

**BOTTINI & BOTTINI, INC.**

Francis A. Bottini, Jr. (SBN 175783)

fbottini@bottinilaw.com

Nicholaus H. Woltering (SBN 337193)

nwoltering@bottinilaw.com

7817 Ivanhoe Avenue, Suite 102

La Jolla, CA 92037

Telephone: (858) 914-2001

Facsimile: (858) 914-2002

*Attorneys for Plaintiff and the  
Proposed Class*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

DOMINICK BATTIATO, on behalf of himself  
and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

TESLA, INC., dba TESLA MOTORS, INC.;  
TESLA LEASE TRUST; and  
TESLA FINANCE LLC,

Defendants.

Case No. \_\_\_\_\_

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

1. VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA  
UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW
2. VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA  
FALSE ADVERTISING LAW
3. VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA  
CONSUMERS LEGAL REMEDIES ACT
4. VIOLATION OF THE MAGNUSON-  
MOSS WARRANTY ACT
5. BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY
6. BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTIES
7. FRAUD AND DECEIT
8. NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION
9. UNJUST ENRICHMENT
10. NEGLIGENCE

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
1		
2		
3	I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
4	II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE .....	4
5	III. PARTIES .....	5
6	A. Plaintiff.....	5
7	B. Defendants.....	5
8	IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS .....	6
9	V. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS.....	24
10	VI. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF .....	28
11	FIRST CLAIM	
12	Violation of the California Unfair Competition Law	
13	Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, <i>et seq.</i> .....	28
14	SECOND CLAIM	
15	Violation of the California False Advertising Law	
16	Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500, <i>et seq.</i> .....	32
17	THIRD CLAIM	
18	Violation of the California Consumers Legal Remedies Act	
19	Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, <i>et seq.</i> .....	33
20	FOURTH CLAIM	
21	Violation of the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act	
22	15 U.S.C. § 2301, <i>et seq.</i> .....	35
23	FIFTH CLAIM	
24	Breach of Express Warranty	
25	Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1791.2(a), 1794 .....	37
26	SIXTH CLAIM	
27	Breach of Implied Warranties	
28	Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1791.1, 1792, 1794.....	39
	SEVENTH CLAIM	
	Fraud and Deceit.....	41
	EIGHTH CLAIM	
	Negligent Misrepresentation.....	43
	NINTH CLAIM	
	Unjust Enrichment .....	43
	TENTH CLAIM	
	Negligence .....	44
	VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF .....	45
	VIII. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL .....	45

1 Plaintiff Dominick Battiato, an individual, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated  
2 (*i.e.*, the members of the Class described and defined within this Complaint), brings this class action  
3 complaint against Defendants Tesla, Inc., dba Tesla Motors, Inc., Tesla Lease Trust, and Tesla Finance  
4 LLC (collectively, “Defendants” or “Tesla”), and alleges as follows:

5 **I. INTRODUCTION**

6 1. This consumer class action arises out of Tesla’s misleading and deceptive statements  
7 regarding its advanced driver assistance systems (“ADAS”) technology. Tesla’s ADAS technology is  
8 deceptively and misleadingly marketed as autonomous driving technology under various names,  
9 including “Autopilot,” “Enhanced Autopilot,” and “Full Self-Driving Capability” (“FSD”). Tesla  
10 deceived and misled consumers regarding the abilities of its ADAS technology and by representing  
11 that it was perpetually on the cusp of perfecting that technology and finally producing a fully self-  
12 driving car.

13 2. Tesla has known for years that its statements regarding its ADAS technology were  
14 deceptive and misleading, but the company made them anyway. Tesla did so to generate excitement  
15 and interest in the company’s vehicles and thereby improve its financial condition by, among other  
16 things, attracting investment, increasing sales, avoiding bankruptcy, driving up Tesla’s stock price,  
17 and helping to establish Tesla as a dominant player in the electric vehicle market.

18 3. For example, in 2016 Elon Musk tweeted a bold prediction—that a Tesla vehicle  
19 would complete a fully self-driving trip *across the United States* by “next year.” Later in 2016, Tesla  
20 announced on its official blog that “All Tesla Cars Being Produced Now Have Full Self-Driving  
21 Hardware.” The blog post included the misleading October 2016 video of a Tesla car purportedly  
22 driving itself without incident, and suggested that Tesla was on the cusp of bringing to market cars  
23 that would be fully “self-driving” and have “full autonomy.”<sup>1</sup> When Tesla and Musk made these  
24 statements, they knew there was no reasonable chance of Tesla being able to meet those promises.

---

25  
26  
27 <sup>1</sup> See The Tesla Team, “All Tesla Cars Being Produced Now Have Full Self-Driving Hardware,”  
28 <https://www.tesla.com/blog/all-tesla-cars-being-produced-now-have-full-selfdriving-hardware> (Oct.

