UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LAUREN PRICE.

Plaintiff.

N.		

TWITTER, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 22-cv-03173-SK

**ORDER ON MOTION TO DISMISS** Regarding Docket Nos. 29, 30

This matter comes before the Court upon consideration of Twitter, Inc.'s motion to dismiss. Having carefully considered the parties' papers, relevant legal authority, and the record in the case, and having had the benefit of oral argument, the Court hereby grants Twitter's motion for the reasons set forth below. The Court GRANTS Twitter's request for judicial notice. Fed. R. Evid. 201.

## BACKGROUND

Plaintiff Lauren Price brings this putative class action against Twitter related to Twitter's 18 disclosure of Plaintiff's and the purported class's telephone numbers and email addresses 19 ("personal information"). (Dkt. No. 1 (Compl.), ¶ 3.) Plaintiff alleges that Twitter disclosed her 20 21 personal information for advertising and marketing purposes. (Id.) Twitter collected Plaintiff's personal information under the guise that it would be used for security related functions, such as 22 two-factor authentication and account recovery. (Id.,  $\P$  4.) However, Twitter also used this 23 personal information for its marketing products, enabling advertisers to target specific groups of 24 Twitter users by matching telephone numbers and email addresses that Twitter had collected to the 25 advertisers' own existing or purchased lists of telephone numbers and email addresses. (Id., ¶ 5.) 26 Commercial entities regularly use Twitter to advertise to consumers. Of the \$3.4 billion in that Twitter asrnad in 2010 \$2.00 billion was from advartising (Id = 2A) While

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Northern District of California United States District Court

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

27

### Case 3:22-cv-03173-SK Document 50 Filed 12/06/22 Page 2 of 10

Twitter represented to users, including Plaintiff, that it collected users' telephone numbers and email addresses to secure their accounts, Twitter failed to disclose that it also used their personal information to aid advertisers in reaching their preferred audiences. (*Id.*,  $\P$  27.) Twitter's misrepresentations violate an order from the Federal Trade Commission issued in 2011, which specifically prohibited Twitter from making misrepresentations regarding the security of nonpublic consumer information such as their emails and phone numbers. (*Id.*,  $\P$  28.)

7 The International Trade Administration of the U.S. Department of Commerce (the 8 "Commerce Department") coordinated with the European Commission and the Swiss 9 Administration to craft Privacy Shield Frameworks for commercial data transfers. (Id., ¶ 56.) Companies self-certify and annually affirm to the Commerce Department that they complied with 10 the Privacy Shield Principles, including that "[a]n organization may not process personal 11 12 information in a way that is incompatible with the purposes for which it has been collected or 13 subsequently authorized by the individual." (Id.,  $\P$  57.) A company under the FTC's jurisdiction 14 that self-certified to the Privacy Shield Principles, but failed to comply with the Privacy Shield, 15 may be subject to an enforcement action based on the FTC's deception authority under Section 5 16 of the FTC Act. (Id., ¶ 58.) On November 16, 2016, Twitter self-certified its participation in the Privacy Shield and has reaffirmed its participation in the Privacy Shield to the Commerce 17 18 Department each year thereafter. (*Id.*,  $\P$  60.) 19 Twitter states in its Terms of Service: 20"Privacv Policy Twitter's (https://www.twitter.com/privacy) describes how we handle the information you provide to us when you 21 use our Services. You understand that through your use of the Services you consent to the collection and use (as set forth in the 22 Privacy Policy) of this information . . . 23 (*Id.*, ¶ 64.) Twitter's Privacy Policy in turn states: 24We believe you should always know what data we collect from you

and how we use it, and that you should have meaningful control over both. We want to empower you to make the best decisions about the information that you share with us.
(Dkt. No. 29-3 (Privacy Policy attached as Ex. B to the Declaration of Susan B. Engel), p. 1.)

When you use Twitter, even if you're just looking at Tweets, we

United States District Court Northern District of California

25

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

## Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

you're using and your IP address. You can choose to share additional information with us like your email address, phone number, address book contacts, and a public profile. We use this information for things like keeping your account secure and showing you more relevant Tweets, people to follow, events, and ads.

We give you control through your settings to limit the data we collect from you and how we use it, and to control things like account security, marketing preferences, apps that can access your account, and address book contacts you've uploaded to Twitter. You can also download information you have shared on Twitter.

(*Id.*, p. 2.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

27

We use your contact information, such as your email address or phone number, to authenticate your account and keep it - and our services secure, and to help prevent spam, fraud, and abuse. We also use contact information to enable certain account features (for example, for login verification or Twitter via SMS), and to send you information about our services, and to personalize our services, including ads. . .

We share or disclose your personal data with your consent or at your direction, such as when you authorize a third-party web client or application to access your account or when you direct us to share your feedback with a business . . . .

Subject to your settings, we also provide certain third parties with personal data to help us offer or operate our services. You can learn more about these partnerships in our Help Center, and you can control whether Twitter shares your personal data in this way by using the "Allow additional information sharing with business partners" option in your Personalization and Data settings. (This setting does not control sharing described elsewhere in our Privacy Policy, such as when we share data with our service providers, or through partnerships other than as described in our Help Center.)

(*Id.*, § 3.1.)

