- 1		
1	BETSY C. MANIFOLD (182450) manifold@whafh.com RACHELE R. BYRD (190634) byrd@whafh.com WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER FREEMAN & HERZ LLP 750 B Street, Suite 1820	
2		
3		
4		
5	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/239-4599	
6	Facsimile: 619/234-4599	
7	MARK C. RIFKIN (<i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming) rifkin@whafh.com	
8	THOMAS H. BURT (pro hac vice forthcoming)	
9	burt@whafh.com MATTHEW M. GUINEY (pro hac vice forthcoming)	
10	guiney@whafh.com WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER	
11	FREEMAN & HERZ LLP 270 Madison Avenue	
12	New York, NY 10016 Telephone: 212/545-4600	
13	Facsimile: 212/545-4653	
14	Counsel for Plaintiffs	
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16		
17	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18	MADELEINE LEPESANT and MARIANNE BOYLES, on behalf of themselves and all	Case No.
19	others similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
20	Plaintiffs	
21	V.	
22		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
23	Apple Inc.,	
24	Defendant.	
25		
26		
27		
28		



Plaintiffs Madeleine Lepesant and Marianne Boyles ("Plaintiffs"), for their class action complaint, allege upon personal knowledge as to themselves and their own actions, and upon information and belief, including the investigation of counsel, as follows:

NATURE OF ACTION

1. This is an antitrust class action pursuant to Section 2 of the Sherman Antitrust Act of 1890, 15 U.S.C. § 2 (2004) (the "Sherman Act") and California's Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, *et seq.* (the "UCL"), brought by Plaintiffs on their own behalf and on behalf of a class of persons similarly situated, those being persons who purchased software applications or licenses for software applications from the "iTunes" site or "App Store" owned and operated by Defendant Apple Inc. ("Apple"), or who made in-app purchases (defined herein) through such applications, for use on one or more Apple iPhones, iPads, or iPod Touches ("iOS Devices") between December 29, 2007 and the present (the "Class Period").¹

A. Summary Of Material Facts

- 2. With great fanfare, Apple launched its first iPhone, called the iPhone 2G, on June 29, 2007. Prior to and after its launch, Apple hailed the iPhone as a revolutionary, "breakthrough" "smartphone" that functioned like a mobile computer with desktop-class email and other Internet communications capability. Apple built the iPhone's operating system, known as "iOS," to enable iPhone users to download and run computer-like software programs (called "applications" or "apps") to browse the Internet, transform music into cell phone ringtones, take photos, play games and engage in other functions typically performed on desktop or laptop computers.
- 3. Shortly thereafter, on September 14, 2007, Apple introduced the first iPod Touch, a hand-held computer similar to the iPhone, which operates on the iOS system and can run apps that run on the iPhone. On April 3, 2010, Apple introduced the first iPad, a tablet computer with a touch screen interface, utilizing, like the iPhone and the iPod Touch, the iOS operating system, and able to run apps that function on those devices.

The term "iPad" as used herein includes all iPad Pro, iPad Mini and iPad Air models as well as standard iPads.



- 4. Unbeknownst to iOS Device consumers, however, from the time it launched the iPhone through the present date, Apple has engaged in an anticompetitive scheme to monopolize the aftermarket for iOS applications (including purchases made within applications, such as payment for additional application features, full versions of games, and subscriptions for renewable access to content and memberships (*e.g.*, Hulu and Spotify) ("in-app purchases")) in order to control and derive supracompetitive profits from the distribution of iOS apps worldwide.² As a result of its scheme, Apple has, from introduction of the iPhone 2G in 2007, when the only apps available were those that came with the iPhone, through the present, cornered 100% of the worldwide distribution market for iOS applications.
- 5. Apple has succeeded in totally eliminating any and all competition in that multibillion dollar market. Apple's App Store is the only store in the entire world online or off-line where the tens of millions of U.S.-based iOS Device owners (and the many tens of millions of iOS Device owners worldwide) can buy an iOS app, and Apple's unlawful monopolization of the apps market has enabled Apple to charge and collect a supracompetitive 30% fee from iOS Device consumers for each and every one of the billions of iOS apps they have bought since the iPhone's launch thirteen years ago. Consequently, iOS Device consumers nationwide have paid hundreds of millions of dollars more for iOS apps than they would have paid in a competitive market.
- 6. Unlike traditional desktop or laptop computer manufacturers, whose computers' operating systems allow consumers to buy software applications from any and all competing software distributors, Apple's iOS system prohibits iOS Device consumers from buying software applications from anyone other than Apple.
- 7. Even Apple's own iMac and MacBook desktop and laptop computers' operating systems from which the iOS operating system was derived allow consumers to buy software from whatever source they like and to pay the software manufacturer or distributor directly without having to pay an additional fee to Apple. There is no legitimate basis for Apple to treat its

Herein, references to the market for iOS applications include the market for in-app purchases.



iOS Devices customers any differently than it treats its iMac or MacBook customers, or to charge its iOS Devices customers a 30% mark-up for any and all software they buy for their iOS Devices.

