

Ramzi Abadou (SBN 222567)  
 KAHN SWICK & FOTI, LLP  
 580 California Street, Suite 1200  
 San Francisco, California 94104  
 Telephone: (415) 459-6900  
 Facsimile: (504) 455-1498  
[ramzi.abadou@ksfcounsel.com](mailto:ramzi.abadou@ksfcounsel.com)

*Proposed Lead Counsel and Counsel  
 for Movant, José Luis Villasenín*

*[Additional counsel on signature page]*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 SAN JOSE DIVISION**

NICK PATTERSON, Individually and  
 on Behalf of All Others Similarly  
 Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

TERRAFORM LABS, PTE. LTD.,  
 JUMP CRYPTO, JUMP TRADING  
 LLC, REPUBLIC CAPITAL,  
 REPUBLIC MAXIMAL LLC, TRIBE  
 CAPITAL, DEFINANCE  
 CAPITAL/DEFINANCE  
 TECHNOLOGIES OY, GSR/GSR  
 MARKETS LIMITED, THREE  
 ARROWS CAPITAL PTE. LTD.,  
 NICHOLAS PLATIAS, and DO  
 KWON,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:22-cv-03600-BLF

**JOSÉ LUIS VILLASENÍN'S NOTICE OF  
 MOTION AND MOTION TO APPOINT HIM  
 AS LEAD PLAINTIFF AND TO APPROVE  
 HIS SELECTION OF LEAD COUNSEL**

**CLASS ACTION**

Judge: Hon. Beth Labson Freeman  
 Date: Thursday, November 17, 2022  
 Time: 9:00 AM  
 Courtroom: Courtroom 3, 5<sup>TH</sup> Floor

NOTICE OF AND MOTION TO APPOINT LEAD  
 PLAINTIFF AND APPROVE SELECTION OF LEAD

CASE No. 5:22-cv-03600-BLF

**NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on November 17, 2022 at 9:00 AM, or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, before the Honorable Beth Labson Freeman of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Jose Courthouse, Courtroom 3 – 5th Floor, 280 South 1st Street, San Jose, California 95113, José Luis Villasenín will and hereby does move for an order: (i) appointing him as Lead Plaintiff pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (“PSLRA”); and (ii) approving his selection of Kahn Swick & Foti, LLC as Lead Counsel for the putative class. This motion is based on this Notice of Motion and Motion, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof, the attached declaration and exhibits, the pleadings on file in this action, oral argument, and such other matters as the Court may consider in hearing this motion.

**STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE DECIDED**

1. Whether Mr. Villasenín should be appointed as Lead Plaintiff pursuant to the PSLRA. *See generally In re Cavanaugh*, 306 F.3d 726 (9th Cir. 2002); § IV.B, *infra*.
2. Whether the Court should approve Mr. Villasenín’s selection of Kahn Swick & Foti, LLC (“KSF”) as Lead Counsel. *See generally In re Cohen*, 586 F.3d 703 (9th Cir. 2009); § V, *infra*.

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

**I. INTRODUCTION**

Mr. Villasenín respectfully submits this memorandum of law in support of his motion to: (i) appoint him as lead plaintiff in the above-referenced action pursuant to Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”), 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), as amended by the PSLRA, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4, and Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5, Sections 5, 12(a)(1) and 15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1933 (the “Securities Act”), 15 U.S.C. §§ 77e, 77l(a)(1), and 77o, respectively, as amended by the PSLRA, 15 U.S.C. § 77z-1; and (ii) approve his selection of KSF as Lead Counsel for the putative class. *See In re Cavanaugh*, 306 F.3d 726 (9th Cir. 2002).

As described in the Certification and Loss Chart attached to the Declaration of Ramzi Abadou in Support of Mr. Villasenín’s Motion to Appoint Him as Lead Plaintiff and to Approve His Selection

of Lead Counsel (“Abadou Decl.”) (Exhibits A & B), Mr. Villasenin suffered losses of approximately \$192,000 from his purchases of LUNA cryptocurrency tokens between May 20, 2021 and May 25, 2022, inclusive (“Class Period”). To the best of his knowledge, this loss represents the largest financial interest of any investor seeking to be appointed lead plaintiff. In addition, Mr. Villasenin’s Certification and Declaration demonstrate his awareness of the fiduciary duties associated with serving as a lead plaintiff. *See* Abadou Decl., Ex’s. A & C. Hence, Mr. Villasenin also satisfies the applicable typicality and adequacy requirements of Rule 23. Accordingly, he seeks an order: (i) appointing him as Lead Plaintiff in this action pursuant to Sections 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Sections 5, 12(a)(1) and 15 of the Securities Act; and (ii) approving his selection of KSF as Lead Counsel for the putative class.

## II. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On June 17, 2022, Nick Patterson filed the Complaint in the above-captioned action against eleven different Defendants, including: (i) Terraform Labs, PTE. LTD.; (ii) Jump Crypto; (iii) Jump Trading LLC; (iv) Republic Capital; (v) Republic Maximal LLC; (vi) Tribe Capital; (vii) DeFinance Capital/DeFinance Technologies Oy; (viii) GSR/GSR Markets Limited; (ix) Three Arrows Capital PTE. LTD.; (x) Nicholas Platias; and (xi) Do Kwon. *See* ¶¶ 8-21.<sup>1</sup> The Complaint brings claims on behalf of investors who purchased various Terra Tokens during the Class Period including, *inter alia*, LUNA. ¶¶ 1, 45-53.

