

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP

DANIEL S. DROSMAN (200643)
TOR GRONBORG (179109)
ELLEN GUSIKOFF STEWART (144892)
LUCAS F. OLTS (234843)
J. MARCO JANOSKI GRAY (306547)
CHRISTOPHER R. KINNON (316850)
HEATHER G. SCHLESIER (322937)
655 West Broadway, Suite 1900
San Diego, CA 92101-8498
Telephone: (619) 231-1058
Facsimile: (619) 231-7423

MOTLEY RICE LLC

GREGG S. LEVIN (admitted *pro hac vice*)
LANCE V. OLIVER (admitted *pro hac vice*)
MEGHAN S.B. OLIVER (admitted *pro hac vice*)
MAX N. GRUETZMACHER (admitted *pro hac vice*)
CHRISTOPHER F. MORIARTY (admitted *pro hac vice*)
MEREDITH B. WEATHERBY (admitted *pro hac vice*)
28 Bridgeside Blvd.
Mt. Pleasant, SC 29464
Telephone: (843) 216-9000
Facsimile: (843) 216-9450

Co-Class Counsel

[Additional counsel appear on signature page.]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

In re TWITTER INC. SECURITIES
LITIGATION

) Case No. 4:16-cv-05314-JST (SK)
) CLASS ACTION

This Document Relates To:

ALL ACTIONS.

) **NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION
FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF
CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
AND PLAN OF ALLOCATION AND
MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT
THEREOF**

)
) JUDGE: Hon. Jon S. Tigar
) DATE: November 17, 2022
) TIME: 2:00 p.m. (Courtroom 6)
) via videoconference

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES ii

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION 1

STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE DECIDED 2

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES 1

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. PROCEDURAL AND FACTUAL BACKGROUND..... 3

III. STANDARDS FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENTS..... 6

 A. The Proposed Settlement Satisfies the Requirements of Rule 23(e)(2)..... 8

 1. Rule 23(e)(2)(A): Lead Plaintiffs and Their Counsel Have Adequately Represented the Class..... 8

 2. Rule 23(e)(2)(B): The Proposed Settlement Was Negotiated at Arm’s-Length After Mediation with an Experienced Mediator 9

 3. Rule 23(e)(2)(C)(i): The Proposed Settlement Is Adequate Considering the Costs, Risk and Delay of Trial and Appeal 9

 a. The Costs and Risks of Trial and Appeal Support Approval of the Settlement 10

 b. The Proposed Settlement Eliminates the Additional Cost and Delay of Continued Litigation 11

 4. Rule 23(e)(2)(C)(ii): The Proposed Method for Distributing Relief Is Effective 12

 5. Rule 23(e)(2)(D): The Proposed Plan of Allocation Treats Class Members Equitably 13

 B. The Remaining Ninth Circuit Factors Are Satisfied..... 13

 1. Discovery Completed and Stage of the Proceedings 13

 2. Counsel Views this Good-Faith Settlement as Fair, Reasonable, and Adequate 14

 3. The Reaction of Class Members to the Settlement..... 14

 4. The Settlement Amount 15

IV. THE COURT SHOULD APPROVE THE PLAN OF ALLOCATION..... 16

V. NOTICE TO THE CLASS SATISFIES DUE PROCESS 17

CONCLUSION..... 18

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

1

2

3 *Campbell v. Facebook, Inc.*,

4 951 F.3d 1106 (9th Cir. 2020)..... 6

5 *Carson v. American Brands, Inc.*,

6 450 U.S. 79 (1981)..... 6

7 *Churchill Village, L.L.C. v. General Electric*,

8 361 F.3d 566 (9th Cir. 2004)..... 7, 9

9 *Class Plaintiffs v. City of Seattle*,

10 955 F.2d 1268 (9th Cir. 1992)..... 16

11 *Community Resources for Independent Living v. Mobility Works of California,*

12 *LLC*,

13 533 F. Supp. 3d 881 (N.D. Cal. 2020) 9

14 *Dura Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Broudo*,

15 544 U.S. 336 (2005)..... 10

16 *Foster v. Adams & Associates, Inc.*,

17 2022 WL 425559 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 11, 2022)..... 14

