	Case 3:22-cv-00001 Docume	ent 1 Filed 01/01/22 Page 1 of 30
1 2	GUTRIDE SAFIER LLP SETH A. SAFIER (State Bar No. 197427) MARIE A. MCCRARY (State Bar No. 262670) HAYLEY REYNOLDS (State Bar No. 306427)	
3 4 5	100 Pine Street, Suite 1250 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 336-6545 Facsimile: (415) 449-6469	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	MOLLY BROWN, individually, and on behalf of the general public and those	CASE NO.
10 11	similarly situated, Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA CONSUMERS LEGAL REMEDIES ACT;
12	v.	FALSE ADVERTISING; FRAUD, DECEIT, AND/OR MISREPRESENTATION;
13	VAN'S INTERNATIONAL FOODS, INC.,	UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES; AND UNJUST ENRICHMENT
14	Defendant.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
15		
16	INTRODUCTION	
17	1. Plaintiff Molly Brown, by and through her counsel, brings this class action against	
18	Defendant Van's International Foods, Inc. to seek redress for its unlawful and deceptive practices	
19	in labeling and marketing its consumer food products.	
20	2. Consumers are increasingly health conscious and, as a result, many consumers	
21	seek foods high in protein. To capitalize on this trend, Defendant prominently labels some of its consumer food products as providing specific amounts of protein per serving depending on the	
22 23	product, such as "10g PLANT-BASED protein" on the front of the Van's Power Grains Protein	
23	Original Waffles. Consumers, in turn, reasonably expect that each product will actually provide	
25	the amount of protein per serving claimed on the front of the product package.	
26	3. However, the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") recognizes that not all	
27	proteins are the same in their ability to meet human nutritional requirements. Some proteins are	
DOCKEI A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .		

not fully digestible within the human gut. When a human body uses up the least prevalent essential
amino acid from a food product, protein synthesis shuts down and all of the remaining amino
acids from that protein source degrade mostly into waste. Likewise, whatever portion of a protein
source is not digestible is similarly unavailable for protein synthesis. A protein's ability to support
human nutritional requirements is known as its "quality."

4. The FDA required method for measuring protein quality is called the "Protein 6 Digestibility Corrected Amino Acid Score"-known by its acronym PDCAAS (pronounced Pee-7 Dee-Kass). It combines a protein source's amino acid profile and its percent digestibility into a 8 discount factor ranging from 0.0 to 1.0 that, when multiplied by the total protein quantity, shows 9 how much protein in a product is actually available to support human nutritional requirements. 10 The regulations term this the "corrected amount of protein per serving." 21 C.F.R. 11 § 101.9(c)(7)(ii). For example, a PDCAAS of .5 means that only half of the protein in that product 12 is actually available to support human protein needs. If the product contained 10 grams total 13 protein per serving, the corrected amount of protein would be only 5 grams per serving. 14

5. Because protein products can vary widely in their ability to support human protein 15 needs (even between two comparator products with the same total protein quantity), the FDA 16 prohibits manufacturers from advertising or promoting their products with a protein claim unless 17 they have calculated the corrected amount of protein per serving based on PDCAAS and provided 18 this information to consumers in the Nutrition Facts Panel ("NFP") in the form of a percent daily 19 20value ("%DV) for protein. 21 C.F.R. § 101.9(c)(7)(i). The %DV is the corrected amount of protein per serving divided by the daily reference value for protein of 50 grams. Id. Using the 21 same example of a product containing 10 grams total protein per serving with a PDCAAS of .5, 22 the %DV is 10% (5g/50g). Had all of the protein in the product been useful in human nutrition, 23 the %DV would be 20% (10g/50g). 24

6. Accordingly, Defendant's products are unlawfully, unfairly and deceptively
misbranded. The protein claims on the front of the package, such as such as "10g PLANT-BASED
protein" are unlawful and in violation of parallel state and federal requirements because

Defendant failed to provide a %DV for protein in the NFP calculated according to the PDCAAS
 methodology.

