

1 THEODORE J. BOUTROUS JR., SBN 132099  
tboutrous@gibsondunn.com  
2 RICHARD J. DOREN, SBN 124666  
rdoren@gibsondunn.com  
3 DANIEL G. SWANSON, SBN 116556  
dswanson@gibsondunn.com  
4 JAY P. SRINIVASAN, SBN 181471  
jsrinivasan@gibsondunn.com  
5 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
333 South Grand Avenue  
6 Los Angeles, CA 90071  
Telephone: 213.229.7000  
7 Facsimile: 213.229.7520

8 VERONICA S. MOYÉ (Texas Bar No.  
24000092; *pro hac vice*)  
9 vlewis@gibsondunn.com  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
10 2100 McKinney Avenue, Suite 1100  
Dallas, TX 75201  
11 Telephone: 214.698.3100  
Facsimile: 214.571.2900

MARK A. PERRY, SBN 212532  
mperry@gibsondunn.com  
CYNTHIA E. RICHMAN (D.C. Bar No.  
492089; *pro hac vice*)  
crichman@gibsondunn.com  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20036  
Telephone: 202.955.8500  
Facsimile: 202.467.0539

ETHAN D. DETTMER, SBN 196046  
edettmer@gibsondunn.com  
RACHEL S. BRASS, SBN 219301  
rbrass@gibsondunn.com  
CAELI A. HIGNEY, SBN 268644  
chigney@gibsondunn.com  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
555 Mission Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: 415.393.8200  
Facsimile: 415.393.8306

*Attorneys for Defendant Apple Inc.*

12  
13  
14  
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
16 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
17 OAKLAND DIVISION  
18

19 In re Apple iPhone Antitrust Litigation

CASE NO. 4:11-cv-06714-YGR

20  
21 **DEFENDANT APPLE INC.'S OPPOSITION**  
22 **TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR LEAVE**  
23 **TO FILE FOURTH AMENDED**  
24 **COMPLAINT**

25 The Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

26 Date: Nov. 16, 2021  
Time: 2:00 p.m.  
27 Courtroom: 1, 4th Floor  
28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1

II. RELEVANT BACKGROUND & PROCEDURAL HISTORY ..... 2

III. LEGAL STANDARD ..... 5

IV. ARGUMENT ..... 7

    A. Plaintiffs Cannot Satisfy Rule 16’s Good Cause Standard Because They Were  
    Not Diligent in Seeking to Add a UCL Claim ..... 7

    B. Alternatively, Plaintiffs Cannot Satisfy Rule 15 ..... 10

        1. Plaintiffs’ Amendment Would Prejudice Apple ..... 11

        2. Plaintiffs Unduly Delayed in Seeking Leave to Amend ..... 15

        3. Plaintiffs’ Proposed Amendment Would Be Futile ..... 17

        4. Plaintiffs Already Have Filed Five Iterations of Their Complaint ..... 18

        5. The Timing of Plaintiffs’ Proposed Amendment Reflects Bad Faith ..... 19

V. CONCLUSION ..... 20

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

## CASES

1		
2		
3	<b>CASES</b>	
4	<i>Acri v. Int'l Ass'n of Machinists &amp; Aerospace Workers,</i>	
5	781 F.2d 1393 (9th Cir. 1986).....	11, 16
6	<i>Ahlmeier v. Nev. Sys. Of Higher Educ.,</i>	
7	555 F.3d 1051 (9th Cir. 2009).....	7
8	<i>Albers v. Yarbrough World Sols., LLC,</i>	
9	2020 WL 6064334 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 14, 2020).....	19
10	<i>Allen v. City of Beverly Hills,</i>	
11	911 F.2d 367 (9th Cir. 1990).....	10
12	<i>AmerisourceBergen Corp. v. Dialysist W., Inc.,</i>	
13	465 F.3d 946 (9th Cir. 2006).....	15
14	<i>Bayer v. Neiman Marcus Grp., Inc.,</i>	
15	861 F.3d 853 (9th Cir. 2017).....	12
16	<i>In re California Gasoline Spot Mkt. Antitrust Litig.,</i>	
17	2021 WL 1176645 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 29, 2021).....	17
18	<i>Campos v. Cty. Of Riverside,</i>	
19	2016 WL 9173450 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 5, 2016) .....	6
20	<i>Castellucci v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.,</i>	
21	2020 WL 4873869 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 10, 2020).....	6
22	<i>Chowning v. Kohl's Dep't Stores, Inc.,</i>	
23	735 F. App'x 924 (9th Cir. 2018), <i>amended on denial of reh'g,</i>	
24	733 F. App'x 404 (9th Cir. 2018) .....	13
25	<i>City of L.A. v. San Pedro Boat Works,</i>	
26	635 F.3d 440 (9th Cir. 2011).....	11
27	<i>Davis v. 630 W. Broadway, LLC,</i>	
28	2019 WL 2764118 (C.D. Cal. July 2, 2019) .....	11
	<i>Dekker v. Vivint Solar, Inc.,</i>	
	2021 WL 3565428 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 11, 2021).....	17
	<i>Domingo v. Los Angeles Cty. Sheriff's Dep't,</i>	
	2020 WL 5356657 (C.D. Cal. May 11, 2020) .....	15
	<i>Dong Ah Tire &amp; Rubber Co. v. Glasforms, Inc.,</i>	
	2009 WL 667171 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 10, 2009).....	7