1           4.       From approximately 2017 to 2019, Tesla’s website describing its “Full Self-Driving  
2 Capability” technology represented that consumers who purchased or leased cars with the FSD  
3 version of its ADAS technology would receive cars capable of “full self-driving in almost all  
4 circumstances,” including being able to “conduct short and long distance trips with no action required  
5 by the person in the driver’s seat” and with a “probability of safety at least twice as good as the  
6 average human driver.” On the same webpage, Tesla went on to state:

7                   All you will need to do is get in and tell your car where to go. If you don’t  
8 say anything, the car will look at your calendar and take you there as the  
9 assumed destination or just home if nothing is on the calendar. Your Tesla  
10 will figure out the optimal route, navigate urban streets (even without lane  
11 markings), manage complex intersections with traffic lights, stop signs  
and roundabouts, and handle densely packed freeways with cars moving  
at high speed.

12           5.       Indeed, in every year since 2016, Tesla has repeatedly made deceptive and misleading  
13 statements to consumers indicating that a fully self-driving, fully autonomous Tesla vehicle was just  
14 around the corner, often expressly stating that would occur by the end of that calendar year or within  
15 the “next year.”<sup>2</sup> For example, in May 2019, after years of failing to deliver on prior promises, Musk  
16 again promised consumers that a fully self-driving Tesla car would be available by the end of that  
17 year, tweeting that “everyone with Tesla Full Self-Driving will be able” to take a fully automated trip  
18 in their Tesla from Los Angeles to New York.<sup>3</sup> While tens of thousands of U.S. and California  
19 consumers have purchased or leased new Tesla vehicles with ADAS technology in 2019 and every  
20 year since, Tesla has yet to deliver on its repeated promises of a fully self-driving car at *any*  
21 distance—much less a fully automated three-thousand-mile journey across the country.

22           6.       The reality of Tesla’s ADAS technology is far different from what Tesla and Musk have  
23 spent years telling consumers. Instead of providing its customers the “Full Self-Driving Capability”  
24 they paid for, Tesla uses them as guinea pigs to test drive its experimental FSD Beta software on  
25 public roadways, which generates data that Tesla can use to improve its software. Along the way,

26 \_\_\_\_\_  
27 <sup>2</sup> See, e.g., The Dawn Project, “Elon Musk’s broken promises,” [https://dawnproject.com/wp-](https://dawnproject.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/The-Dawn-Project-Musk-promises-1min-NA.mp4?_=/2)  
28 [content/uploads/2022/06/The-Dawn-Project-Musk-promises-1min-NA.mp4?\\_=/2](https://dawnproject.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/The-Dawn-Project-Musk-promises-1min-NA.mp4?_=/2) (collecting video  
clips of Musk making such promises from 2014 to 2021).

1 scores of Tesla owners who believed Tesla's deceptive and misleading statements about the  
2 capabilities of Tesla's ADAS technology have been killed and seriously injured when that technology  
3 failed, often in the face of routine roadway scenarios.

4 7. Even Tesla itself has admitted that "Full Self-Driving" is an inaccurate name. In  
5 response to California regulators' concerns about Musk's public announcements in late 2020 indicating  
6 that a new FSD Beta update would make Tesla vehicles autonomous, Tesla attorneys sent private  
7 emails to those regulators (later disclosed in response to Public Records Act requests) walking those  
8 statements back and making clear they were false. Tesla attorneys told the regulators that Tesla  
9 vehicles equipped with so-called "Full Self-Driving Capability" were not fully self-driving at all, but  
10 still required the driver to steer, brake, and accelerate as needed. In the meantime, Tesla and Musk  
11 continued their deceptive marketing to consumers.

12 8. Plaintiff Dominick Battiato is California resident, who owns a 2021 Tesla Model 3  
13 Performance and a 2022 Tesla Model Y Performance.

14 9. Plaintiff brings this class action lawsuit on behalf of himself and fellow consumers who  
15 purchased or leased a new Tesla vehicle with Tesla's ADAS technology but never received the self-  
16 driving car that Tesla promised them. Plaintiff brings claims against Tesla for violations of the federal  
17 Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act and California's False Advertising Law, Consumers Legal Remedies  
18 Act, and Unfair Competition Law, as well as common law claims for fraud and deceit, negligent  
19 misrepresentation, negligence, and unjust enrichment. Plaintiff seeks various relief on behalf of  
20 himself and the proposed Class, including injunctive relief prohibiting Tesla from continuing its  
21 deceptive and misleading marketing of its ADAS technology, restitution of the money Plaintiff and  
22 Class members paid for technology that Tesla promised but never delivered, and all available damages  
23 including punitive damages to punish Tesla for years of using deceptive and misleading marketing to  
24 eventually establish itself as a dominant player in the electric vehicle market.

25 10. Based on information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that at all times mentioned herein,  
26 Defendants and all unknown co-conspirators were an agent, servant, employee and/or joint venture of  
27 each other, and were at all times acting within the course and scope of said agency, service,  
28 employment, and/or joint venture with full knowledge, permission, and consent of each other. In

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.