

1 KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP  
 BENJAMIN BERKOWITZ - # 244441  
 2 bberkowitz@keker.com  
 MICHELLE YBARRA - # 260697  
 3 mybarra@keker.com  
 CODY S. HARRIS - # 255302  
 4 charris@keker.com  
 KRISTIN HUCEK - # 321853  
 5 khucek@keker.com  
 GREGORY WASHINGTON - # 318796  
 6 gwashington@keker.com  
 LUKE APFELD - # 327029  
 7 lapfeld@keker.com  
 633 Battery Street  
 8 San Francisco, CA 94111-1809  
 Telephone: 415 391 5400  
 9 Facsimile: 415 397 7188

10 Attorneys for Defendant  
 11 GOOGLE LLC

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

14  
 15 LEFT FIELD HOLDINGS, et al.,  
 16 Plaintiffs,  
 17 v.  
 18 GOOGLE LLC, a Delaware limited liability  
 company,  
 19 Defendant.  
 20

Case No. 3:22-CV-01462-VC

**GOOGLE LLC’S NOTICE OF MOTION  
 AND MOTION TO DISMISS  
 PLAINTIFFS’ FIRST AMENDED  
 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT;  
 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND  
 AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT**

**Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6), 9(b)**

Date: September 29, 2022  
 Time: 2:30 p.m.  
 Court: 4; 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 Judge: Hon. Vince Chhabria

Date Filed: March 8, 2022

Trial Date: Not Yet Set

28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. BACKGROUND .....	3
A. Plaintiffs contract with Delivery Providers to fulfill online food orders.....	3
B. Google Business Profiles and Food Ordering Feature.....	5
III. LEGAL STANDARD.....	8
IV. ARGUMENT .....	9
A. Google’s alleged conduct is protected as nominative fair use.....	9
B. Plaintiffs lack standing under the Lanham Act.....	13
1. Plaintiffs have alleged no injury to their reputation or sales. ....	14
2. Plaintiffs cannot satisfy the proximate-cause requirement. ....	16
C. Plaintiffs’ false advertising claim fails. ....	17
D. Plaintiffs fail to allege a counterfeiting claim.....	21
V. CONCLUSION.....	24

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Page(s)**

**Federal Cases**

1  
2  
3  
4 *1800 Get Thin, LLC v. Hiltzik,*  
5 2011 WL 3206486 (C.D. Cal. July 25, 2011).....10  
6  
7 *578539 B.C., Ltd. v. Kortz,*  
8 2014 WL 12572679 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 16, 2014).....13  
9  
10 *Alfasigma USA, Inc. v. First Databank, Inc.,*  
11 2022 WL 899848 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 28, 2022).....17  
12  
13 *Alfasigma USA, Inc. v. First Databank, Inc.,*  
14 525 F. Supp. 3d 1088 (N.D. Cal. 2021) .....20  
15  
16 *Applied Underwriters, Inc. v. Lichtenegger,*  
17 913 F.3d 884 (9th Cir. 2019) .....10  
18  
19 *Architectural Mailboxes, LLC v. Epoch Design, LLC,*  
20 2011 WL 1630809 (S.D. Cal. Apr. 28, 2011).....10  
21  
22 *Arcona, Inc. v. Farmacy Beauty, LLC,*  
23 976 F.3d 1079 (9th Cir. 2020) .....21, 22  
24  
25 *Ariix, LLC v. NutriSystem Corp.,*  
26 985 F.3d 1107 (9th Cir. 2021) .....19, 20  
27  
28 *Ashcroft v. Iqbal,*  
556 U.S. 662 (2009).....8, 11  
*Beachbody, LLC v. Universal Nutrients, LLC,*  
2016 WL 3912014 (C.D. Cal. July 18, 2016).....10  
*Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly,*  
550 U.S. 544 (2007).....8  
*Belmora LLC v. Bayer Consumer Care AG,*  
819 F.3d 697 (4th Cir. 2016) .....13  
*Cairns v. Franklin Mint Co.,*  
292 F.3d 1139 (9th Cir. 2002) .....9, 13  
*Carrico v. City & Cnty. of San Francisco,*  
656 F.3d 1002 (9th Cir. 2011) .....9  
*Carter v. Oath Holdings, Inc.,*  
2018 WL 3067985 (N.D. Cal. June 21, 2018).....11, 23

1 *Charlotte’s Web, Inc. v. AAXLL Supply Co. LLC,*  
 2 2020 WL 6891876 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 24, 2020) .....14, 15

