Case 3:21-cv-09621 Document 1 Filed 12/13/21 Page 1 of 140

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Daniel A. Sasse (State Bar No. 236234) Joanna M. Fuller (State Bar No. 266406) Tiffanie L. McDowell * (State Bar No. 288946) CROWELL & MORING LLP 3 Park Plaza, 20th Floor Irvine, California 92614 Telephone: 949.263.8400 Facsimile: 949.263.8414 DSasse@crowell.com JFuller@crowell.com TMcDowell@crowell.com Kent A. Gardiner * CROWELL & MORING LLP 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20004 Telephone: 202.624.2500 Facsimile: 202.628.5116					
10 11	KGardiner@crowell.com * Pro Hac Vice forthcoming					
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff Humana Inc.					
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
15	HUMANA INC.,					
16	Plaintiff,	Case No.				
	,	COMPLANT AND DEMAND FOR				
17	V.	COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL				
18	GILEAD SCIENCES, INC.; GILEAD HOLDINGS, LLC; GILEAD SCIENCES, LLC					
19	(f/k/a BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB & GILEAD SCIENCES, LLC); GILEAD					
20	SCIENCES IRELAND UC (f/k/a GILEAD					
21	SCIENCES LIMITED); BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY; E.R. SQUIBB &					
22	SONS, L.L.C.; JANSSEN PRODUCTS, L.P.; and JANSSEN R&D IRELAND (f/k/a					
23	TIBOTEC PHARMACEUTICALS),					
24	Defendants.					
25						
26						
37 I						
27						



	TABLE OF CONTENTS	_	
INTRODUCTION		Page 1	
	NATURE OF THE ACTION		
JURISDIC	TION AND VENUE	7	
PARTIES		8	
REGULA	TORY BACKGROUND	11	
A.	The Regulatory Structure for Approval of Generic Drugs and the Substitution of Generic Drugs for Brand Name Drugs.	11	
В.	The Hatch-Waxman Amendments.	12	
C.	Paragraph IV Certifications.	13	
D.	The Benefits of Generic Drugs.	16	
E.	The Impact of Authorized Generics.	18	
DEFENDA	ANTS' ANTICOMPETITIVE CONDUCT	19	
A.	The Origin of Gilead's cART Franchise.	19	
В.	Gilead and BMS Enter into a No-Generics Restraint Agreement Related to Atripla.	21	
C.	Gilead Announces TAF.	25	
D.	Gilead Enters into a Pay-for-Delay and No-AG agreement with Teva Related to Viread.	28	
E.	Gilead and BMS Enter into Pay-for-Delay Agreements Related to Truvada and Atripla.	40	
F.	Gilead and Janssen Enter into No-Generics Restraint Agreement Related to Complera.	53	
G.	Gilead Introduces Stribild.	56	
H.	Gilead and BMS Enter into No-Generics Restraint Agreement Related to Evotaz.	57	
I.	Gilead Orchestrates an Unlawful Product Hop from TDF-based Products to TAF-based Products Before Generic TDF-based Products Can Enter.	59	
J.	Gilead Amends Its No-Generics Restraint Agreement with Janssen to Include Odefsey.	61	
K.	Gilead Further Expands Its TAF-based Product Line with Descovy and Reaps the Profits of Its Product-Hopping Scheme	64	
L.	Gilead Finally Launches Standalone TAF (Vemlidy) but Strategically Elects to Forego Approval for an HIV Indication in Continuing to Impair Competition		
INTERST.	ATE COMMERCE	69	
MARKET	POWER	70	
A.	The Markets for Specific cART Drugs.	71	
R	The cART Market and Narrower Markets Therein	73	



Case 3:21-cv-09621 Document 1 Filed 12/13/21 Page 3 of 140

1	MARKET EFFECTS83	
2	TOLLING84	
3	IMPACT AND CONTINUING INJURY TO PLAINTIFF	
	CLAIMS FOR RELIEF90	
4	DEMAND FOR JUDGMENT	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		



