

**KESSLER TOPAZ MELTZER  
& CHECK, LLP**

Jennifer L. Joost (Bar No. 296164)  
One Sansome Street, Suite 1850  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
Tel: (415) 400-3000  
Fax: (415) 400-3001  
jjoost@ktmc.com

*Liaison Counsel for the Proposed Class*

**LABATON SUCHAROW LLP**

James W. Johnson (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Michael H. Rogers (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Irina Vasilchenko (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Robert S. Rowley (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
140 Broadway  
New York, NY 10005  
Tel: (212) 907-0700  
Fax: (212) 818-0477  
jjohnson@labaton.com  
mrogers@labaton.com  
ivasilchenko@labaton.com  
rrowley@labaton.com

*Counsel for Lead Plaintiff  
and Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

RICHARD R. WESTON, Individually and  
on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

DOCUSIGN, INC., DANIEL D.  
SPRINGER, MICHAEL J. SHERIDAN,  
CYNTHIA GAYLOR, and LOREN  
ALHADEFF,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:22-cv-00824-WHO

BENJAMIN LAPIN, derivatively on behalf  
of DOCUSIGN, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

DANIEL D. SPRINGER, ENRIQUE  
SALEM, PETER SOLVIK, INHI CHO  
SUH, MARY AGNES WILDEROTTER,  
TERESA BRIGGS, BLAKE J. IRVING,  
JAMES BEER, CAIN A. HAYES,  
CYNTHIA GAYLOR, MICHAEL J.  
SHERIDAN, and LOREN ALHADEFF,

Defendants.

and

Case No.: 3:22-cv-02980-WHO

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

DOCUSIGN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,  
  
Nominal Defendant.

---

PETER VOTTO, derivatively on behalf of DOCUSIGN INC.,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
DANIEL SPRINGER, MICHAEL SHERIDAN, CYNTHIA GAYLOR, SCOTT OLRICH, ENRIQUE S ALEM, PETER SOLVIK, INHI CHO SUH, MARY AGNES WILDEROTTER, TERESA BRIGGS, BLAKE IRVING, JAMES BEER, and CAIN HAYES,  
  
Defendants,  
  
DOCUSIGN, INC.,  
  
Nominal Defendant.

Case No.: Case No. 4:22-cv-02987-WHO

**AMENDED CLASS ACTION  
COMPLAINT FOR  
VIOLATIONS OF THE  
FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS**

CLASS ACTION

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	NATURE OF THE ACTION .....	2
A.	Overview of DocuSign’s Business .....	3
B.	The COVID-19 Pandemic Creates Unprecedented Demand Growth for eSignature.....	4
C.	By the Summer of 2020, Defendants Are Aware of Alarming Internal Warning Signs That This Record-High Demand Is Temporary but Falsely Assure Investors That DocuSign’s Growth Is Sustainable .....	5
D.	By Early 2021, Defendants Internally Know That Demand Issues Have Worsened but Continue to Falsely Reassure Investors That Demand Remains Sustainable.....	9
E.	Defendants’ Knowledge of Increased Competition and DocuSign’s Failing CLM Sales Further Undermines Their Affirmations of Sustainable Growth.....	11
F.	Defendants Continue to Publicly Deny Any Adverse Demand Trends in Late 2020 and Early 2021 .....	12
G.	By the Summer of 2021, Defendants Are Well Aware That the Record-High Demand Generated During COVID-19 Was at an End .....	14
H.	Analyst Reports Confirm That the Market Was Materially Misled by Defendants’ Misrepresentations.....	15
I.	The Relevant Truth Begins to Emerge in December 2021 .....	16
II.	JURISDICTION AND VENUE .....	18
III.	PARTIES .....	19
A.	Lead Plaintiffs.....	19
B.	Defendants .....	20
C.	Relevant Third Parties.....	22
IV.	SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS OF FRAUD.....	29
A.	Overview of DocuSign’s Business .....	29
1.	DocuSign Pioneers eSignature.....	29
2.	DocuSign’s Business Model Focuses on Growth over Profitability .....	30
B.	The COVID-19 Pandemic Forces a Massive Shift to a Remote Work Environment, Fueling Explosive Growth for DocuSign’s	