3 *Cisco Sys., Inc. v. Dexon Computer, Inc.,*  
 4 2021 WL 5848080 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 9, 2021).....19

5 *Clorox Company v. Reckitt Benckiser Grp. PLC,*  
 6 398 F. Supp. 3d 623 (N.D. Cal. 2019) .....19

7 *Dr. Seuss Enters., L.P. v. ComicMix LLC,*  
 8 256 F. Supp. 3d 1099 (S.D. Cal. 2017).....10

9 *Ely Holdings Ltd. v. O’Keeffe’s, Inc.,*  
 10 2019 WL 3779197 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 12, 2019) .....8, 19

11 *Gearsourc Holdings, LLC v. Google LLC,*  
 12 2020 WL 3833258 (N.D. Cal. July 8, 2020).....18

13 *Genus Lifesciences v. Lannett Co., Inc.,*  
 14 378 F. Supp. 3d 823 (N.D. Cal. 2019) .....19

15 *Gibson Brands, Inc. v. John Hornby Skewes & Co. Ltd.,*  
 16 2016 WL 7479317 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 29, 2016) .....22

17 *Gucci Am., Inc. v. Guess, Inc.,*  
 18 868 F. Supp. 2d 207 (S.D.N.Y. 2012).....21

19 *Hasbro, Inc. v. Asus Comput. Int’l, Inc.,*  
 20 2012 WL 13012663 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 23, 2012).....12

21 *Kaloud, Inc. v. Shisha Land Wholesale, Inc.,*  
 22 741 Fed. App’x. 393 (9th Cir. 2018) .....21

23 *Lasoff v. Amazon.com, Inc.,*  
 24 741 Fed. App’x 400 (9th Cir. 2018) .....18

25 *LegalForce, Inc. v. LegalZoom.com, Inc.,*  
 26 2019 WL 2088416 (N.D. Cal. May 13, 2019) .....13

27 *Lexmark Inter., Inc. v. Static Control Components, Inc.,*  
 28 572 U.S. 118 (2014)..... *passim*

*Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. v. Akanoc Sols., Inc.,*  
 658 F.3d 936 (9th Cir. 2011) .....2, 21

*Maffick LLC v. Facebook, Inc.,*  
 2021 WL 1893074 (N.D. Cal. May 11, 2021) .....16, 20

1 *Monte Carlo Shirt, Inc. v. Daewoo Int’l (Am.) Corp.*,  
 2 707 F.2d 1054 (9th Cir. 1983) .....22

3 *Multi Time Machine, Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc.*,  
 4 804 F.3d 930 (9th Cir. 2015) .....12, 22, 23

5 *Network Automation, Inc. v. Advanced Sys. Concepts, Inc.*,  
 6 638 F.3d 1137 (9th Cir. 2011) .....12

7 *New Kids on the Block v. News Am. Publ’g, Inc.*,  
 8 971 F.2d 302 (9th Cir. 1992) ..... *passim*

9 *Parks LLC v. Tyson Foods, Inc.*,  
 10 863 F.3d 220 (3d Cir. 2017).....18

11 *PC Drivers Headquarters, LP v. Malwarebytes Inc.*,  
 12 371 F. Supp. 3d 652 (N.D. Cal. 2019) .....10

13 *Playboy Enters., Inc. v. Welles*,  
 14 279 F.3d 796 (9th Cir. 2002) .....10, 11

15 *Prestonettes, Inc. v. Coty*,  
 16 264 U.S. 359 (1924).....9

17 *Salvati v. BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP*,  
 18 2011 WL 13217977 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 18, 2011) .....14

19 *Sebastian Int’l, Inc. v. Longs Drug Stores Corp.*,  
 20 53 F. 3d 1073 (9th Cir. 1995) .....22

21 *Shell Trademark Mgmt. BV v. Canadian Am. Oil Co., Inc.*,  
 22 2002 WL 32104586 (N.D. Cal. May 21, 2002) .....23

23 *Somers v. Apple, Inc.*,  
 24 729 F.3d 953 (9th Cir. 2013) .....8

25 *Sprewell v. Golden State Warriors*,  
 26 266 F.3d 979 (9th Cir. 2001) .....8

27 *ThermoLife Int’l, LLC v. BPI Sports, LLC*,  
 28 2022 WL 612669 (9th Cir. Mar. 2, 2022).....14, 15

*ThermoLife Int’l LLC v. Sparta Nutrition LLC*,  
 2020 WL 248164 (D. Ariz. Jan. 16, 2020) .....14, 15, 17

*Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc. v. Tabari*,  
 610 F.3d 1171 (9th Cir. 2010) ..... *passim*

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.