	Case 3:22-cv-04704-LB Document	1 Filed 08/16/22 Page 1 of 30
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Michael R. Lozeau (State Bar No. 142893) Victoria A. Yundt (State Bar No. 326186) LOZEAU DRURY LLP 1939 Harrison Street, Suite 150 Oakland, CA 94612 Tel: (510) 836-4200 Fax: (510) 836-4205 E-mail: michael@lozeaudrury.com victoria@lozeaudrury.com Attorneys for Plaintiff CALIFORNIA SPORTFISHING PROTECTION A	LLIANCE
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
11		
12		Civil No.
13	THE CALIFORNIA SPORTFISHING PROTECTION ALLIANCE, a non-profit	
14	corporation,	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND
15	Plaintiff,	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES
16 17	v. CLOVER FLAT LAND FILL INC., a California corporation	(Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1251 <i>et seq</i> .)
18	-	
19	Defendant.	
20		
21	Plaintiff THE CALIFORNIA SPORTFISHING PROTECTION ALLIANCE ("CSPA"), by and	
22	through its counsel, alleges as follows:	
23		
24		
25	1. This is a civil suit brought under the citizen suit enforcement provision of the Federal	
26	Water Pollution Control Act (the "Clean Water Act" or "the Act"), 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a)(1), to address	
27		
28		

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Case 3:22-cv-04704-LB Document 1 Filed 08/16/22 Page 2 of 30

violations of the Act by Defendant Clover Flat Land Fill Inc. ("Defendant") arising out of discharges of 1 polluted storm water from Defendant's Clover Flat Resource Recovery Park and Landfill industrial 2 3 facility located at 4380 Silverado Trail in Calistoga, California ("Facility"). Since January 1, 2021, 4 Defendant has violated the Act and the General Industrial Stormwater Permit issued by the State of 5 California, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") General Permit No. 6 CAS000001, State Water Resources Control Board Water Quality Order No. 97-03-DWQ, as renewed by 7 Order No. 2014-0057-DWQ, as amended by Order No. 2015-0122-DWQ on August 4, 2015, and further 8 9 amended on November 6, 2018 (collectively, the "General Permit"). Defendant's violations of the 10 discharge, treatment technology, monitoring requirements, and other procedural and substantive 11 requirements of the Permit and the Act are ongoing and continuous. CSPA seeks declaratory relief, 12 injunctive relief, civil penalties, and the award of costs, including attorney and expert witness fees, for 13 Defendant's repeated and ongoing violations of the Act. 14

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

16 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this action pursuant to Section 505(a)(1)(A) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a)(1)(A), and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (an action arising under the laws of the United States). The relief requested is authorized pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-02 (power to issue declaratory relief in case of actual controversy and further necessary relief based on such a declaration); 33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(b), 1365(a) (injunctive relief); and 33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(d), 1365(a) (civil penalties).

23 3. On February 18, 2022, Plaintiff provided notice of Defendant's violations of the Act, 24 and of Plaintiff's intention to file suit against Defendant, to the U.S. Attorney General; Administrator of 25 the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"); the Administrator of EPA Region IX; the 26 Executive Director of the State Water Resources Control Board ("State Board"); the Interim Executive 27 28 Officer of the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region ("Regional

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Case 3:22-cv-04704-LB Document 1 Filed 08/16/22 Page 3 of 30

Board"); and to Defendant, as required by the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(b)(1)(A). A true and correct copy of CSPA's notice letter is attached as Exhibit A, and is incorporated by reference.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

28

4. More than sixty (60) days have passed since notice was served on Defendant and the State and federal agencies. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that neither the EPA nor the State of California has commenced or is diligently prosecuting a court action to redress the violations alleged in this complaint. This action's claim for civil penalties is not barred by any prior administrative penalty under Section 309(g) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g).

5. Venue is proper in the Northern District of California pursuant to section 505(c)(1) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(c)(1), because the source of the violations is located within this judicial district.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

6. Intradistrict assignment of this matter to the San Francisco Division of the Court is
 appropriate pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-2(d). The events or omissions which give rise to CSPA's
 claims occurred in Napa County, which is under the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Division of the
 Northern District of California.

