

1 THEODORE J. BOUTROUS JR., SBN 132099
 2 tboutrous@gibsondunn.com
 3 RICHARD J. DOREN, SBN 124666
 4 rdoren@gibsondunn.com
 5 DANIEL G. SWANSON, SBN 116556
 6 dswanson@gibsondunn.com
 7 JAY P. SRINIVASAN, SBN 181471
 8 jsrinivasan@gibsondunn.com
 9 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
 10 333 South Grand Avenue
 11 Los Angeles, CA 90071
 12 Telephone: 213.229.7000
 13 Facsimile: 213.229.7520

14 VERONICA S. MOYÉ (Texas Bar No.
 15 24000092; *pro hac vice*)
 16 vlewis@gibsondunn.com
 17 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
 18 2100 McKinney Avenue, Suite 1100
 19 Dallas, TX 75201
 20 Telephone: 214.698.3100
 21 Facsimile: 214.571.2900

MARK A. PERRY, SBN 212532
 mperry@gibsondunn.com
 CYNTHIA E. RICHMAN (D.C. Bar No.
 492089; *pro hac vice*)
 crichman@gibsondunn.com
 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
 Washington, DC 20036
 Telephone: 202.955.8500
 Facsimile: 202.467.0539

ETHAN D. DETTMER, SBN 196046
 edettmer@gibsondunn.com
 RACHEL S. BRASS, SBN 219301
 rbrass@gibsondunn.com
 CAELI A. HIGNEY, SBN 268644
 chigney@gibsondunn.com
 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
 555 Mission Street
 San Francisco, CA 94105
 Telephone: 415.393.8200
 Facsimile: 415.393.8306

Attorneys for Defendant Apple Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 OAKLAND DIVISION

In re Apple iPhone Antitrust Litigation

CASE NO. 4:11-cv-06714-YGR

**DEFENDANT APPLE INC.'S OPPOSITION
 TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR LEAVE
 TO FILE FOURTH AMENDED
 COMPLAINT**

The Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

Date: Nov. 16, 2021
 Time: 2:00 p.m.
 Courtroom: 1, 4th Floor

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. RELEVANT BACKGROUND & PROCEDURAL HISTORY	2
III. LEGAL STANDARD	5
IV. ARGUMENT	7
A. Plaintiffs Cannot Satisfy Rule 16’s Good Cause Standard Because They Were Not Diligent in Seeking to Add a UCL Claim	7
B. Alternatively, Plaintiffs Cannot Satisfy Rule 15	10
1. Plaintiffs’ Amendment Would Prejudice Apple	11
2. Plaintiffs Unduly Delayed in Seeking Leave to Amend	15
3. Plaintiffs’ Proposed Amendment Would Be Futile	17
4. Plaintiffs Already Have Filed Five Iterations of Their Complaint	18
5. The Timing of Plaintiffs’ Proposed Amendment Reflects Bad Faith	19
V. CONCLUSION	20

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

CASES

1		
2		
3	CASES	
4	<i>Acri v. Int'l Ass'n of Machinists & Aerospace Workers,</i>	
5	781 F.2d 1393 (9th Cir. 1986).....	11, 16
6	<i>Ahlmeier v. Nev. Sys. Of Higher Educ.,</i>	
7	555 F.3d 1051 (9th Cir. 2009).....	7
8	<i>Albers v. Yarbrough World Sols., LLC,</i>	
9	2020 WL 6064334 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 14, 2020).....	19
10	<i>Allen v. City of Beverly Hills,</i>	
11	911 F.2d 367 (9th Cir. 1990).....	10
12	<i>AmerisourceBergen Corp. v. Dialysist W., Inc.,</i>	
13	465 F.3d 946 (9th Cir. 2006).....	15
14	<i>Bayer v. Neiman Marcus Grp., Inc.,</i>	
15	861 F.3d 853 (9th Cir. 2017).....	12
16	<i>In re California Gasoline Spot Mkt. Antitrust Litig.,</i>	
17	2021 WL 1176645 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 29, 2021).....	17
18	<i>Campos v. Cty. Of Riverside,</i>	
19	2016 WL 9173450 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 5, 2016)	6
20	<i>Castellucci v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.,</i>	
21	2020 WL 4873869 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 10, 2020).....	6
22	<i>Chowning v. Kohl's Dep't Stores, Inc.,</i>	
23	735 F. App'x 924 (9th Cir. 2018), <i>amended on denial of reh'g,</i>	
24	733 F. App'x 404 (9th Cir. 2018)	13
25	<i>City of L.A. v. San Pedro Boat Works,</i>	
26	635 F.3d 440 (9th Cir. 2011).....	11
27	<i>Davis v. 630 W. Broadway, LLC,</i>	
28	2019 WL 2764118 (C.D. Cal. July 2, 2019)	11
	<i>Dekker v. Vivint Solar, Inc.,</i>	
	2021 WL 3565428 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 11, 2021).....	17
	<i>Domingo v. Los Angeles Cty. Sheriff's Dep't,</i>	
	2020 WL 5356657 (C.D. Cal. May 11, 2020)	15
	<i>Dong Ah Tire & Rubber Co. v. Glasforms, Inc.,</i>	
	2009 WL 667171 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 10, 2009).....	7

