

1 Orin Snyder (*pro hac vice*)  
osnyder@gibsondunn.com  
2 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
200 Park Avenue  
3 New York, NY 10166-0193  
Telephone: 212.351.4000  
4 Facsimile: 212.351.4035

Joshua S. Lipshutz (SBN 242557)  
jlipshutz@gibsondunn.com  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036-5306  
Telephone: 202.955.8500  
Facsimile: 202.467.0539

5 Brian M. Lutz (SBN 255976)  
blutz@gibsondunn.com  
6 Kristin A. Linsley (SBN 154148)  
klinsley@gibsondunn.com  
7 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
555 Mission Street, Suite 3000  
8 San Francisco, CA 94105-0921  
Telephone: 415.393.8200  
9 Facsimile: 415.393.8306

Paul J. Collins (SBN 187709)  
pcollins@gibsondunn.com  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
1881 Page Mill Road  
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1211  
Telephone: 650.849.5300  
Facsimile: 650.849.5333

10 *Attorneys for Nominal Defendant Facebook, Inc.*

11  
12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
13 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

14  
15 IN RE FACEBOOK, INC. SHAREHOLDER  
16 DERIVATIVE PRIVACY LITIGATION

LEAD CASE NO. 4:18-CV-01792-HSG

ASSOCIATED CASES: NOS. 4:18-CV-01834-HSG, 4:18-CV-01893-HSG, 4:18-CV-01929-HSG, 4:18-CV-02011-HSG

17  
18  
19 This Document Relates To:  
20 ALL ACTIONS

**FACEBOOK, INC.'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED CONSOLIDATED SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 23.1 OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TO STAY; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF**

**Hearing:**

Date: June 25, 2020  
Time: 2:00 P.M.  
Location: Courtroom 2, 4th Floor  
Judge: Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.

Date First Action Filed: March 22, 2018

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Page

1		
2		
3	STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE DECIDED .....	1
4	I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT.....	1
5	II. SUMMARY OF PLAINTIFFS' ALLEGATIONS.....	3
6	A. The Relevant Agreements .....	4
7	B. The Alleged Events Relevant To Plaintiffs' Claims .....	5
8	C. Facebook Enters Into Settlements With Regulators.....	7
9	D. Procedural History .....	8
10	III. LEGAL STANDARD FOR PLEADING DEMAND FUTILITY .....	9
11	IV. PLAINTIFFS ONLY CAN PLEAD DEMAND FUTILITY BY ESTABLISHING A	
12	LACK OF INDEPENDENCE OR SUBSTANTIAL LIKELIHOOD OF LIABILITY .....	11
13	V. AS THIS COURT ALREADY HELD, PLAINTIFFS FAIL TO PLEAD FACTS	
14	SHOWING THAT ANY MEMBER OF THE BOARD LACKS INDEPENDENCE.....	12
15	A. Mr. Zuckerberg's Control Of Facebook Does Not Excuse Demand. ....	12
16	B. Mr. Zuckerberg Is Not Interested, And No Director Is Beholden To Him.....	13
17	VI. PLAINTIFFS FAIL TO DEMONSTRATE THAT A MAJORITY OF THE BOARD	
18	FACES A SUBSTANTIAL LIKELIHOOD OF PERSONAL LIABILITY .....	16
19	A. The Section 10(b) Claims (Counts 2 and 3) Do Not Make Demand Futile.....	17
20	1. The Challenged Statements Were Not Made By The Demand Board.....	17
21	2. Plaintiffs Fail To Plead Scierer For A Majority Of The Demand	
22	Board.....	18
23	B. The Insider Trading Claims Only Implicate A Minority Of The Demand	
24	Board.....	19
25	C. No Substantial Likelihood Of Liability For The Sections 14(a) And 20(a)	
26	Claims. ....	20
27	VII. IN THE ALTERNATIVE, THIS ACTION SHOULD BE STAYED PENDING	
28	RESOLUTION OF THE RELATED ACTIONS .....	20
	VIII. CONCLUSION .....	23

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Page(s)**Cases**

1		
2		
3	<b>Cases</b>	
4	<i>Aronson v. Lewis</i> ,	
5	473 A.2d 805 (Del. 1984) .....	10, 13, 14
6	<i>Beam v. Stewart</i> ,	
7	845 A.2d 1040 (Del. 2004) .....	10, 13
8	<i>Breault v. Folino</i> ,	
9	2002 WL 31974381 (C.D. Cal. March 15, 2002) .....	21
10	<i>Brehm v. Eisner</i> ,	
11	746 A.2d 244 (Del. 2000) .....	10
12	<i>In re Citigroup Inc. S'holder Deriv. Litig.</i> ,	
13	964 A.2d 106 (Del. Ch. 2009).....	10
14	<i>CMAX, Inc. v. Hall</i> ,	
15	300 F.2d 265 (9th Cir. 1962).....	20
16	<i>Desimone v. Barrows</i> ,	
17	924 A.2d 908 (Del. Ch. 2007).....	11
18	<i>In re Diamond Foods, Inc. Deriv. Litig.</i> ,	
19	2012 WL 1945814 (N.D. Cal. May 29, 2012) .....	16
20	<i>In re Dow Chemical Deriv. Litig.</i> ,	
21	2010 WL 66769 (Del. Ch. Jan. 11, 2010) .....	13
22	<i>Pirelli Armstrong Tire Corp. Retiree Med. Benefits Tr. ex rel. Fed. Nat. Mortg. Ass'n</i>	
23	<i>v. Raines</i> ,	
24	534 F.3d 779 (D.C. Cir. 2008) .....	10
25	<i>In re Groupon Deriv. Litig.</i> ,	
26	882 F. Supp. 2d 1043 (N.D. Ill. 2012) .....	21
27	<i>Guttman v. Huang</i> ,	
28	823 A.2d 492 (Del. Ch. 2003).....	16
	<i>Horman v. Abney</i> ,	
	2017 WL 242571 (Del. Ch. Jan. 19, 2017) .....	3, 18
	<i>In re Impax Labs., Inc. S'holder Deriv. Litig.</i> ,	
	2015 WL 5168777 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 3, 2015) .....	10
	<i>In re Insys Therapeutics Inc. Deriv. Litig.</i> ,	
	2017 WL 5953515 (Del. Ch. Nov. 30, 2017) .....	21, 22