Plaintiff Humana Inc. ("Plaintiff") brings this civil action against Defendants Gilead Sciences, Inc., Gilead Holdings, LLC, Gilead Sciences, LLC (f/k/a Bristol-Myers Squibb & Gilead Sciences, LLC), Gilead Sciences Ireland UC (f/k/a Gilead Sciences Limited) (collectively, "Gilead"), Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, E.R. Squibb & Sons, L.L.C. (collectively, "BMS"), Janssen Products, L.P., and Janssen R&D Ireland (f/k/a Tibotec Pharmaceuticals) (collectively, "Janssen") (collectively, "Defendants") under United States antitrust laws and the laws of various states. Plaintiff alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Since 1981, more than 35 million people worldwide and 700,000 people in the U.S. have died from Human Immunodeficiency Virus ("HIV") infection. Despite the advent of numerous drugs over the past twenty years, the disease continues to affect millions of Americans. As of 2017, more than 1.1 million people in the U.S. were living with HIV and nearly 40,000 new patients are diagnosed with the disease each year.
- 2. Gilead dominates the market for antiretroviral drugs, which are essential to effective HIV treatment. It manufactures three of the four best-selling HIV drugs on the market, as well as many other drugs that are used in HIV combination antiretroviral therapy ("cART"). Presently, more than 80% of U.S. patients starting an HIV drug treatment regimen take one or more of Gilead's products every day.
- 3. Several of Gilead's HIV medications cost less than \$10 to produce; yet for nearly 20 years, Gilead has charged health plans like Plaintiff thousands of dollars for a 30-day supply. With yearly sales in the U.S. exceeding \$13 billion, Gilead has extracted enormous profits from its HIV drugs.
- 4. Gilead's ability to sustain supracompetitive profits in its multi-billion-dollar HIV treatment franchise has been engineered through a comprehensive, illegal scheme to blockade competition. Beginning in 2004, Gilead entered into a series of anticompetitive agreements with competing cART drug makers to:
 - Create branded combination drugs, with express bans on using generic components to create competitive drugs even after patents on the combination drugs expired; and



- Delay market entry by competing generic manufacturers for years beyond the date that Gilead's patents would have been invalidated, in exchange for protecting the generic manufacturers from competition at the point of delayed entry.
- 5. In addition, Gilead engaged in an array of improper, anticompetitive actions to preserve and extend its monopoly cART franchise, including:
 - Intentionally delaying the introduction of safer cART drugs it had developed, so it could fully monetize its less-safe drugs while they were insulated from competition via Gilead's anticompetitive agreements;
 - Switching doctors and patients away from patent-vulnerable drugs while Gilead's delayed generic entry agreements were in effect, leaving doctors and patients with no generic alternatives;
 - Degrading the efficacy of certain of its products that were more vulnerable to competition to induce patients to switch to Gilead's monopoly products; and
 - Otherwise using false and misleading marketing and treatment indications to impede competition and perpetuate Gilead's monopoly positions.
- 6. All of these anticompetitive agreements and actions combined to insulate Gilead's product portfolio from the drastic price erosion that would have occurred with effective competition, and resulted in billions of dollars in annual excess profits that accrued (and continue to accrue) to Gilead and its co-conspirators.
- 7. As further explained below, Defendants' anticompetitive schemes involved unlawful contracts, combinations and restraints of trade in the markets for cART regimen drugs and unlawful monopolization in violation of Sections 1 and 2 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. Sections 1 and 2, and various states' laws.
- 8. As a result of Defendants' anticompetitive conduct, Plaintiff paid more for cART regimen drugs than it otherwise would have paid in the absence of Defendants' unlawful conduct and has sustained, and continues to sustain, damages in the form of overcharges paid for its members' prescriptions of cART regimen drugs.
- 9. Plaintiff seeks redress for the economic harm it has sustained as a result of Defendants' violations of Sections 1 and 2 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. Sections 1 and 2, and various states' laws. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief pursuant to Section 16 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. Section 26.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