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (continued)

Page(s)

1		
2		
3	<i>Dream Marriage Grp., Inc. v. Anastasia Int'l, Inc.</i> ,	
4	2012 WL 3024227 (C.D. Cal. July 23, 2012)	16
5	<i>E.E.O.C. v. Boeing Co.</i> ,	
6	843 F.2d 1213 (9th Cir. 1988).....	16
7	<i>Eminence Capital, LLC v. Aspeon, Inc.</i> ,	
8	316 F.3d 1048 (9th Cir. 2003).....	6
9	<i>Erica P. John Fund, Inc., v. Halliburton Co.</i> ,	
10	563 U.S. 804 (2011).....	13
11	<i>Felarca v. Birgeneau</i> ,	
12	2014 WL 7140262 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 12, 2014)	9, 10, 17
13	<i>Freeney v. Bank of Am. Corp.</i> ,	
14	2016 WL 5897773 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 4, 2016).....	18
15	<i>In re Fritz Comp. Secs. Litig.</i> ,	
16	282 F. Supp. 2d 1105 (N.D. Cal. 2003)	15
17	<i>Gardiner v. Walmart Inc.</i> ,	
18	2021 WL 2520103 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 5, 2021).....	18
19	<i>Great-West Life & Annuity Ins. Co. v. Knudson</i> ,	
20	534 U.S. 204 (2002).....	12
21	<i>Griggs v. Pace Am. Grp., Inc.</i> ,	
22	170 F.3d 877 (9th Cir. 1999).....	7, 18
23	<i>Guzman v. Polaris Indus. Inc.</i> ,	
24	2021 WL 2021454 (C.D. Cal. May 12, 2021)	18
25	<i>Heredia v. Sunrise Senior Living LLC</i> ,	
26	2021 WL 811856 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 9, 2021).....	9
27	<i>Heredia v. Sunrise Senior Living LLC</i> ,	
28	2021 WL 819159 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 10, 2021).....	17, 18
	<i>Jackson v. Bank of Hawaii</i> ,	
	902 F.2d 1385 (9th Cir. 1990).....	10, 11, 15
	<i>Jackson v. Laureate, Inc.</i> ,	
	186 F.R.D. 605 (E.D. Cal. 1999)	6
	<i>James v. J2 Cloud Servs. Inc.</i> ,	
	2019 WL 184330 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 14, 2019)	8

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (continued)

Page(s)

1		
2		
3	<i>Johnson v. Mammoth Recs., Inc.</i> ,	
4	975 F.2d 604 (9th Cir. 1992).....	6
5	<i>Jones v. Cty. Of Tulare</i> ,	
6	2018 WL 6271577 (E.D. Cal. Nov. 30, 2018).....	8
7	<i>Jordan v. Los Angeles Cty.</i> ,	
8	669 F.2d 1311 (9th Cir. 1981), judgment vacated on other grounds in	
9	<i>Cty. of Los Angeles v. Jordan</i> , 459 U.S. 810 (1982).....	16
10	<i>Ketab Corp. v. Mesriani Law Grp.</i> ,	
11	2016 WL 5920291 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 29, 2016).....	18
12	<i>Ketayi v. Health Enrollment Grp.</i> ,	
13	2021 WL 2864481 (S.D. Cal. July 8, 2021).....	17
14	<i>Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Network Sols., Inc.</i> ,	
15	194 F.3d 980 (9th Cir. 1999).....	15, 17, 19
16	<i>Macias v. KDF Foxdale, L.P.</i> ,	
17	No. 5:18-cv-07712-EJD, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 77392 (N.D. Cal. May 1, 2020).....	14
18	<i>Mazza v. American Honda Motor Co.</i> ,	
19	666 F.3d 581 (9th Cir. 2012).....	13
20	<i>Mortg. Indus. Sols., Inc. v. Collabera, Inc.</i> ,	
21	2013 WL 440644 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 4, 2013).....	9, 18
22	<i>Nguyen v. Saxon Mortg. Servs., Inc.</i> ,	
23	2011 WL 2600998 (D. Or. June 30, 2011).....	11
24	<i>In re NJOY, Inc. Consumer Class Action Litig.</i> ,	
25	120 F. Supp. 3d 1050 (C.D. Cal. 2015).....	13
26	<i>Novoa v. Geo Grp., Inc.</i> ,	
27	2019 WL 7197298 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 13, 2019).....	14
28	<i>Pasha v. Viscosi</i> ,	
	No. 2:19-cv-05672, 2020 WL 586821 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 5, 2020).....	6
	<i>Phan v. Sargento Foods, Inc.</i> ,	
	2021 WL 2224260 (N.D. Cal. June 2, 2021).....	17
	<i>Quezada v. City of Los Angeles</i> ,	
	2018 WL 4378661 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 13, 2018).....	6

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.