18 *Hanlon v. Chrysler Corp.*,

19 150 F.3d 1011 (9th Cir. 1998)..... 7, 8

20 *Hayes v. MagnaChip Semiconductor Corp.*,

21 2016 WL 6902856 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 21, 2016)..... 17

22 *Hefler v. Wells Fargo & Co.*,

23 2018 WL 6619983 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 18, 2018) 13

24 *In re Capstone Turbine Corp. Securities Litigation*,

25 2020 WL 7889062 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 26, 2020)..... 12

26 *In re Charles Schwab Corp. Sec. Litig.*,

27 2011 WL 1481424 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 19, 2011) 8

28 *In re Chrysler-Dodge-Jeep EcoDiesel Marketing, Sales Practices, & Products*

Liability Litigation,

2019 WL 2554232 (N.D. Cal. May 3, 2019) 7

In re Flag Telecom Holdings, Ltd. Securities Litigation,

2010 WL 4537550 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 8, 2010) 10

In re Global Crossing Securities & ERISA Litigation,

225 F.R.D. 436 (S.D.N.Y. 2004) 13

In re Hyundai & Kia Fuel Economy Litigation,

926 F.3d 539 (9th Cir. 2019)..... 6, 8

In re Immune Response Securities Litigation,

497 F. Supp. 2d 1166 (S.D. Cal. 2007)..... 10

1	<i>In re LinkedIn User Privacy Litigation,</i> 309 F.R.D. 573 (N.D. Cal. 2015).....	14
2	<i>In re Omnivision Technologies, Inc.,</i> 559 F. Supp. 2d 1046 (N.D. Cal. 2008).....	13
3	<i>In re Portal Software, Inc. Securities Litigation,</i> 2007 WL 4171201 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 26, 2007).....	17
4	<i>In re Veritas Software Corp. Securities Litigation,</i> 496 F.3d 962 (9th Cir. 2007).....	17
5	<i>In re Volkswagen “Clean Diesel” Marketing, Sales Practices, & Products</i> <i>Liability Litigation,</i> 895 F.3d 597 (9th Cir. 2018).....	6
6	<i>In re Yahoo! Inc. Customer Data Security Breach Litigation,</i> 2020 WL 4212811 (N.D. Cal. July 22, 2020).....	11
7	<i>In re Zynga Inc. Securities Litigation,</i> 2015 WL 6471171 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 27, 2015).....	11
8	<i>Kastler v. Oh My Green, Inc.,</i> 2022 WL 1157491 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 19, 2022).....	6
9	<i>Longo v. OSI Systems,</i> 2022 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 158606 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 31, 2022).....	13
10	<i>Mauss v. NuVasive, Inc.,</i> 2018 WL 6421623 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 6, 2018).....	16
11	<i>Mendoza v. Hyundai Motor Co.,</i> 2017 WL 342059 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 23, 2017).....	7
12	<i>National Rural Telecommunications Cooperative v. DIRECTV, Inc.,</i> 221 F.R.D. 523 (C.D. Cal. 2004).....	17
13	<i>Norton v. LVNV Funding, LLC,</i> 2021 WL 3129568 (N.D. Cal. July 23, 2021).....	8
14	<i>Officers for Justice v. Civil Service Commission of City & County of San</i> <i>Francisco,</i> 688 F.2d 615 (9th Cir. 1982).....	6, 9
15	<i>Redwen v. Sino Clean Energy, Inc.,</i> 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 100275 (C.D. Cal. July 9, 2013).....	10
16	<i>Rodriguez v. Nike Retail Services, Inc.,</i> 2022 WL 254349 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 27, 2022).....	14
17	<i>Rodriguez v. West Publishing Corp.,</i> 563 F.3d 948 (9th Cir. 2009).....	9, 14, 17
18	<i>Torrisi v. Tucson Electric Power Co.,</i> 8 F.3d 1370 (9th Cir. 1993).....	11
19	<i>Vataj v. Johnson,</i> 2021 WL 1550478 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 20, 2021).....	16
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

RULES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(2)(B) 17
Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e)(1)(B) 17
Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e)(2)..... 7

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.