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (continued)

Page(s)

1		
2		
3	<i>Dream Marriage Grp., Inc. v. Anastasia Int'l, Inc.</i> ,	
4	2012 WL 3024227 (C.D. Cal. July 23, 2012) .....	16
5	<i>E.E.O.C. v. Boeing Co.</i> ,	
6	843 F.2d 1213 (9th Cir. 1988).....	16
7	<i>Eminence Capital, LLC v. Aspeon, Inc.</i> ,	
8	316 F.3d 1048 (9th Cir. 2003).....	6
9	<i>Erica P. John Fund, Inc., v. Halliburton Co.</i> ,	
10	563 U.S. 804 (2011).....	13
11	<i>Felarca v. Birgeneau</i> ,	
12	2014 WL 7140262 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 12, 2014) .....	9, 10, 17
13	<i>Freeney v. Bank of Am. Corp.</i> ,	
14	2016 WL 5897773 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 4, 2016).....	18
15	<i>In re Fritz Comp. Secs. Litig.</i> ,	
16	282 F. Supp. 2d 1105 (N.D. Cal. 2003) .....	15
17	<i>Gardiner v. Walmart Inc.</i> ,	
18	2021 WL 2520103 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 5, 2021).....	18
19	<i>Great-West Life &amp; Annuity Ins. Co. v. Knudson</i> ,	
20	534 U.S. 204 (2002).....	12
21	<i>Griggs v. Pace Am. Grp., Inc.</i> ,	
22	170 F.3d 877 (9th Cir. 1999).....	7, 18
23	<i>Guzman v. Polaris Indus. Inc.</i> ,	
24	2021 WL 2021454 (C.D. Cal. May 12, 2021) .....	18
25	<i>Heredia v. Sunrise Senior Living LLC</i> ,	
26	2021 WL 811856 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 9, 2021).....	9
27	<i>Heredia v. Sunrise Senior Living LLC</i> ,	
28	2021 WL 819159 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 10, 2021).....	17, 18
	<i>Jackson v. Bank of Hawaii</i> ,	
	902 F.2d 1385 (9th Cir. 1990).....	10, 11, 15
	<i>Jackson v. Laureate, Inc.</i> ,	
	186 F.R.D. 605 (E.D. Cal. 1999) .....	6
	<i>James v. J2 Cloud Servs. Inc.</i> ,	
	2019 WL 184330 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 14, 2019) .....	8

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (continued)

Page(s)

1		
2		
3	<i>Johnson v. Mammoth Recs., Inc.</i> ,	
4	975 F.2d 604 (9th Cir. 1992).....	6
5	<i>Jones v. Cty. Of Tulare</i> ,	
6	2018 WL 6271577 (E.D. Cal. Nov. 30, 2018).....	8
7	<i>Jordan v. Los Angeles Cty.</i> ,	
8	669 F.2d 1311 (9th Cir. 1981), judgment vacated on other grounds in	
9	<i>Cty. of Los Angeles v. Jordan</i> , 459 U.S. 810 (1982).....	16
10	<i>Ketab Corp. v. Mesriani Law Grp.</i> ,	
11	2016 WL 5920291 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 29, 2016) .....	18
12	<i>Ketayi v. Health Enrollment Grp.</i> ,	
13	2021 WL 2864481 (S.D. Cal. July 8, 2021) .....	17
14	<i>Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Network Sols., Inc.</i> ,	
15	194 F.3d 980 (9th Cir. 1999).....	15, 17, 19
16	<i>Macias v. KDF Foxdale, L.P.</i> ,	
17	No. 5:18-cv-07712-EJD, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 77392 (N.D. Cal. May 1, 2020).....	14
18	<i>Mazza v. American Honda Motor Co.</i> ,	
19	666 F.3d 581 (9th Cir. 2012).....	13
20	<i>Mortg. Indus. Sols., Inc. v. Collabera, Inc.</i> ,	
21	2013 WL 440644 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 4, 2013).....	9, 18
22	<i>Nguyen v. Saxon Mortg. Servs., Inc.</i> ,	
23	2011 WL 2600998 (D. Or. June 30, 2011) .....	11
24	<i>In re NJOY, Inc. Consumer Class Action Litig.</i> ,	
25	120 F. Supp. 3d 1050 (C.D. Cal. 2015).....	13
26	<i>Novoa v. Geo Grp., Inc.</i> ,	
27	2019 WL 7197298 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 13, 2019).....	14
28	<i>Pasha v. Viscosi</i> ,	
	No. 2:19-cv-05672, 2020 WL 586821 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 5, 2020) .....	6
	<i>Phan v. Sargento Foods, Inc.</i> ,	
	2021 WL 2224260 (N.D. Cal. June 2, 2021) .....	17
	<i>Quezada v. City of Los Angeles</i> ,	
	2018 WL 4378661 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 13, 2018).....	6

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.