7. Moreover, because Defendant's protein claim is in the form of a quantitative 3 amount appearing alone, without any information about protein quality, it is also separately 4 actionable as misleading. FDA regulations prohibit a manufacturer from stating "the amount or 5 percentage of a nutrient" on the front label if it is "false or misleading in any respect." 21 C.F.R. 6 § 101.13(i)(3). The primary protein sources in Defendant's products are wheat and oats. Both are 7 low quality proteins with PDCAAS scores that range between 0.4 and 0.5. Accordingly, although 8 Defendant advertises its products with a "10g PLANT-BASED protein" claim, it actually 9 provides, in a form that humans can use, as little as 5 grams of protein, i.e., less than half the 10 protein consumers reasonable expect to receive based on the label. This is misleading. 11

8. Defendant's unlawful and misleading protein claims caused Plaintiff and members of the class to pay a price premium for the products.

PARTIES

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

9.

Molly Brown ("Plaintiff") is an individual and a resident of Novato, California.

10. Defendant Van's International Foods, Inc. ("Defendant") is a corporation existing under the laws of California with its principal place of business in Oakbrook Terrace, Illinois, and is registered to do business in California.

19

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

11. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2). The aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs; and at least one Plaintiff and Defendant are citizens of different states.

12. The injuries, damages and/or harm upon which this action is based, occurred or
arose out of activities engaged in by Defendant within, affecting, and emanating from, the State
of California. Defendant regularly conducts and/or solicits business in, engages in other persistent
courses of conduct in, and/or derives substantial revenue from products provided to persons in
the State of California. Defendant has engaged, and continues to engage, in substantial and

an ana atiana in the Otata of Californi

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

1 13. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a
 2 substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in the state of
 3 California, including within this District.

14. In accordance with California Civil Code Section 1780(d), Plaintiff Brown concurrently files herewith a declaration establishing that, at various times throughout the class period, she purchased Van's Protein Waffles in Original, Blueberry, and Chocolate Chip flavors, as well as Van's Protein Pancakes in Chocolate Chip flavor from Whole Foods stores in San Rafael and Novato, California and Sprouts stores in San Rafael and Petaluma, California from approximately 2019 to February 2021. (Plaintiff's declaration is attached hereto as Exhibit A.)

15.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

10

4

5

6

7

8

9

Plaintiff accordingly alleges that jurisdiction and venue are proper in this Court.

SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

16. Defendant manufactures, distributes, markets, advertises, and sells breakfast food products in the United States under the brand name "Vans." Some of these products, including waffles and pancakes, have packaging that predominately, uniformly, and consistently states on the principal display panel of the product labels that they contain and provide a certain amount of protein per serving. Plaintiff has attached as Exhibit B a non-exhaustive list of the Vans products that make protein claims on the front of the product packages. The products listed in Exhibit B, and any other Vans brand product that claims a specific amount of protein on the front of its label, will hereinafter be referred to as the "Products."

17. The representation that the Products contain and provide a specific amount of protein per serving was uniformly communicated to Plaintiff and every other person who purchased any of the Products in California and the United States. The same or substantially similar product label has appeared on each Product during the entirety of the Class Period in the general form of the following example:

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com



18. The nutrition facts panel on the Products uniformly and consistently failed to provide any referenced percent daily value of the Products' protein content throughout the Class Period. The nutrition facts panel of the Products has appeared consistently throughout the Class Period in the general form of the following example:

Nutrition Facts 4 servings per container Serving size 2 pancakes unt per serving Calories 190 % Daily Value* Total Fat 5g 6% 0% Saturated Fat 0 Trans Fat Og Cholesterol Omg 0% Sodium 280mg 12% **Total Carbohydrate** 10% 27g Dietary Fiber 1g 4% Total Sugars 5g Includes 4g Adde 8% Sugars Protein 10g Vitamin D 0mcg 0% Calcium 30mg 2% Iron 2mg 10% Potassium 58mg 2% Disclaimer: Nutritional information is subject to change. See product label to verify ingredients and allergen

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Δ

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.