SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP
ALEX M. OUTWATER (CA 259062)
600 W. Broadway, Suite 3300
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: (619) 233-4565
aoutwater@scott-scott.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Police and Fire Retirement System of the City of Detroit

[Additional counsel on signature page]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

POLICE AND FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF DETROIT, on Behalf of Itself and Derivatively on Behalf of ALPHABET, Inc.,

Plaintiff,

v.

LARRY PAGE, SERGEY BRIN, ERIC E. SCHMIDT, SUNDAR PICHAI, JOHN L. HENNESSY, FRANCES H. ARNOLD, L. JOHN DOERR, ROGER W. FERGUSON, JR., ANN MATHER, ALAN R. MULALLY, K. RAM SHRIRAM, and ROBIN L. WASHINGTON,

Defendants,

-and-

ALPHABET, Inc.,

Nominal Defendant.

Case Number:

VERIFIED STOCKHOLDER DERIVATIVE COMPLAINT

[REDACTED]

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. SUMMARY OF THE ACTION 1

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 3

III. PARTIES 4

A. Plaintiff 4

B. Nominal Defendant 4

C. Individual Defendants 5

IV. SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS 10

A. Alphabet's Broad Pattern of Anticompetitive Business Plans 10

1. General Search Services, General Search Text Advertising, and General Search Advertising 10

a. General Search Services Market 11

b. General Search Text Advertising Market 13

c. General Search Advertising Market 15

2. Google Maintains Monopolies in the General Search Services, General Search Text Advertising, and General Search Advertising Markets 17

a. General Search Services Monopoly 17

b. General Search Text Advertising and General Search Advertising Monopolies 19

3. Google's Anticompetitive Conduct Entrenches Its Monopoly Search Positions 21

a. Google's Exclusionary Contracts Bar the Entry of General Search Services Competitors from the Market 21

i. Contracts with Apple 22

ii. Web Browsers 23

iii. Android Mobile Ecosystem 23

iv. Anti-Forking Agreements 25

v. Mobile Application Distribution Agreements 26

vi. Revenue-Share Agreements 28

vii. Voice Assistants 29

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

viii. Automobiles 31

b. Google Degrades Interoperability in Its Search Advertising Placement Tool, Limiting Advertisers' Ability to Evaluate Advertising Options 32

i. Data Asymmetry 34

ii. Other Exclusionary Conduct 36

c. Google Controls Consumer Traffic, Harming Competition from Vertical Search Providers 37

4. Android Mobile Operating System and App Distribution 43

a. Technical Barriers to Prevent Android App Distribution Outside of the Google Play Store 43

b. Google's Contracts Further Prevent OEMs from Circumventing Google's Technical Barriers 45

c. Google's Contracts Also Block Competing App Stores from Distribution on the Play Store 46

d. Google Unlawfully Ties Advertising Offerings to the Google Play Store 47

e. Google's Exclusionary Contracts Further Prevent the Development of a Competing App Distribution Platform on Android 48

f. Google Bought off Samsung and Used Additional Restrictive Contracts to Further Prevent Development of a Competing App Store 50

g. Google Bought off Key App Developers to Further Stifle Competition for Android App Distribution 53

5. Android in-App Payment Processing 55

a. Google Unlawfully Ties in-App Billing to the Google Play Store 55

b. Google's Monopoly over the IAP Processing Market 57

c. Google Sets IAP Processing Commissions at Will 59

6. Third Party Online Display Advertising 60

a. Ad Servers Market 61

b. Exchange Markets for Display Advertising Market 64

c. Market for Ad Purchase Tools 66

d. Google Forces Publishers into Its Ad Server and Ad Exchange ... 68

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

e. Google Uses Its Control over Inventory to Block Competition on Exchanges 70

f. Header Bidding Evolves to Promote Exchange Competition....74

g. Google Re-Routes Trading to Defeat Header Bidding 75

7. Anticompetitive Agreement with Facebook to Destroy Header Bidding..80

8. Unified Pricing Rules....83

9. Google Forces Advertisers to Use Google's Ad Buying Tools....85

10. Alphabet's Market Dominance 86

a. Market Dominance over General Online Search Engine Services, General Search Text Advertising, and General Search Advertising86

b. Market Dominance over the Android Mobile Operating System and App Distribution....90

c. Market Dominance over IAP Processing....93

d. Market Dominance over Display Advertising on Third-Party Sites94

e. Market Dominance over Instream Online Video Advertising....99

B. Anticompetitive Effects 99

1. General Search Services, General Search Text Advertising, and General Search Advertising....99

2. Android App Distribution 101

3. IAP Processing....103

4. Third-Party Advertising....109

a. Anticompetitive Effects in the Publisher Ad Server Market 111

b. Anticompetitive Effects in the Exchange Market....111

c. Anticompetitive Effects in the Network Market....113

d. Anticompetitive Effects in the Markets for Ad Buying Tools for Small Advertisers and Display Ad Buying Tools for Large Advertisers 113

e. Harm to Innovation 115

C. Google Also Engages in Unfair and Deceptive Conduct that Harms Consumers115

1. False and Misleading Statements About Sideloading Apps 115

...

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

2. False and Misleading Statements About "Openness" 117

3. False and Misleading Statements and Deceptive Conduct Regarding Google Play Billing 120

D. The Individual Defendants Breached Their Fiduciary Duties by Utterly Failing to Institute an Appropriate Reporting System and Consciously Failing to Act to Prevent an Illegal Business Plan 122

1. The Board's Failure to Institute a System of Internal Controls to Monitor the Company's Illegal Business Plans 122

2. The Audit Committee's Failure to Act in the Face of Numerous Red Flags Indicating that the Company Was Carrying Out Illegal Business Plans Violative of U.S. Antitrust Law 127

E. The Department of Justice and State Attorneys General Bring Antitrust Enforcement Claims Against the Company; Private Suits Follow 129

V. DERIVATIVE AND DEMAND FUTILITY ALLEGATIONS 130

VI. CONTROL ALLEGATIONS 134

A. Alphabet Is Controlled by Brin, Page, and Schmidt 134

B. Brin, Page, and Schmidt Directed and Oversaw the Company's Anticompetitive Business Practices 136

C. The Board Fails to Challenge the Anticompetitive Conduct Orchestrated by Brin, Page, and Schmidt 138

VII. DAMAGES TO THE COMPANY 138

VIII. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF 139

COUNT I Breach of Fiduciary Duty (Against the Individual Defendants in Their Capacity as Directors) 139

COUNT II Breach of Fiduciary Duty (Against Brin, Page, Schmidt, and Pichai in Their Capacity as Officers) 140

COUNT III Breach of Fiduciary Duty (Against Brin, Page, and Schmidt in Their Capacity as Controlling Stockholders) 140

COUNT IV Unjust Enrichment (Against the Individual Defendants) 141

COUNT V Corporate Waste (Against the Individual Defendants) 142

IX. PRAYER FOR RELIEF 143

X. JURY DEMAND 145

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.