- 8. But when Apple developed its unique iPhone, Apple took advantage of the heavy demand for its novel product to equip it with an operating system that foreclosed iPhone consumers from buying software from any source other than Apple. When Apple subsequently introduced the iPad and the iPod Touch, it placed the same software constraints on them. Apple thus forced those foreclosed iOS Devices consumers to pay Apple a 30% fee for each and every iOS app they buy. Stated in antitrust terminology, Apple improperly exploited its relationships with customers who purchased Apple's highly desirable and expensive iOS Devices by locking them in, without their knowledge or consent, into an aftermarket for iOS apps monopolized by Apple.
- 9. In addition to exerting anticompetitive control through the direct purchase of apps on the App Store, Apple also controls and receives supracompetitive profits on in-app purchases, including but not limited to subscriptions.
- 10. Apple's contracts with all developers offering content for iOS devices provide that Apple obtains 30% of the amount consumers pay for virtually all types of in-app purchases and subscriptions. Apple's establishment of a 30% commission rate has remained static since the onset. Apple chose the 30% commission without regard to or analysis of the costs to run the App Store.
- 11. Prior to 2011, users could read content from subscriptions made outside iOS, but were limited to a one-time subscription, not recurring subscriptions. In 2011, Apple expanded its functionality to allow for the sales of recurring subscriptions when purchased in the App Store but required a 30% commission. In 2016, Apple changed its policy such that for long-term subscriptions lasting over a year, Apple's fee is 30% during the first year but goes down to 15% thereafter.
- 12. In late 2020, Apple introduced the Small Business Program. That program reduced Apple's commission to 15% for developers making less than one million dollars. Apple's implementation of the Small Business Program was spurred, in part, by the COVID-19 pandemic



4 5 6

7 8 9

11

12

13

10

14 15 16

17 18 19

21

22

20

23 24

25

26

27 28 but also by litigation and regulatory pressure.

- 13. Apple also controls what prices developers can charge. It exercises that control by insisting that every paid app be priced in dollar increments at \$0.99, \$1.99, \$2.99, and so forth.
- 14. Apple's motive for its anticompetitive conduct was simple: Apple did not want its iOS Device-related revenue stream to end when a consumer bought an iOS Device, like it generally does when consumers purchase iMac and MacBook computers. So Apple concocted and maintained a plan to continue generating additional revenues over the entire useful life of every iOS Device it sold by cornering the distribution market for iOS applications and charging consumers an extra 30% for every app. Through this scheme Apple would profit not only from the sales of tens of millions of iOS Devices, it would also profit from each and every one of the billions of future apps sales made to Apple's iOS Devices customers.
- 15. Apple's anticompetitive scheme has generated enormous supracompetitive profits for Apple. Apple now offers more than 2.22 million apps in the App Store,³ and iOS Device consumers worldwide have downloaded apps more than 200 billion times since July 2008. According to Sensor Tower, the provider of a leading app analytics platform that aggregates data about consumer app downloads and purchases for use by developers, in 2019, the average annual in-app spending per active iPhone in the United States reached \$100.4 iOS Devices consumers have been overcharged billions of dollars for paid apps and in-app purchases during the Class Period as a result of Apple's anticompetitive conduct.
- 16. That Apple has engaged in unlawful monopolistic behavior with respect to iOS apps is perfectly consistent with Apple's attitude towards antitrust compliance generally. A federal district court judge who observed Apple's attitude towards antitrust compliance during a 2013 trial found that Apple had unlawfully fixed e-book prices and concluded that Apple as an institution simply "does not want to engage in retail price competition" - indeed, "one of its

See https://sensortower.com/blog/revenue-per-iphone-2019 (last viewed November 9, 2021).



See https://www.statista.com/statistics/276623/number-of-apps-available-in-leading-appstores/ (last viewed November 9, 2021).

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

