

ATTACHMENT K

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. PREPARED AT THE REQUEST OF COUNSEL.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

**IN RE APPLE IPHONE
ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

No. 4:11-cv-06714-YGR

Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

EXPERT REPORT OF
DANIEL L. MCFADDEN
IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION

JUNE 1, 2021

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. PREPARED AT THE REQUEST OF COUNSEL.

CONTENTS

I. Introduction.....	1
A. Qualifications	1
B. Assignment	3
C. Summary of Opinions.....	4
II. Industry Background	6
A. iOS-Installed Mobile Devices	6
B. Apps and In-App Content.....	10
C. Apple App Store	15
1. Apple’s App Store Commission.....	15
2. Apple’s Other App Store Revenues: Developer Fee and Search Ads.....	17
3. Apple’s App Store Business is Highly Profitable	20
III. The Relevant Antitrust Market.....	20
A. Common Evidence Supports the Conclusion that the Sales of iOS Apps and In-App Content Constitute a Relevant Antitrust Market	21
B. Common Evidence Supports the Conclusion that Web Apps Are Not Reasonable Substitutes for Native Apps.....	23
C. Common Evidence Supports the Conclusion that “Jailbreaking” Is Not a Reasonable Substitute for Installing Apps and Purchasing In-App Content Through the App Store	30
D. Common Evidence Supports the Conclusion that Enterprise Software Installed on iOS Devices Is Not a Reasonable Substitute for iOS Apps and In-App Content.....	32
E. Common Evidence Supports the Conclusion that Apps Compatible with Non-iOS Devices Are Not Reasonable Substitutes for iOS Apps	33
1. Common Evidence Supports the Conclusion that Consumers Face Significant Switching Costs if they Attempt to Switch to an Alternative Mobile OS Device ...	34
2. Common Evidence Supports the Conclusion that Software Applications Developed For Personal Computers or Gaming Consoles Are Not Part of The Relevant Market	40
3. Dr. Evans’ Analysis of Fortnite Usage Shows that Consumers Do Not Readily Switch from iOS Devices to Non-iOS Devices	42
F. Common Evidence Supports the Conclusion that Purchasing In-app Content Outside the App Store Is Not a Reasonable Substitute for Purchasing it Through the App Store	45
IV. Apple’s Market Power in the iOS Aftermarket.....	49
A. Source of Apple’s Market Power Common to All Class Members in the iOS AfterMarket	49
1. High Switching Costs.....	49

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. PREPARED AT THE REQUEST OF COUNSEL.

2.	Common Evidence Supports the Conclusion that Consumers Lack Sufficient Information to Make Life-cycle Cost Calculations	51
B.	Common Evidence of Apple’s Market Power in the Relevant Market.....	53
1.	Common Evidence Supports the Conclusion that Apple’s Share in the iOS Aftermarket is Almost 100 Percent	53
2.	Common Evidence Supports the Conclusion that Entry Into the iOS App and In-App Content Aftermarket Is Not Possible.....	53
3.	Common Evidence Supports the Conclusion that Apple’s App Store Profit Margin Is Substantial	56
V.	Common Economic Evidence of Apple’s Anticompetitive Conduct.....	59
VI.	Methodologies for Assessing Common Economic Proof of Impact	62
A.	Common Economic Impact of the App Store Commission	62
B.	Economic Principles of App Store Commission	66
C.	But-For Commission Rates	71
D.	iOS Aftermarket Demand and Supply and App Developers’ Profit Maximization Conditions.....	76
1.	Profit Maximization Conditions for App Developers	77
2.	Consumer Demand for Apps and IAPs	80
3.	App Developers’ Costs.....	82
E.	Estimation Procedure.....	93
F.	Scaling Up Calculations of Common Impact.....	97
VII.	Common Proof of Damages	99
	Appendix A Curriculum Vitae of Daniel McFadden	108
	Appendix B Materials Relied Upon.....	125
	Appendix C Apple App Store Transactions Data.....	144
	Appendix D Consumer Utility Maximization.....	151
D.1	App Download Demand	151
D.2	In-app Purchase Demand.....	152
	Appendix E Quantifying Common Economic Impact: Technical Details.....	153
E.1	Data Preparation for Estimation	153
E.2	Model Estimation	156
E.3	Estimation Results	159
E.4	But-for World Simulations	161
	Appendix F Profit Maximization Conditions Under the Pricing Tier Policies	168

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. PREPARED AT THE REQUEST OF COUNSEL.

Figure 1: US Smartphone Shares of iOS and Android 8

Figure 2: US Tablet Shares of iOS and Android 9

Figure 3: Evolution of iOS App Monetization: App Store RevenueS..... 13

Figure 4 Evolution of iOS App Monetization: Share of App Store Revenues 14

Figure 5 U.S. App STORE Commission Revenues 17

Figure 6 AppLE’s Revenues attributable to Developer Fees..... 18

Figure 7 Number of Apps iOS Consumers Spent Money on..... 52

Figure 8 App Store Profit Margin in FY2019..... 57

Figure 9: Apple’s App Store Gross and Contribution Margins 58

Figure 10. App Store Gross Margin, 2015 – 2019..... 59

Figure 11. Effects of Tax on Consumers and Suppliers 67

Figure 12. Effects of commission on consumers and app developers 69

Figure 13: Pocket Gems, monthly ua vs. gross bookings, ios 89

Figure 14: Pocket Gems, monthly server costs vs. gross bookings, ios 92

Figure 15 Estimation Results 102

Figure 16 Summary of estimated price effects: games 103

Figure 17 Summary of Estimated Price Effects: Entertainment and Music 105

Figure 18: Description of variables Analyzed in my analysis 144

Figure 19: Sample and Full Data Comparison: Observations 146

Figure 20: Sample and Full Data Comparison: Spending 146

Figure 21: Sample and full Data Comparison: Content Type..... 147

Figure 22: Sample and full Data Comparison: Genre (App Category) 148

Figure 23: Sample and full Data Comparison: Platform 149

Figure 24: Sample and full Data Comparison: Posting Reason..... 149

Figure 25: Sample and full Data Comparison: Content Type..... 150

Figure 26: Sample and Full data Comparison: Sap_line_item_type 150

Figure 27: Share of Transactions by Price, Transaction Type, and App Type..... 155

Figure 28: Summary of Estimation Results: Games..... 160

Figure 29: Summary of Estimation Results: Music and Entertainment 161

Figure 30: Summary of Estimated Price Effects: Games 164

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.