PARTIES

7. Plaintiff CSPA is a non-profit public benefit corporation organized under the laws of the 20 State of California with its main office in Stockton, California. CSPA's members live and/or recreate in 21 22 and around waters of the San Francisco Bay, including the Napa River and its tributary downstream from 23 the Facility, also referred to as the unnamed creek. CSPA is dedicated to the preservation, protection, and 24 defense of the environment, the wildlife, and the natural resources of all waters of California. To further 25 these goals, CSPA actively seeks federal and state agency implementation of the Act, and, where 26 necessary, directly initiates enforcement actions on behalf of itself and its members. 27

8. Members of CSPA, including citizens, taxpayers, property owners, and residents, live,

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com

Case 3:22-cv-04704-LB Document 1 Filed 08/16/22 Page 4 of 30

work, and travel near, and recreate in the Napa River and the unnamed creek which flows to the Napa 1 River, into which Defendant discharges pollutants. CSPA members use and enjoy San Francisco Bay and 2 3 its tributaries, including the Napa River, for recreational, educational, scientific, conservation, aesthetic, 4 spiritual, and other purposes. For example, CSPA members use those areas to fish, boat, kayak, bird 5 watch, view wildlife, and engage in scientific study including monitoring activities, among other things. 6 Defendant's discharges of storm water containing pollutants threaten or impair each of these uses or 7 contribute to such threats and impairments. Thus, the interests of CSPA's members have been, are being, 8 9 and will continue to be adversely affected by Defendant's failure to comply with the Act and the General 10 Permit. The relief sought herein will redress the harms to Plaintiff caused by Defendant's activities. 11 9. Continuing commission of the acts and omissions alleged below will irreparably harm 12 Plaintiff and one or more of its members, for which harm they have no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy 13

14 at law.

15

16

17

18

19

10. Defendant CLOVER FLAT LAND FILL INC. ("Clover Flat") is a California corporation that owns and/or operates the Facility that is at issue in this action.

REGULATORY BACKGROUND

The Problem of Storm Water Pollution

11. Storm water runoff is one of the most significant sources of water pollution in the nation
 and has been recognized as a leading cause of significant and cumulative harmful impacts to the water
 quality of San Francisco Bay and its tributaries. With every rainfall event, hundreds of millions of gallons
 of polluted rainwater flow from local industrial facilities, such as the Facility, and pour into storm drains,
 local tributaries, and San Francisco Bay. The Regional Board has stated that storm water pollution is the
 major source of pollution entering San Francisco Bay and Bay area surface waters each year.

27 12. Storm water runoff from industrial sites such as the Facility causes harm to humans and
28 aquatic life. In particular, storm water can contain heavy metal pollutants such as aluminum and iron, as

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Case 3:22-cv-04704-LB Document 1 Filed 08/16/22 Page 5 of 30

well as high concentrations of suspended solids and nitrate and nitrite. Exposure and ingestion of heavy metals can cause health problems in people and aquatic animals, including neurological, physiological, and reproductive effects. Heavy metals have been shown to alter activity in the tissue and blood of fish.

1

2

3

4 High concentrations of total suspended solids ("TSS") degrade optical water quality by 13. 5 reducing water clarity and decreasing light available to support photosynthesis. TSS have been shown to 6 alter predator-prey relationships (for example, turbid water might make it difficult for fish to see their 7 prey). Deposited solids alter habitat for fish, aquatic plants, and benthic organisms. TSS can also be 8 9 harmful to aquatic life because numerous pollutants, including metals and polycyclic aromatic 10 hydrocarbons ("PAHs"), are adsorbed onto TSS. Thus, higher concentrations of TSS mean higher 11 concentrations of toxins associated with those sediments. Inorganic sediments, including settleable matter 12 and suspended solids, have been shown to negatively impact species richness, diversity, and total 13 biomass of filter feeding aquatic organisms on bottom surfaces. 14

14. Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances ("PFAS"), a large group of human-made substances 15 16 that do not occur naturally in the environment and are resistant to heat, water, and oil, including 17 perfluorooctane sulfonic acid ("PFOS") and perfluorooctanoic acid ("PFOA"), have been found to enter 18 surface and groundwater, and subsequently drinking water, through contaminated storm water runoff 19 from industrial sites and landfills. PFAS are persistent in the environment, can accumulate within the 20 human body over time, and are toxic at relatively low concentrations. The State Board lists industrial 21 22 sites and landfills as two of the four major sources of PFAS. PFAS can get into drinking water when 23 storm water discharge from industrial sites and landfills containing PFAS flows into rivers and lakes used 24 for drinking water or seeps into groundwater. Once in groundwater, PFAS are easily transported large 25 distances and can contaminate drinking wells. Exposure to unsafe levels of PFAS, including 26 PFOA/PFOS, has been shown to cause adverse health effects, including developmental effects to fetuses 27 28 during pregnancy, immune effects, thyroid effects, and other effects, such as changes in cholesterol.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.