		10
United States District Court	rthern District of California	11
		12
		13
		14
		15
		16
		17
)	$\frac{8}{2}$	18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAWN DANGAARD, KELLY GILBERT, and JENNIFER ALLBAUGH,

Plaintiffs,

No. C 22-01101 WHA

v.

INSTAGRAM, LLC, FACEBOOK OPERATIONS, LLC, FENIX INTERNET, LLC, FENIX INTERNATIONAL, LTD., META PLATFORMS, INC., LEONID RADVINSKY, and JOHN DOES 1–10,

Defendants.

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING

Plaintiffs move for leave to file supplemental briefing in support of their opposition to defendants' anti-SLAPP motion to strike (made pursuant to FRCP 12(b)(6)). But plaintiffs have not shown that either exception under Civil Local Rule 7-3(d) applies. Moreover, even if we treat plaintiffs' opposition as a "noticed motion" for specified discovery, and even without considering defendants' reply, plaintiffs have failed to show "good cause" for discovery. Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 425.16(g). Plaintiffs' motion is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 2, 2022.