Notice of this action was published on June 20, 2022 through *BusinessWire*, a widely circulated national business-oriented wire service, triggering the sixty-day window for putative class members to seek appointment as lead plaintiff. *See* Abadou Decl., Ex. D; *see also* 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(3)(A)(i); 15 U.S.C. § 77z-1(a)(3)(A)(i); *Yen Hoang v. Contextlogic, Inc.*, 2022 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 88146, at \*10-

---

<sup>1</sup> “¶” or “¶¶” refer to the corresponding paragraphs in the Class Action Complaint for Violations of the Federal Securities Laws (*see* ECF No. 1, “Complaint”). All capitalized terms have the same definitions as in the Complaint, unless otherwise stated. For any quoted materials, all emphasis has been added and internal citations omitted unless otherwise indicated.

11 (N.D. Cal. 2022) (“A notice was published in *Business Wire* [. . .] contain[ing] all required information [. . .] the Court finds that the PSLRA’s notice requirement was satisfied.”) (Freeman, J.).

### III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

This putative class action brings seven causes of action against Defendants, alleging: (i) violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder; (ii) violations of Sections 5 and 12(a)(1) of the Securities Act; (iii) violations of Section 15 of the Securities Act of 1933; (iv) California common law claims for aiding and abetting; (v) common law conspiracy claims; (vi) violations of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (“RICO”), 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961, *et seq.*; and (vii) unjust enrichment/restitution claims. ¶¶ 169-262. These claims are brought on behalf of a putative class of investors who purchased Terra Tokens between May 20, 2021 and May 25, 2022, inclusive (the “Class Period”) and were damaged thereby (the “Class”). ¶ 1.

TerraForm Labs (the “Company” or “TFL”) operates the Terra blockchain and its related protocol which hosts, supports, and funds a community of decentralized financial applications and products collectively dubbed the “Terra ecosystem.” ¶ 2. Within the Terra ecosystem, TFL develops, hosts, and markets a suite of digital assets and financial products called “Terra Tokens.” *Id.* Tokens are contractually-based financial products, created and permanently uploaded to a given blockchain. *Id.* at n.2. Investors who purchase them expect that buying, selling, or exchanging these tokens will function according to the terms of the original contract and similar to other tokens on the same blockchain. *Id.* The Terra Tokens include, *inter alia*, native and governance tokens, so-called “stablecoins,” “mirrored assets,” bonded assets, liquidity pool tokens, and other protocols geared toward supporting and facilitating their sales. ¶ 2. At their highest peak, the various Terra Tokens were worth tens of billions of dollars in total market capitalization. *Id.* However, despite bearing all the hallmarks of an investment contract and meeting the definition of a security under the *Howey* test, none of the Terra Tokens were registered as such with the SEC. ¶¶ 3-4, 61-79.

In addition to selling unregistered securities throughout the Class Period, Defendants also made several false and misleading statements regarding their largest Terra ecosystem digital assets—UST

1 and LUNA—to induce investors into purchasing these tokens at inflated prices. ¶ 4. For example, as  
2 part of a promotional campaign TFL repeatedly touted UST’s stability as an “algorithmic” stablecoin  
3 paired to LUNA and the sustainability of its Anchor Protocol—a type of high-yield savings account  
4 whereby investors could “stake” (*i.e.*, a locked-up deposit made in exchange for rewards) their UST  
5 tokens with TFL in exchange for a guaranteed 20% APY interest rate. ¶¶ 5, 55. TFL, in turn, would  
6 lend out the staked UST to interested borrowers. ¶ 55. In return, TFL would receive interest payments  
7 and use of the borrowers’ collateral. *Id.* Co-founders of a rival stablecoin called Maker DAO, however,  
8 derided UST as a “ponzi” and predicted on December 30, 2021 that “UST will collapse in a death  
9 spiral with LUNA hyper-inflating to try to cover the peg[,]” prompting dismissive retorts from  
10 Defendant Do Kwon. ¶ 36. Subsequently, when the head of research at Arcane Crypto tweeted his  
11 opinion that UST was not sustainable, Defendant Kwon made similar put downs and insults. ¶ 119.

12       Cryptocurrency markets are incredibly volatile, sometimes with intraday price swings of 10%  
13 occurring within a matter of hours. ¶ 46. Certain currencies called “stablecoins” attempt to solve this  
14 problem by attempting to tie (or “peg”) their market values to an external collateral with greater  
15 stability, such as U.S. dollars, gold, or another financial instrument. *Id.* On or around January 19, 2022,  
16 TFL attempted to do so here by announcing it had formed the “Luna Foundation Guard” (a group of  
17 six venture capital firms), which promised to support and fund the Terra ecosystem and “defend the  
18 peg” in the event that high volatility caused the UST/LUNA pairing to become untethered. ¶¶ 1, 5, 38-  
19 42. The announcement specifically downplayed the “misconception” that algorithmic stablecoins were  
20 “unsustainable.” ¶ 39. The Luna Foundation Guard was originally funded with a 50 million LUNA  
21 gift from TFL, followed by a \$1 billion private token sale for “use in establishing a UST Forex Reserve  
22 denominated in Bitcoin” to serve as collateral for the TFL stablecoins. ¶¶ 43-44. Other rounds of  
23 funding would follow. ¶ 44.

24       Members of the Luna Foundation Guard included Defendants Jump Crypto, Jump Trading  
25 LLC, Republic Capital, Republic Maximal LLC, Tribe Capital, DeFinance Capital/DeFinance  
26 Technologies Oy, GSR/GSR Markets Limited, and Three Arrows Capital Pte. Ltd. ¶ 1. These entities  
27 acted on behalf of TFL to promote the stability of UST, and misled investors into believing that Luna



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.