

1 **CUSTODIO & DUBEY, LLP**
Robert Abiri (SBN 238681)
2 445 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 2520
Los Angeles, CA 90071
3 Telephone: (213) 593-9095
Facsimile: (213) 785-2899
4 E-mail: abiri@cd-lawyers.com

5 *Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative Classes*

6
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

9 Elena Nacarino, on behalf of herself and all
10 others similarly situated,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 RB Health (US) LLC,

14 Defendant.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-04721

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

1 Plaintiff Elena Nacarino (“Plaintiff”), on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,
2 by and through her attorneys, brings this Class Action Complaint against RB Health (US) LLC
3 (“Defendant”), based upon personal knowledge as to herself, and upon information, investigation
4 and belief of her counsel.

5 **INTRODUCTION**

6 1. This case action seeks to challenge Defendant’s false and deceptive practices in the
7 marketing and sale of its Cepacol Extra Strength Sore Throat Honey Lemon Lozenges (the
8 “Product”).

9 2. The front label of the Product – which is a cough drop meant to soothe the throat –
10 leads reasonable consumers to believe the Product contains honey and lemon. Specifically, the
11 words “Honey Lemon” appear on the Product’s front label without any qualification, as well as an
12 image of a honey dipper with honey oozing down from the dipper, alongside a cut lemon wedge.

13 3. Unbeknownst to consumers however, the Product does not contain honey or lemon.

14 4. Plaintiff and other consumers purchased the Product and paid a premium price
15 based upon their reliance on Defendant’s front label representations about honey and lemon. Had
16 Plaintiff and other consumers been aware that the Product does not contain honey or lemon, they
17 would not have purchased the Product or would have paid significantly less for it. Accordingly,
18 Plaintiff and Class members have been injured by Defendant’s deceptive business practices.

19 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

20 5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act
21 of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2), because this is a class action filed under Rule 23 of the Federal
22 Rules of Civil Procedure, there are thousands of proposed Class members, the aggregate amount
23 in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs, and Defendant is a citizen of a
24 state different from at least some members of the proposed Classes, including Plaintiff.

25 6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant has
26 sufficient minimum contacts in California, or otherwise intentionally avails itself of the markets
27 within California, through its sale of the goods and products in California and to California
28 consumers.

1 **DEFENDANT**

2 10. Defendant is a New Jersey corporation with its principal place of business in
3 Parsippany, New Jersey. Defendant is a multinational corporation, and one of the largest producers
4 of nutritional and medicinal products, like the Product challenged in this Complaint.

5 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

6 11. Defendant is responsible for the manufacturing, marketing, labeling, advertising,
7 and sale of personal care, nutritional, and medicinal products, including the Product at issue here.

8 12. The Product is part of Defendant’s Cepacol line of lozenges, marketed as a remedy
9 for sore throats and as the #1 Doctor Recommended brand of lozenges.

10 13. Unfortunately for consumers, Defendant engages false and misleading advertising
11 about the Product to gain a competitive edge in the market, all at the expense of unsuspecting
12 consumers.

13 14. Specifically, the principal display panel of the Product features representations that
14 lead reasonable consumers to believe that the Product contains honey and lemon, when in fact, it
15 contains neither.

16 15. First, the words “Honey Lemon” appear in large, bold font on the front label of the
17 Product. Immediately above that phrase, Defendant has placed an image of a honey dipper with honey
18 oozing down from the dipper, alongside a cut lemon wedge. Lastly, the lozenges are intentionally
19 colored a golden honey brown color to make them appear as if they contain honey. *See below.*

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

16. Based on the foregoing representations, reasonable consumers purchase the Product with the expectation that the Product contains both honey and lemon.

17. However, unbeknownst to consumers, *the Product does not contain any honey or lemon.*

18. Instead, the Product appears to be, at most, honey and lemon *flavored*. However, unlike competitor products, nowhere on the front of the label does Defendant inform consumers that the Product is only flavored to taste like honey and lemon. As such, consumers cannot reasonably know or expect that the Product does not contain honey or lemon.

19. Moreover, consumers reasonably expect honey and lemon in the lozenges in part because other lemon/honey lozenges *actually contain both ingredients*. For example, Zand

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.