1	C.	Unbeknownst to Investors, Defendants Are Aware of Numerous Internal Red Flags That DocuSign’s Explosive Growth in Early 2020 Due to the COVID-19 Pandemic Is Unsustainable .....	38
2			
3	1.	New Customers Inform DocuSign That Demand for DocuSign’s eSignature Product Is Only Temporary Due to the COVID-19 Pandemic .....	38
4			
5	(a)	Internally, Customers Tell DocuSign by June 2020 That Their Need for DocuSign’s Products Is for One-Off Uses Related to the Pandemic and That They Will Not Renew After the Pandemic Subsides .....	39
6			
7	(b)	Customers Refuse to Sign Long-Term Contracts .....	45
8			
9	2.	Defendants Predicate Their Early Class Period Statements Regarding Customer Retention on Outdated, Pre-COVID-19 Information .....	48
10			
11	3.	While Aware of These Red Flags Showing That DocuSign’s High Demand in Early 2020 Was Fleeting, Defendants Falsely Assure Investors That This COVID-19-Fueled Growth Is Sustainable .....	51
12			
13	D.	As the COVID-19 Pandemic Recedes Beginning in Late 2020, Demand for DocuSign Begins to Decline, as Customers Previously Warned .....	55
14			
15	1.	In Late 2020, as Businesses Adapt to the COVID-19 Pandemic and Vaccines Enter the Final Stages of Clinical Trials, Demand Wanes and Customers Reduce or Cancel Their DocuSign Subscriptions .....	55
16			
17	2.	Increased Competition from Adobe Further Drives Down Demand for eSignature .....	66
18			
19	3.	DocuSign Struggles to Sell CLM from the Outset of the Class Period, Further Undermining Defendants’ Claims of Sustainable Growth .....	68
20			
21	4.	While Knowing That Demand Continued to Decline, Defendants Publicly Continue to Repeat Their False Narrative of Durable Growth in Late 2020 and Early 2021 .....	73
22			
23	E.	By the Summer of 2021, Defendants Are Well Aware That the Record Demand Generated During COVID Is at an End .....	78
24			
25	F.	Despite Knowing of Alarming Internal Data to the Contrary, Defendants Continue to Publicly Deny Any Adverse Trends in Summer and Fall of 2021 .....	81
26			
27	G.	The Relevant Truth Regarding DocuSign’s Unsustainable COVID-Fueled Growth Slowly Emerges .....	83
28			

1	1.	DocuSign Reports the Second-Lowest Billings Growth in Company History, but Defendants Continue to Reassure Investors That Demand Remains Durable .....	83
2			
3	2.	Defendants Disclose a Second Consecutive Quarter of Disappointing Billings Growth, Which Declines Below Pre-COVID-19 Levels .....	86
4			
5	3.	The Relevant Truth Is Fully Revealed When DocuSign Releases Disappointing Growth for the Third Consecutive Quarter and Reduces Billings Guidance .....	88
6			
7	H.	Post-Class Period Developments .....	90
8	V.	DEFENDANTS' FALSE AND MISLEADING STATEMENTS .....	91
9	A.	June 4, 2020 – Press Release and 1Q 2021 Earnings Call.....	91
10	B.	June 10, 2020 – William Blair Growth Stock Conference .....	96
11	C.	September 3, 2020 2Q 2021 Earnings Call.....	97
12	D.	September 9, 2020 – DA Davidson Software and Internet Conference .....	99
13			
14	E.	September 14, 2020 Deutsche Bank Virtual Technology Conference .....	100
15	F.	September 15, 2020 – Jefferies Software Virtual Conference.....	101
16	G.	December 3, 2020 – 3Q 2021 Earnings Call .....	102
17	H.	January 11, 2021 – Needham Virtual Growth Conference.....	103
18	I.	March 1, 2021 – Morgan Stanley Technology, Media and Telecom Conference .....	104
19	J.	March 11, 2021 – 4Q 2021 Earnings Call .....	106
20	K.	March 24, 2021 – DocuSign Virtual Financial Analyst Day.....	108
21	L.	March 31, 2021 – Alhadeff Tweet.....	110
22			
23	M.	June 3, 2021 – 1Q 2022 Earnings Call .....	110
24	N.	June 10, 2021 – Robert W. Baird Global Consumer, Technology & Services Conference.....	111
25	O.	September 2, 2021 – 2Q 2022 Earnings Call.....	113
26	P.	September 8, 2021 - Wolfe Research Inaugural TMT Conference .....	115
27	Q.	December 2, 2021 - 3Q 2022 Earnings Call and First Partial Corrective Disclosure/Materialization of the Risk .....	115
28			

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.