

1 BRIAN M. BOYNTON  
2 Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General  
3 Civil Division

4 LESLEY FARBY  
5 Assistant Branch Director  
6 Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch

7 LESLIE COOPER VIGEN  
8 Trial Attorney (DC Bar No. 1019782)  
9 Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch  
10 United States Department of Justice  
11 1100 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005  
12 Telephone: (202) 305-0727  
13 Email: leslie.vigen@usdoj.gov

14 *Counsel for United States*

15                   **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
16                   **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
17                   **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

18 Brayden STARK, Judd  
19 OOSTYEN, Kevin BLACK, and  
20 Maryann OWENS, individually  
21 and on behalf of all others  
22 similarly situated,

23                   Plaintiffs,

24                   v.

25                   PATREON, INC.,

26                   Defendant.

27                   **No. 3:22-cv-03131-JCS**

28                   **UNITED STATES OF AMERICA'S  
MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF THE CONSTITUTIONALITY  
OF THE VIDEO PRIVACY  
PROTECTION ACT**

Hon. Joseph C. Spero

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION .....	1
2	BACKGROUND .....	2
3	I. Legal Background .....	2
4	II. Plaintiffs' Claims .....	5
5	III. Procedural History .....	6
6	ARGUMENT .....	8
7	I. The Overbreadth Doctrine Has Limited Application and Does Not Apply to Commercial Speech. ....	8
8	II. The VPPA Regulates Commercial Speech. ....	10
9	III. The VPPA Withstands Intermediate Scrutiny. ....	14
10	IV. Defendant's Hypothesized Non-Commercial Applications of the VPPA Do Not Render the Statute Substantially Overbroad.....	17
11	CONCLUSION.....	21
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1  
2           **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**  
3

4           **Cases**  
5

6 <i>Amazon.com v. Lay,</i> 7           758 F. Supp. 2d 1154 (W.D. Wash. 2010).....	16
8 <i>Ariix, LLC v. NutriSearch Corp.,</i> 9           985 F.3d 1107 (9th Cir. 2021).....	12, 13
10 <i>Ashwander v. TVA,</i> 11          297 U.S. 288 (1936) .....	8
12 <i>Barr v. Am. Ass'n of Political Consultants, Inc.,</i> 13          140 S. Ct. 2335 (2020).....	9
14 <i>Bartnicki v. Vopper,</i> 15          532 U.S. 514 (2001) .....	9
16 <i>Bd. of Trustees of State Univ. of N.Y. v. Fox,</i> 17          492 U.S. 469 (1989) .....	10, 16
18 <i>Boelter v. Advance Magazine Publishers Inc. (Advance Magazine),</i> 19          210 F. Supp. 3d 579 (S.D.N.Y. 2016).....	<i>passim</i>
20 <i>Boelter v. Hearst Commc'ns, Inc. (Hearst I),</i> 21          192 F. Supp. 3d 427 (S.D.N.Y. 2016).....	<i>passim</i>
22 <i>Boelter v. Hearst Commc'ns, Inc. (Hearst II),</i> 23          269 F. Supp. 3d 172 (S.D.N.Y. 2017) .....	4, 5
24 <i>Bolger v. Youngs Drugs Prod. Corp.,</i> 25          463 U.S. 60 (1983) .....	12
26 <i>Broadrick v. Oklahoma,</i> 27          413 U.S. 601 (1973) .....	9
28 <i>Burson v. Freeman,</i> 29          504 U.S. 191 (1992) .....	17
30 <i>Cent. Hudson Gas &amp; Elec. Corp. v. Pub. Serv. Comm'n of N.Y.,</i> 31          447 U.S. 557 (1980) .....	<i>passim</i>
32 <i>Conn. Bar Ass'n v. United States,</i> 33          620 F.3d 81 (2d Cir. 2010) .....	4, 13