Plaintiff alleges that Twitter violated its Privacy Policy because Plaintiff and the purported

22 class members did not "know what data" Twitter "collect[ed] from [them] and how we use[d] it,"

23 because Plaintiff and purported class members did not have "have meaningful control over both,"

- 24 because Twitter did not give its users "control through [their] settings to limit the data we collect
- 25 from you and how we use" it, and because Twitter did "share or disclose [users'] personal data"
- 26 without their "consent or at [users'] direction. (Dkt. No. 1, ¶ 68.)
  - Based on these allegations, Plaintiff brings the following four claims against Twitter: (1)

and (2) have a file in all a contracts (2) and the firm of California's II afair

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com

United States District Court Northern District of California

<sup>(</sup>Id., § 1.3 (emphasis added).)

Competition Law ("UCL"), California Business & Professions Code § 17200; and (4) unjust enrichment.

### ANALYSIS

### 4

A.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

## Applicable Legal Standard on Motion to Dismiss.

A motion to dismiss is proper under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) where the pleadings fail to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. On a motion to dismiss under Rule 12(b)(6), the Court construes the allegations in the complaint in the light most favorable to the non-moving party and takes as true all material allegations in the complaint. *Sanders v. Kennedy*, 794 F.2d 478, 481 (9th Cir. 1986). Even under the liberal pleading standard of Rule 8(a)(2), "a plaintiff's obligation to provide the 'grounds' of his 'entitle[ment] to relief' requires more than labels and conclusions, and a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action will not do." *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007) (citing *Papasan v. Allain*, 478 U.S. 265, 286 (1986)). Rather, a plaintiff must instead allege "enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." *Id.* at 570.

"The plausibility standard is not akin to a probability requirement, but it asks for more than a sheer possibility that a defendant has acted unlawfully. . . . When a complaint pleads facts that are merely consistent with a defendant's liability, it stops short of the line between possibility and plausibility of entitlement to relief." *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009) (quoting *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 557) (internal quotation marks omitted). If the allegations are insufficient to state a claim, a court should grant leave to amend, unless amendment would be futile. *See, e.g. Reddy v. Litton Indus., Inc.*, 912 F.2d 291, 296 (9th Cir. 1990); *Cook, Perkiss & Lieche, Inc. v. N. Cal. Collection Serv., Inc.*, 911 F.2d 242, 246-47 (9th Cir. 1990).

As a general rule, "a district court may not consider material beyond the pleadings in ruling on a Rule 12(b)(6) motion." *Branch v. Tunnell*, 14 F.3d 449, 453 (9th Cir. 1994), *overruled on other grounds, Galbraith v. Cnty. of Santa Clara*, 307 F.3d 1119 (9th Cir. 2002) (citation omitted). However, documents subject to judicial notice, such as matters of public record, may be considered on a motion to dismiss. *See Harris v. Cnty of Orange*, 682 F.3d 1126, 1132 (9th Cir. 2011). In define on the Court decourt court of the dismisst to record.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

See Mack v. S. Bay Beer Distrib., 798 F.2d 1279, 1282 (9th Cir. 1986), overruled on other grounds by Astoria Fed. Sav. & Loan Ass'n v. Solimino, 501 U.S. 104 (1991). "The court need not . . . accept as true allegations that contradict matters properly subject to judicial notice . . . ." Sprewell v. Golden State Warriors, 266 F. 3d 979, 988 (9th Cir. 2001).

В.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

## Twitter's Motion to Dismiss.

#### 1. Injury.

To establish Article III standing, a plaintiff must show that he or she has suffered an "injury in fact" that is "fairly traceable" to the defendant's conduct and would likely be "redressed by a favorable decision." Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife, 504 U.S. 555, 560-561 (1992) (alterations and internal quotation marks omitted). "The plaintiff, as the party invoking federal jurisdiction, bears the burden of establishing these elements." Spokeo, Inc. v. Robins, 578 U.S. 330, 338 (2016). Moreover, "[w]here, as here, a case is at the pleading stage, the plaintiff must "clearly . . . allege facts demonstrating" each element. Id. (quoting Warth v. Seldin, 422 U.S. 490, 518 (1975)). To allege injury in fact, a plaintiff must allege that he or she suffered "an invasion of a legally protected interest" that is "concrete and particularized" and "actual or imminent, not conjectural or hypothetical." Lujan, 504 U.S. at 560 (internal quotation marks omitted). "A 'concrete' injury must be 'de facto'; that is, it must actually exist." Spokeo, Inc., 578 U.S. at 340. "[S]tanding is claim- and relief-specific, such that a plaintiff must establish Article III standing for each of her claims and for each form of relief sought." In re Carrier IO, Inc., 78 F. Supp. 3d 1051, 1064-65 (N.D. Cal. 2015) (internal quotations omitted) (citing *DaimlerChrysler Corp. v.* Cuno, 547 U.S. 332, 352 (2006) ("our standing cases confirm that a plaintiff must demonstrate standing for each claim he seeks to press")).

Additionally, to have standing to bring a claim under the UCL, Plaintiffs must have suffered an injury in fact and must have lost money or property as a result of the unfair competition. See Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17204; see also Californians for Disability Rights v. Mervyn's, LLC, 39 Cal. 4th 223, 227 (2006). "To satisfy the narrower standing requirements imposed by Proposition 64, a party must now (1) establish a loss or deprivation of money or property sufficient to qualify as injury in fact is a conomic injury and (7) show that the

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.