)	. 22
18			3. The '302 Family Of Patents (Method Of Administration)	. 22
19		E.	Jazz Jacks Up Xyrem Prices "to the Moon".	. 23
20		F.	Jazz Seeks A Multiple Pharmacy Rems In Conjunction With Its Request For Approval To	
21		0	Market Xyrem For Fibromyalgia.	
22		G.	Generic Challengers Make Paragraph IV Certifications.	
23		Н.	Jazz Files Sham Litigation to Combat Roxane's (Now Hikma's) Threat.	
24		I.	Jazz Reverses Course In Its REMS Negotiations, Discouraging Generic Entry	
25		K.	The '730 Family Are Declared Invalid in Inter Partes Review.	
26		L.	The Jazz-Hikma Reverse Payment Agreement.	
27		Μ.	Jazz Enters Into Unlawful Reverse Payment Agreements With Par, Lupin, And Amneal: the Later Generic Defendants	
	l			



Case 3:21-cv-07935 Document 1 Filed 10/08/21 Page 3 of 66

1	N. The Jazz-Hikma Agreement Has Caused, And Will Continue To Cause, Anticompetitive Effects
2	VI. DEFENDANTS' ANTICOMPETIVE EFFECTS IN THE MARKET FOR SODIUM OXYBATE
4	VII. JAZZ'S MONOPOLY POWER40
5	VIII. EFFECTS ON TRADE AND COMMERCE41
6	IX. ANTITRUST INJURY41
7	X. PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS ARE TIMELY42
8	A. Defendants Fraudulently Concealed by Omission Their Unlawful Agreements
9	B. Defendants' Continuing Violations
10	XI. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF44
11	XII. DEMAND FOR JUDGMENT62
12	XIII. JURY DEMAND62
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	



Plaintiff Molina Healthcare Inc. ("Molina" or "Plaintiff") brings this action against

1 2 Defendants Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Jazz Pharmaceuticals Ireland Limited, Jazz Pharmaceuticals 3 Public Limited Company, Hikma Pharmaceuticals plc, Hikma Pharmaceuticals USA Inc., Hikma Labs, 4 Inc., Eurohealth (USA), Inc., Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC, Par Pharmaceutical, Inc., Lupin Ltd., Lupin 5 Pharmaceuticals Inc., and Lupin Inc., (collectively, "Defendants") for violations of antitrust, consumer 6 protection, and common laws. Plaintiff's claims concern Defendants' scheme to restrain competition for 7 branded Xyrem and its AB rated generic bioequivalents in the United States. Defendants, the brand 8 manufacturer of Xyrem and several competitors, abused the patent laws for profit by allocating the 9 market for a drug that was invented nearly 150 years ago. Sodium oxybate, sold under the brand name 10 Xyrem (also known as γ-hydroxybutyric acid (GHB)) is a naturally occurring substance found in the 11 central nervous system. Xyrem is manufactured by Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc and its affiliates ("Jazz"). 12 Xyrem has historically been Jazz's main source of revenue, making up 70% or more of its revenues since 13 2007. Jazz's growth and profits have been entirely linked to its ability to increase prices on Xyrem and 14 keep the market to itself. To prevent generic competition and unlawfully maintain this monopoly, Jazz 15 first manipulated an FDA safety program meant to mitigate safety risks of certain drugs ("REMS"); 16 engaged in sham patent litigation; abused the REMS process to further frustrate generic competitors; 17 and finally agreed with other Defendants to delay generic entry in exchange for allocating the generic 18 market for AB-rated generic Xyrem. All the while, Jazz imposed a series of grotesque price hikes that

1.

I. INTRODUCTION

Defendants' unlawful conduct cease.

2. This litigation challenges a comprehensive anticompetitive scheme to suppress generic competition for Xyrem, a leading treatment of narcolepsy. Defendants abused an FDA drug safety program called "Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy," engaged in sham patent litigation, and entered into reverse payments to generic manufacturers to preserve their monopoly in Xyrem. Through this

would have been impossible had generic entry been successful. This scheme caused Plaintiff to pay

inflated prices for Xyrem from July 17, 2017 through the present, until the anticompetitive effects of the

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

scheme Defendants suppressed generic competition and raised the price of Xyrem 841% between 2007 and 2014. Third-party payors such as Plaintiff footed the bill for this manipulation.

- 3. Sodium oxybate, the active ingredient in Xyrem, is a central nervous system depressant that has been widely available in the United States since the 1960s. Sodium oxybate is the chemically derived version of γ-Hydroxybutyric acid (GHB), which occurs naturally in human bodies' central nervous systems, as well as wine, beef, small citrus fruits, and almost all animals.¹
- 4. Narcolepsy is a disorder characterized by excessive daytime sleepiness ("EDS") and intermittent manifestations of REM sleep during wakefulness. In 1994, the Food and Drug Administration's ("FDA") Orphan Products Development Division and a non-profit advocacy organization approached a small Minnesota-based drug company, Orphan Medical, to suggest the development of sodium oxybate for treatment of cataplexy, which is a common symptom of narcolepsy manifested by sudden episodes of bilateral skeletal muscle weakness induced by an emotional trigger such as laughter, anger, embarrassment, or surprise.
- 5. Orphan Medical began development of what would become Xyrem and, in 2002, obtained FDA approval to market sodium oxybate for the treatment of cataplexy associated with narcolepsy in adults. Orphan branded its product Xyrem. In 2005, Orphan Medical obtained FDA approval to market Xyrem for EDS associated with narcolepsy in adults. Until 2021, Xyrem was the only drug approved by the FDA to treat both EDS and cataplexy associated with narcolepsy. In 2020, the FDA also approved Jazz's follow-on sodium oxybate product, Xywav, for the treatment of those conditions.
- 6. In 2005, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc. acquired Orphan Medical. "The acquisition was unprofitable at first By 2009, Jazz was on the verge of bankruptcy. ... Jazz responded by replacing its management team." Jazz then began a series of epic price hikes. In May of 2014, Bloomberg published a ranking of drug price increases from 2007 to 2014. Xyrem ranked first with an overall

https://www.who.int/medicines/areas/quality_safety/4.1GHBcritical_review.pdf ² *In re Xyrem Antitrust Litig.*, Case No. 5:20-md-02966-LHK, ECF No. 138, at 2 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 13, 2021).



¹ "Gamma-hydroxybutyric acid (GHB), Critical Review Report," World Health Organization Expert Committee on Drug Dependence (2012);

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

