

1 Karma M. Giulianelli (SBN 184175)  
2 karma.giulianelli@bartlitbeck.com  
3 **BARTLIT BECK LLP**  
4 1801 Wewetta St., Suite 1200  
5 Denver, Colorado 80202  
6 Telephone: (303) 592-3100

7 Hae Sung Nam (*pro hac vice*)  
8 hnam@kaplanfox.com  
9 **KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP**  
10 850 Third Avenue  
11 New York, NY 10022  
12 Tel.: (212) 687-1980

13 *Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re*  
14 *Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation*

15 Steve W. Berman (*pro hac vice*)  
16 steve@hbsslaw.com  
17 **HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP**  
18 1301 Second Ave., Suite 2000  
19 Seattle, WA 98101  
20 Telephone: (206) 623-7292

21 *Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re*  
22 *Google Play Developer Antitrust Litigation and*  
23 *Attorneys for Pure Sweat Basketball, Inc.*

24 Bonny E. Sweeney (SBN 176174)  
25 bsweeney@hausfeld.com  
26 **HAUSFELD LLP**  
27 600 Montgomery Street, Suite 3200  
28 San Francisco, CA 94104  
Telephone: (415) 633-1908

*Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re*  
*Google Play Developer Antitrust Litigation and*  
*Attorneys for Peekya App Services, Inc.*

[Additional counsel appear on signature page]

Paul J. Riehle (SBN 115199)  
paul.riehle@faegredrinker.com  
**FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH**  
**LLP**  
Four Embarcadero Center, 27th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: (415) 591-7500

Christine A. Varney (*pro hac vice*)  
cvarney@cravath.com  
**CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP**  
825 Eighth Avenue  
New York, New York 10019  
Telephone: (212) 474-1000

*Counsel for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc. in Epic*  
*Games, Inc. v. Google LLC et al.*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

**IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

*Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC et al.*, Case  
No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD

*In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust  
Litigation*, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD

*In re Google Play Developer Antitrust  
Litigation*, Case No. 3:20-cv-05792-JD

Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD

**NOTICE OF EPIC GAMES INC.'S AND  
DEVELOPER PLAINTIFFS' INTENT TO  
AMEND COMPLAINTS**

Courtroom: 11, 19th Floor  
Judge: Hon. James Donato

1 Ahead of the holiday weekend, in light of the scheduled July 22, 2021 argument on the  
2 defendants' pending Omnibus Motion to Dismiss (Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD, Dkt. 21), Epic  
3 Games, Inc. ("Epic") and plaintiffs in *In re Google Play Developer Antitrust Litigation*  
4 ("Developer Plaintiffs") write to inform the Court of their intent to amend their complaints in  
5 light of document discovery obtained to date. Epic informed all other parties in this MDL action  
6 (with Epic, the "Parties") of its intent to amend its complaint based on documents uncovered in  
7 discovery to date, and tendered a draft of its proposed amended complaint to Google on June 29,  
8 2021. In light of Epic's amendment, Developer Plaintiffs have also decided to amend their  
9 complaint at this time, based on document discovery reviewed to date. Developer Plaintiffs have  
10 not yet prepared a draft of their proposed amended complaint. Epic and Developer Plaintiffs  
11 intend to file their proposed amended complaints—with consent of defendants or pursuant to a  
12 motion—**by July 21, 2021**.

13 Plaintiffs in the *Consumer Antitrust Litigation* ("Consumer Plaintiffs") are prepared to  
14 proceed with oral argument on July 22, 2021, on their operative complaint, as they believe their  
15 current allegations are sufficient to overcome the pending motion to dismiss. And while  
16 discovery to date has revealed additional facts supporting their claims, Consumer Plaintiffs'  
17 preferred course would be for the motion to dismiss to be heard at this juncture and to seek leave  
18 to amend then, if appropriate. If the Court prefers omnibus briefing and a single hearing on a  
19 motion to dismiss, however, Consumer Plaintiffs reserve their right to seek leave to amend their  
20 complaint in accordance with any guidance by the Court.

21 The Parties have begun to meet and confer regarding defendants' position with respect to  
22 the proposed amendments and the schedule for any necessary briefing or the filing of responsive  
23 pleadings. The Parties will provide the Court with an update in the Joint Case Management  
24 Statement due July 15, 2021.

1 Dated: July 2, 2021

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP

2 Christine Varney (*pro hac vice*)  
3 Katherine B. Forrest (*pro hac vice*)  
4 Darin P. McAtee (*pro hac vice*)  
5 Gary A. Bornstein (*pro hac vice*)  
6 Timothy G. Cameron (*pro hac vice*)  
7 Yonatan Even (*pro hac vice*)  
8 Lauren A. Moskowitz (*pro hac vice*)  
9 Omid H. Nasab (*pro hac vice*)  
10 Justin C. Clarke (*pro hac vice*)  
11 M. Brent Byars (*pro hac vice*)

12 FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP

13 Paul J. Riehle (SBN 115199)

14 Respectfully submitted,

15 By: /s/ Yonatan Even

16 Yonatan Even

17 *Counsel for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc.*

18 Dated: July 2, 2021

BARTLIT BECK LLP

Karma M. Giulianelli

KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP

Hae Sung Nam

19 Respectfully submitted,

20 By: /s/ Karma M. Giulianelli

21 Karma M. Giulianelli

22 *Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in*  
23 *In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust*  
24 *Litigation*

1 Dated: July 2, 2021

PRITZKER LEVINE LLP  
Elizabeth C. Pritzker

2 Respectfully submitted,

3  
4 By: /s/ Elizabeth C. Pritzker  
Elizabeth C. Pritzker

5 *Liaison Counsel for the Proposed Class in*  
6 *In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust*  
7 *Litigation*

8 Dated: July 2, 2021

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP  
Steve W. Berman  
Robert F. Lopez  
Benjamin J. Siegel

11 SPERLING & SLATER PC  
12 Joseph M. Vanek  
13 Eamon P. Kelly  
Alberto Rodriguez

14 Respectfully submitted,

15  
16 By: /s/ Steve W. Berman  
Steve W. Berman

17 *Co-Lead Interim Class Counsel for the*  
18 *Developer Class and Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
19 *Pure Sweat Basketball*

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.