

1 JOSEPH N. AKROTIRIANAKIS (Bar No. 197971)
jakro@kslaw.com
2 ZACHARY W. BYER (Bar No. 301382)
zbyer@kslaw.com
3 MATTHEW NOLLER (Bar No. 325180)
mnoller@kslaw.com
4 KING & SPALDING LLP
633 West Fifth Street, Suite 1600
5 Los Angeles, CA 90071
Telephone: (213) 443-4355
6 Facsimile: (213) 443-4310

7 Attorneys for Defendants
NSO GROUP TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED and
8 Q CYBER TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED

9
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 APPLE INC.,
14
Plaintiff,
15
v.
16 NSO GROUP TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED,
17 and Q CYBER TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED,
18 Defendants.

Case No. 3:21-cv-09078-JD

**NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION OF
DEFENDANTS NSO GROUP
TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED
AND Q CYBER TECHNOLOGIES
LIMITED TO DISMISS [FED. R. CIV. P.
12(B)(1), 12(B)(3), 12(B)(6), AND 12(B)(7)];
MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
AUTHORITIES**

*[Filed Concurrently with Declaration of
Shalev Hulio and [Proposed] Order]*

Date: June 2, 2022
Time: 10:00 a.m.
Ctrm: 11

Action Filed: 11/23/2021

28

1 TO THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

2 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on June 2, 2022, at 10:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the
3 matter may be heard, Defendants NSO Group Technologies Limited (“NSO”) and Q Cyber
4 Technologies Limited (“Q Cyber”) will bring on for hearing before the Honorable James Donato,
5 United States District Judge, in Courtroom 11 of the United States Courthouse located at 450
6 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California, a Motion to Dismiss the Complaint of Apple Inc.,
7 pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1), 12(b)(3), 12(b)(6), and 12(b)(7).

8 This motion is based on this Notice of Motion and Motion, the attached Memorandum of
9 Points and Authorities, the Declaration of NSO Chief Executive Officer Shalev Hulio submitted
10 herewith, the pleadings, papers and records on file in this case, and such oral argument as may be
11 presented at any hearing.

12
13 Dated: March 3, 2022

KING & SPALDING LLP

14 By: /s/ Joseph N. Akrotirianakis

15 JOSEPH N. AKROTIRIANAKIS

16 Attorneys for Defendants
17 NSO GROUP TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED and
18 Q CYBER TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page(s) |
|--|----------------|
| I. BACKGROUND | 2 |
| A. NSO’s Technology and Its Use in Preventing Terrorism and Other Crimes. | 2 |
| B. Use of Apple Devices in Committing Terrorism and Other Crimes. | 3 |
| C. Alleged Use of Apple’s Services by NSO. | 3 |
| II. ARGUMENT | 4 |
| A. The Court Lacks Subject-Matter Jurisdiction Because NSO Is Entitled to Common-Law Sovereign Immunity as the Agent of Foreign Governments..... | 4 |
| B. The Court Should Dismiss for <i>Forum Non Conveniens</i> | 5 |
| C. The Court Should Dismiss for Failure to Join an Indispensable Party. | 8 |
| D. The Court Should Dismiss Apple’s CFAA, UCL, and Unjust Enrichment Claims for Failure to State a Claim. | 9 |
| 1. Apple Cannot State a CFAA Claim Because NSO’s Alleged Conduct Did Not Cause Apple Any Statutory “Damage or Loss.” | 9 |
| 2. Apple’s UCL Claim Falls with Its CFAA Claim, and the UCL Cannot Be Applied to NSO’s Purely Foreign Conduct. | 12 |
| 3. Unjust Enrichment Is Not An Independent Cause of Action, and Apple Cannot Seek Disgorgement. | 14 |
| III. CONCLUSION..... | 15 |