1	<i>Czarnionka v. The Epoch Times Ass'n, Inc.</i> , 2022 WL 17069810 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 17, 2022) .....	11, 15
2		
3	<i>Edenfield v. Fane</i> , 507 U.S. 761 (1993) .....	15
4		
5	<i>Eichenberger v. ESPN</i> , 876 F.3d 979 (9th Cir. 2017) .....	3, 11, 14, 20
6		
7	<i>Ellis v. Cartoon Network</i> , 803 F.3d 1251 (11th Cir. 2015) .....	17
8		
9	<i>First Resort, Inc v. Herrera</i> , 860 F.3d 1263 (9th Cir. 2017) .....	12, 13
10		
11	<i>Fla. Bar v. Went For It, Inc.</i> , 515 U.S. 618 (1995) .....	15
12		
13	<i>French v. Jones</i> , 876 F.3d 1228 (9th Cir. 2017) .....	16
14		
15	<i>Holt v. Facebook, Inc.</i> , 240 F. Supp. 3d 1021 (N.D. Cal. 2017) .....	16
16		
17	<i>Hunt v. City of Los Angeles</i> , 638 F.3d 703 (9th Cir. 2011) .....	12
18		
19	<i>IMDb.com Inc. v. Becerra</i> , 962 F.3d 1111 (9th Cir. 2020) .....	13, 14
20		
21	<i>In re Facebook, Inc. Consumer Privacy User Profile Litig.</i> , 402 F. Supp. 3d 767 (N.D. Cal. 2019) .....	11, 20
22		
23	<i>In re Hulu Privacy Litig.</i> , 86 F. Supp. 3d 1090 (N.D. Cal. 2015) .....	11
24		
25	<i>In re Nickelodeon Consumer Privacy Litig.</i> , 827 F.3d 262 (3d Cir. 2016) .....	2, 3
26		
27	<i>In re Vizio, Inc., Consumer Privacy Litig.</i> , 238 F. Supp. 3d 1204 (C.D. Cal. 2017) .....	15
28		
	<i>Jordan v. Jewel Food Stores, Inc.</i> , 743 F.3d 509 (7th Cir. 2014) .....	12
	<i>L.A. Police Dep't v. United Reporting Pub. Corp.</i> , 528 U.S. 32 (1999) .....	9, 12

1	<i>Lebakken v. WebMD, LLC,</i> 2022 WL 16716151 (N.D. Ga. Nov. 4, 2022) .....	11, 15, 17
2		
3	<i>Marquez-Reyes v. Garland,</i> 36 F.4th 1195 (9th Cir. 2022) .....	9
4		
5	<i>Members of City Council of L.A. v. Taxpayers for Vincent,</i> 466 U.S. 789 (1984) .....	9
6		
7	<i>Mollett v. Netflix, Inc.,</i> 795 F.3d 1062 (9th Cir. 2015) .....	2
8		
9	<i>New York v. Ferber,</i> 458 U.S. 747 (1982) .....	9
10		
11	<i>Retail Digital Network, LLC v. Prieto,</i> 861 F.3d 839 (9th Cir. 2017) .....	14
12		
13	<i>Rubin v. Coors Brewing Co.,</i> 514 U.S. 476 (1995) .....	15
14		
15	<i>Sabri v. United States,</i> 541 U.S. 600 (2004) .....	8
16		
17	<i>Trans Union Corp. v. FTC,</i> 245 F.3d 809 (D.C. Cir. 2001) .....	15
18		
19	<i>United Reporting Publ'g Corp. v. Cal. Highway Patrol,</i> 146 F.3d 1133 (9th Cir. 1998) .....	12, 13
20		
21	<i>United States v. Sineneng-Smith,</i> 140 S. Ct. 1575 (2020) .....	9
22		
23	<i>United States v. Williams,</i> 553 U.S. 285 (2008) .....	5, 9, 10
24		
25	<i>Va. State Bd. of Pharm. v. Va. Citizens Consumer Council, Inc.,</i> 425 U.S. 748 (1976) .....	12
26		
27	<i>Van Patten v. Vertical Fitness Grp.,</i> 847 F.3d 1037 (9th Cir. 2017) .....	20
28		
	<i>Vill. of Hoffman Estates v. Flipside, Hoffman Estates, Inc.,</i> 455 U.S. 489 (1982) .....	10
	<i>Wash. State Grange v. Wash. State Republican Party,</i> 552 U.S. 442 (2008) .....	8, 9

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.