1	<i>Janus Capital Grp., Inc. v. First Deriv. Traders,</i>	
2	564 U.S. 135 (2011).....	2, 17
3	<i>Kamen v. Kemper Fin. Servs., Inc.,</i>	
4	500 U.S. 90 (1991).....	9
5	<i>La. Mun. Police Emps.' Ret. Sys. v. Wynn,</i>	
6	829 F.3d 1048 (9th Cir. 2016).....	9, 15
7	<i>Markette v. XOMA Corp.,</i>	
8	2017 WL 4310759 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 28, 2017) .....	17
9	<i>McElrath v. Kalanick,</i>	
10	--A.3d--, 2020 WL 131371 (Del. Jan. 13, 2020) .....	14
11	<i>In Re Ormat Techs., Inc.,</i>	
12	2011 WL 3841089 (D. Nev. Aug. 29, 2011) .....	21
13	<i>In re Paypal Holdings., Inc. S'holder Deriv. Litig.,</i>	
14	2018 WL 466527 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 18, 2018) .....	10, 11
15	<i>Ponce v. SEC,</i>	
16	345 F.3d 722 (9th Cir. 2003).....	8
17	<i>Potter v. Hughes,</i>	
18	546 F.3d 1051 (9th Cir. 2008).....	9, 11
19	<i>Quinn v. Anvil Corp.,</i>	
20	620 F.3d 1005 (9th Cir. 2010).....	9
21	<i>Rales v. Blasband,</i>	
22	634 A.2d 927 (Del. 1993) .....	10, 11, 12
23	<i>Rok v. Identiv, Inc.,</i>	
24	2017 WL 35496 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 4, 2017) .....	17
25	<i>Rosenbloom v. Pyott,</i>	
26	765 F.3d 1137 (9th Cir. 2014).....	10
27	<i>Rosenblum v. Sharer,</i>	
28	2008 WL 9396534 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 15, 2016) .....	22
	<i>Ryan v. Gifford,</i>	
	918 A.2d 341 (Del. Ch. 2007).....	16
	<i>SEC v. Mercury Interactive, LLC,</i>	
	2011 WL 5871020 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 22, 2011).....	17
	<i>SEC v. Dain Rauscher, Inc.,</i>	
	254 F.3d 852 (9th Cir. 2001).....	8

1     *SEC v. Leslie,*  
        2008 WL 4183939 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 9, 2008) .....8  
 2  
 3     *In re STEC, Inc. Deriv. Litig.,*  
        2012 WL 8978155 (C.D. Cal. Jan 11, 2012) .....21, 22  
 4  
 5     *Teamsters Union 25 Health Servs. & Ins. Plan v. Baiera,*  
        119 A.3d 44 (Del. Ch. 2015).....15  
 6  
 7     *Tindall v. First Solar Inc.,*  
        892 F.3d 1043 (9th Cir. 2018).....9, 10  
 8  
 9     *Towers v. Iger,*  
        912 F.3d 523 (9th Cir. 2018).....11, 18, 19  
 10  
 11     *In re Tyson Foods, Inc.,*  
        919 A.2d 563 (Del. Ch. 2007).....16  
 12  
 13     *United Specialty Ins. Co. v. Meridian Mgmt. Grp., Inc.,*  
        2016 WL 1534885 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 15, 2016) .....20  
 14  
 15     *In re Verifone Holdings., Inc. S’holder Deriv. Litig.,*  
        2009 WL 1458233 (N.D. Cal. May 26, 2009) .....19  
 16  
 17     *In re Verisign, Inc., Deriv. Litig.,*  
        531 F. Supp. 2d 1173 (N.D. Cal. 2007) .....16  
 18  
 19     *In re W. Nat’l Corp. S’holders Litig.,*  
        2000 WL 710192 (Del. Ch. May 22, 2000) .....15  
 20  
 21     *Wood v. Baum,*  
        953 A.2d 136 (Del. 2008) .....10  
 22  
 23     **Statutes**  
 24  
 25     15 U.S.C. § 78u-4.....23  
 26  
 27  
 28

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.