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

| | | Page(s) |
|----|--|----------------|
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | Cases | |
| 4 | <i>Air Turbine Tech., Inc. v. Atlas Copco AB,</i> | |
| 5 | 217 F.R.D. 545 (S.D. Fla. 2003)..... | 6 |
| 6 | <i>Allergan, Inc. v. Athena Cosmetics,</i> | |
| 7 | 738 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2013)..... | 12, 13, 14, 15 |
| 8 | <i>Andrews v. Sirius XM Radio Inc.,</i> | |
| 9 | 932 F.3d 1253 (9th Cir. 2019) | 10, 12 |
| 10 | <i>Argoquest v. Israel Discount Bank, Ltd.,</i> | |
| 11 | 228 F. App'x 733 (9th Cir. 2007) | 6 |
| 12 | <i>Astiana v. Hain Celestial Grp., Inc.,</i> | |
| 13 | 783 F.3d 753 (9th Cir. 2015) | 14 |
| 14 | <i>AtPac, Inc. v. Aptitude Solutions,</i> | |
| 15 | 730 F. Supp. 2d 1174 (E.D. Cal. 2010)..... | 10, 11 |
| 16 | <i>Balsley v. LFP, Inc.,</i> | |
| 17 | 2010 WL 11561883 (N.D. Ohio Jan. 26, 2010)..... | 6 |
| 18 | <i>Belhas v. Ya'alon,</i> | |
| 19 | 515 F.3d 1279 (D.C. Cir. 2008) | 5 |
| 20 | <i>Brodsky v. Apple, Inc.,</i> | |
| 21 | 445 F. Supp. 3d 110 (N.D. Cal. 2020) | 15 |
| 22 | <i>Butters v. Vance Int'l, Inc.,</i> | |
| 23 | 225 F.3d 462 (4th Cir. 2000) | 5 |
| 24 | <i>Carijano v. Occidental Petrol. Corp.,</i> | |
| 25 | 643 F.3d 1216 (9th Cir. 2011) | 5 |
| 26 | <i>Chas S. Winner, Inc. v. Polistina,</i> | |
| 27 | 2007 WL 1652292 (D.N.J. June 4, 2007)..... | 11 |
| 28 | <i>Clark v. Super. Ct.,</i> | |
| 29 | 50 Cal. 4th 605 (2010) | 14 |
| 30 | <i>Contact Lumber Co. v. P.T. Moges Shipping Co.,</i> | |
| 31 | 918 F.2d 1446 (9th Cir. 1990) | 6 |
| 32 | <i>Dawavendewa v. Salt River Project Agric. Improvement & Power Dist.,</i> | |
| 33 | 276 F.3d 1150 (9th Cir. 2002) | 8 |

| | | |
|----|--|---------|
| 1 | <i>Dongxiao Yue v. Chun-Hui Miao,</i> 2019 WL 5872142 (D.S.C. June 27, 2019)..... | 13 |
| 2 | <i>English v. Gen. Dynamics Mission Sys., Inc.,</i> 2019 WL 2619658 (C.D. Cal. May 8, 2019) | 14 |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | <i>Facebook, Inc. v. Studivz Ltd.,</i> 2009 WL 1190802 (N.D. Cal. May 4, 2009) | 6, 7, 8 |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | <i>Fahrner-Miller Assocs., Inc. v. Mars Antennas & RF Sys., Ltd.,</i> 2014 WL 6871550 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 4, 2014)..... | 6 |
| 7 | <i>Friends of Amador Cty. v. Salazar,</i> 2011 WL 4709883 (E.D. Cal. Oct. 4, 2011)..... | 8 |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | <i>Gardiner v. Walmart, Inc.,</i> 2021 WL 4992539 (N.D. Cal. July 28, 2021)..... | 15 |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | <i>Giraldo v. Drummond Co.,</i> 493 F. App'x 106 (D.C. Cir. 2012)..... | 9 |
| 12 | <i>Glob. Commodities Trading Grp., Inc. v. Beneficio de Arroz Choloma, S.A.,</i> 972 F.3d 1101 (9th Cir. 2020) | 5 |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | <i>In re Google Android Consumer Priv. Litig.,</i> 2013 WL 1283236 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 26, 2013)..... | 12 |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | <i>Healy v. Beer Inst., Inc.,</i> 491 U.S. 324 (1989)..... | 13 |
| 17 | <i>hiQ Labs, Inc. v. LinkedIn Corp.,</i> 938 F.3d 985 (9th Cir. 2019) | 10 |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | <i>Interface Partners Int'l Ltd. v. Hananel,</i> 575 F.3d 97 (1st Cir. 2009)..... | 5 |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | <i>Israel Discount Bank Ltd. v. Schapp,</i> 505 F. Supp. 2d 651 (C.D. Cal. 2007) | 5, 6 |
| 22 | <i>Korea Supply Co. v. Lockheed Martin Corp.,</i> 29 Cal. 4th 1134 (2003) | 14 |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | <i>Lea v. Wyeth LLC,</i> 2011 WL 13195950 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 22, 2011)..... | 6 |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | <i>Matar v. Dichter,</i> No. 05-cv-10270 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 17, 2006)..... | 5 |
| 27 | <i>Moriah v. Bank of China Ltd.,</i> 107 F. Supp. 3d 272 (S.D.N.Y. 2015)..... | 9 |
| 28 | | |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.