1 BRADLEY W. CALDWELL (pro hac vice) CHRISTOPHER D. BANYS (SBN 230038) bcaldwell@caldwellcc.com JENNIFER L. GILBERT (SBN 255820) 2 JASON D. CASSADY (pro hac vice) cdb@banyspc.com jcassady@caldwellcc.com ilg@banyspc.com 3 JOHN AUSTIN CURRY (pro hac vice) BANYS, P.C. acurry@caldwellcc.scom 1030 Duane Ave. 4 WARREN J. MCCARTY, III (pro hac vice) Santa Clara, CA 95054 wmccarty@caldwellcc.com 5 Tel: (650) 308-8505 CALDWELL CASSADY & CURRY Fax: (650) 353-2202 2101 Cedar Springs Road, Suite 1000 6 Dallas, Texas 75201 Attorneys for Plaintiff Windy City Telephone: (214) 888-4848 7 Innovations, LLC Facsimile: (214) 888-4849 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 OAKLAND DIVISION 11 WINDY CITY INNOVATIONS, LLC Case Nos. 4:16-cv-01730-YGR 12 Plaintiff, PLAINTIFF WINDY CITY 13 INNOVATIONS, LLC'S RESPONSE v. TO FACEBOOK'S NOTICE RE IPR 14 APPEALS DKT, NO. 96 FACEBOOK, INC. 15 Defendant. 16 17 18 Plaintiff Windy City Innovations, LLC ("Windy City") files this Response to Defendant 19 Facebook, Inc.'s February 8<sup>th</sup>, 2018 "Notice Re Status of Windy City's Appeals of Adverse IPR 20 Decisions." Dkt. No. 96. Facebook's notice informs the Court that Windy City recently filed Notices of 21 Appeal regarding Final Written Decisions of certain inter partes review ("IPR") decisions. Id. at 1. 22 Windy City is unclear as to the purpose behind Facebook's notice, as it does not even purport to relate to 23 any pending dispute and seems only a misguided attempt to conjure up the appearance of impropriety. 24 First, to the extent Facebook is suggesting to the Court that Windy City should have made its 25 decision to appeal earlier—before the deadline set forth by the Federal Circuit rules—there is no basis 26 for such a suggestion. In fact, Windy City even previously observed to the Court that Windy City's 27 deadline to appeal, if it chose to do so, was February 7, 2018. Dkt. No. 95 at 4.



## Case 4:16-cv-01730-YGR Document 97 Filed 02/08/18 Page 2 of 2

Second, naturally, Windy City is not appealing the PTAB rulings it won confirming the validity 1 of fifty-three of its patent claims. Accordingly, Facebook knows (or certainly should know<sup>1</sup>) that Windy 2 City's notices of its intent to appeal certain IPR decisions pertain to patent claims that have been found 3 4 unpatentable by the PTAB—i.e., patent claims that are no longer even at issue in this case. Windy City would be happy to address this with the Court during Monday's case management conference if the 5 Court is so inclined; otherwise, Windy City is anxious to proceed on the merits. 6 7 Dated: February 8, 2018 Respectfully submitted, 8 9 /s/ Bradley W. Caldwell Bradley W. Caldwell (pro hac vice) 10 BANYS, P.C. 11 Christopher D. Banys (SBN 230038) Jennifer L. Gilbert (SBN 255820) 12 BANYS, P.C. 1030 Duane Ave. 13 Santa Clara, CA 95054 14 Tel: (650) 308-8505 Fax: (650) 353-2202 15 cdb@banyspc.com ilg@banyspc.com 16 Bradley W. Caldwell (pro hac vice) 17 bcaldwell@caldwellcc.com Jason D. Cassady (pro hac vice) 18 jcassady@caldwellcc.com 19 John Austin Curry (pro hac vice) acurry@caldwellcc.com 20 Warren J. McCarty, III (pro hac vice) wmccarty@caldwellcc.com 21 CALDWELL CASSADY & CURRY 2101 Cedar Springs Rd., Suite 1000 22 Dallas, TX 75201 23 Telephone: (214) 888-4848 Facsimile: (214) 888-4849 24 25 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Windy City Innovations, LLC 26



27